First-line eradication rates comparing two shortened non-bismuth quadruple regimens against *Helicobacter pylori*: an open-label, randomized, multicentre clinical trial
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Objectives: *Helicobacter pylori* eradication remains a challenge. Non-bismuth-based quadruple regimens (NBQR) have shown high eradication rates (ER) elsewhere that need to be locally confirmed. The objective of this study was to compare the first-line ER of a hybrid therapy (20 mg of omeprazole twice daily and 1 g of amoxicillin twice daily for 10 days, adding 500 mg of clarithromycin twice daily and 500 mg of metronidazole every 8 h for the last 5 days; OA-OACM) with that of a 10 day concomitant regimen consisting of taking all four drugs twice daily every day (including 500 mg of metronidazole every 12 h; OACM). A 10 day arm with standard triple therapy (OAC; 20 mg of omeprazole/12 h, 1 g of amoxicillin/12 h and 500 mg of clarithromycin/12 h) was included.

Patients and methods: Three hundred consecutive patients were randomized (1:2:2) into one of the three following regimens: (i) OAC (60); (ii) OA-OACM (120); and (iii) OACM (120). Eradication was generally confirmed by a [¹³C]urea breath test at least 4 weeks after the end of treatment. Adverse events and compliance were assessed. EudraCT: 2011-006258-99.

Results: ITT cure rates were: OAC, 70.0% (42/60) (95% CI: 58.3 – 81.7); OA-OACM, 90.8% (109/120) (95% CI: 85.6 – 96.0); and OACM, 90.0% (107/119) (95% CI: 84.6 – 95.4). PP rates were: OAC, 72.4% (42/58) (95% CI: 60.8 – 84.1); OA-OACM, 93.9% (108/115) (95% CI: 89.5 – 98.3); and OACM, 90.3% (102/113) (95% CI: 84.8 – 95.8). Both NBQR significantly improved ER compared with OAC (P<0.01), but no differences were seen between them. Mean compliance was elevated [98.0% (SD=9.8)] with no differences between groups. There were more adverse events in the quadruple arms (OACM, 65.8%; OA-OACM, 68.6%; OAC, 46.6%; P<0.05), but no significant differences between groups in terms of severity were seen.

Conclusions: Hybrid and concomitant regimens show good ER against *H. pylori* infection with an acceptable safety profile. They clearly displace OAC as first-line regimen in our area.

Keywords: concomitant, *H. pylori*, hybrid, non-bismuth-based quadruple regimens, randomized clinical trials
**Introduction**

*Helicobacter pylori* eradication remains a challenge, mainly due to a loss of efficacy of classic clarithromycin-based triple therapy regimens, which in turn is related to an increase in clarithromycin resistance.\(^1\)\(^2\) In order to overcome this shortcoming, new regimens have been proposed. In particular, much attention has been focused on two non-bismuth-based quadruple regimens (NBQR): sequential and concomitant. Sequential treatment consists of a 10 day treatment in which proton pump inhibitor (PPI) plus amoxicillin is given for 5 days followed by a PPI plus clarithromycin and a nitroimidazole for 5 days. The concomitant treatment is a quadruple regimen containing a PPI, amoxicillin, clarithromycin and a nitroimidazole for a variable period between 5 and 14 days. Both NBQR have shown a significant advantage over standard triple therapy (omeprazole/amoxicillin/clarithromycin).\(^3\)–\(^5\) A recent meta-analysis comparing these NBQR has revealed a significant advantage of concomitant therapy.\(^6\) The high eradication rates (ER) (≥90%) that have been reported for NBQR support the most recent recommendations in European countries to incorporate them as first-line empirical treatments.\(^2\)\(^7\)

A hybrid NBQR that consists of continuing amoxicillin into the second part of the sequential treatment has recently displayed good results.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^8\)–\(^10\) Such results, however, have to be confirmed locally in an area like ours with low–intermediate resistance to clarithromycin (≤15%), as previously reported.\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\) Furthermore, there is a need to explore whether shortening hybrid regimens maintains efficacy in addition to ameliorating safety and compliance.

Our objective was to compare the efficacy and safety of two 10 day NBQR (i.e. hybrid and concomitant) and assess both against omeprazole/amoxicillin/clarithromycin.

**Patients and methods**

**Patients, treatments and follow-up**

This was a multicentre, open-label, controlled, three arm, parallel-group, Phase IV non-commercial trial with imbalanced randomization (1:2:2) designed to examine the superiority of two NBQR against *H. pylori* over omeprazole/amoxicillin/clarithromycin. It was conducted at three centres in northern Spain from July 2012 to December 2013. Eligible participants were all *H. pylori*-positive adult consecutive patients aged 18–75 years who were referred to each centre, and meet the eligibility criteria for eradication therapy according to the current recommendations.\(^7\) *H. pylori* infection was confirmed by at least one of the following methods: [\(^13\)C]urea breath test, histology or rapid urease test. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before enrolment. Previous *H. pylori* eradication therapy was an exclusion criterion for the study. Other exclusion criteria were: age <18 years or >75 years, serious comorbid illnesses, gastric surgery, allergy to any of the study drugs, intake of antibiotics or bismuth salts within the last month or PPIs within the last 2 weeks, and pregnancy or breastfeeding. The study was approved by the regional Ethics Committee and was registered in the European Union Clinical Trials Register Database (Clinicaltrialsregister.eu; EuDraCT: 2011–006258–99).

A randomized sequence was created using Stata 12/SE (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) statistical software using random block sizes of 10 and imbalanced according to the schedule 1:2:2 to allocate patients respectively to groups: (i) OAC—20 mg of omeprazole/12 h, 1 g of amoxicillin/12 h and 500 mg of clarithromycin/12 h; (ii) OA-OACM (hybrid therapy)—20 mg of omeprazole/12 h and 1 g of amoxicillin/12 h for 10 days adding 500 mg of clarithromycin/12 h and 500 mg of metronidazole/8 h only for the last 5 days; and (iii) OACM (concomitant therapy)—20 mg of omeprazole/12 h, 1 g of amoxicillin/12 h, 500 mg of clarithromycin/12 h and 500 mg of metronidazole/12 h. All drugs were taken orally on a 10 day-based regimen. Allocation concealment was guaranteed. All drugs used were of generic branding and purchased from Normon Pharmaceutical Laboratories (Madrid, Spain). An investigator who did not have clinical involvement in the trial was in charge of allocating patients according to a computer-generated numerical sequence on a phone request for all centres. The coordinators and the investigators in the centres did not know the details of the allocation sequence. This study was regarded as an open trial keeping in mind the number of drugs to be taken, the different dosage schedules and the fact that the principal outcome (*H. pylori* ER) was not influenced by the unblinded design of the protocol. Personnel carrying out urea breath tests were blind to the treatment given. The study drugs were handed to the patient in a registered box prepared by a pharmacist that included all required pills and a day-by-day intake scheme. Compliance was assessed by personal interview and standard pill count after ending treatment. Patients who used <80% of the study medication were considered non-compliant.

The primary endpoint (*H. pylori* ER by ITT) was defined as a negative [\(^13\)C]urea breath test performed at least 4 weeks after completion of treatment, as recommended by the manufacturer (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Europe Ltd). If a patient required a follow-up endoscopy, eradication was considered achieved when both direct methods (i.e. histology and urease test) were negative 4 weeks after the end of treatment; this exception was only applied to four patients (one in the OAC arm and three in the OACM arm). Neither antibiotics nor PPI were allowed during the 4 or 2 week period, respectively, prior to *H. pylori* reassessment. As a secondary endpoint, safety was evaluated by means of recording adverse events through open-answer questions. All adverse events were evaluated by their doctors and classified according to their intensity and causality. Relevant method changes after trial commencement were not performed.

**Statistical analysis**

*H. pylori* ER were calculated by ITT and PP analyses. For ITT analysis, all randomized patients enrolled in the study were included; patients without an observed outcome were considered as treatment failures. For PP analysis, all protocol violators (i.e. non-compliant patients and patients lost to follow-up) were excluded. Categorical variables are described with percentages, and continuous variables are described with mean and standard deviation or median and range as appropriate. For univariate statistical analysis, a 95% CI, Student’s *t*-test and Fisher’s exact test were applied. Multivariate logistic regression analyses were also performed to evaluate independent predictive variables for eradication of *H. pylori*. The magnitude of the effect was described with OR and 95% CI. The variables chosen to be introduced in predictive models depended on the statistical significance in univariate analysis or at least those known to have potential significance based on the literature. *P* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant after Bonferroni adjustment when necessary. No interim analyses for efficacy or futility were conducted.

In order to estimate the sample size, we assumed the following parameters based on several previous studies:\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^11\)–\(^10\) 80% ER in the control arm, 15% improvement in the intervention arms, *α* error before Bonferroni correction = 5%, and *β* error = 20%. With a 1:2 ratio (control:intervention arms), the estimated sample size was 60 patients in the control arm and 120 patients in the intervention arms. The statistical software package Stata 12/SE was used for the analyses.

**Results**

All eligible patients who accepted participation were recruited. Figure 1 shows the patient flow chart, according to the CONSORT statement advice. The baseline demographic and
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Figure 1. Flow diagram of the subjects enrolled in the study. AE, adverse events. *Diagnosis of H. pylori infection was based on serology in patient no. 48. **Patients without an observed outcome were considered as treatment failures.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients for the total cohort and therapeutic subgroups after randomization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total cohort</th>
<th>OAC</th>
<th>OA-OACM</th>
<th>OACM</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female, n/n</td>
<td>115/185</td>
<td>25/35</td>
<td>49/71</td>
<td>41/79</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (range)</td>
<td>44 (18–69)</td>
<td>45 (20–65)</td>
<td>41 (18–66)</td>
<td>47 (24–69)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habit (number of cigarettes/day), mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.8 (7.4)</td>
<td>3.0 (6.5)</td>
<td>4.0 (7.1)</td>
<td>4.0 (8.1)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake (g/day), mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.8 (15.0)</td>
<td>2.9 (9.5)</td>
<td>2.0 (9.4)</td>
<td>6.0 (20.5)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-medications, n (%)</td>
<td>139 (46.3)</td>
<td>24 (40.0)</td>
<td>53 (44.2)</td>
<td>62 (51.6)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>functional dyspepsia</td>
<td>221 (73.7)</td>
<td>46 (76.7)</td>
<td>93 (77.5)</td>
<td>82 (68.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastric/duodenal ulcer</td>
<td>50 (16.7)</td>
<td>10 (16.7)</td>
<td>16 (13.3)</td>
<td>24 (20.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>familial gastric cancer a</td>
<td>25 (8.3)</td>
<td>4 (6.7)</td>
<td>9 (7.5)</td>
<td>12 (10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intestinal metaplasia/gastric atrophy</td>
<td>4 (1.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic method, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rapid urease test</td>
<td>172 (57.3)</td>
<td>38 (63.3)</td>
<td>72 (60.0)</td>
<td>62 (51.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>histology</td>
<td>104 (34.7)</td>
<td>19 (31.7)</td>
<td>40 (33.3)</td>
<td>45 (37.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urea breath test</td>
<td>15 (5.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>5 (4.2)</td>
<td>8 (6.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combined b</td>
<td>7 (2.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (2.5)</td>
<td>4 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aGastric cancer in first-degree relatives.
bCombined refers to a positive test obtained by two methods: a rapid urease test and histology.
clinical characteristics of the total cohort and each therapeutic group are listed in Table 1. The three groups were similar in terms of age, gender, smoking habit, use of co-medication and indication for *H. pylori* eradication. Functional dyspepsia was the most common indication for *H. pylori* eradication (73.7%). There was only one protocol deviation that referred to a patient included in the OACM group who did not fulfil the inclusion criteria—he was included on the basis of positive *H. pylori* serology. This case was also excluded from the ITT analysis. Overall, four patients (two in the OAC group and two in the OA-OACM group) were lost to follow-up. Finally, six patients in the OACM group and two patients in the OA-OACM group discontinued the assigned treatment due to adverse events. Another patient in the OA-OACM group had low compliance and was also excluded from the PP analysis. In summary, 299 patients were included in the ITT analysis and 286 in the PP analysis (see Figure 1).

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the outcomes of the three arms in terms of ER and Tables 4 and 5 show data on compliance and adverse events during the study. NBQR showed significantly better ER against *H. pylori* than the OAC in both ITT and PP analysis (Tables 2 and 3). No statistical differences were found between the two alternative regimens. After adjusting for age, sex, co-medication, number of cigarettes, alcohol consumption in grams and indication, in the multivariate analysis, the results were unaltered (Table 3).

Compliance with the assigned treatment was high with no significant statistical differences between the three arms (Table 4), although more patients in the quadruple arms discontinued the intervention because of adverse events or poor tolerance to the respective treatments (not significant) (Figure 1). Additionally, there was a higher rate of adverse events in the quadruple arms of the study that reached statistical significance in terms of frequency (Table 5). In particular, diarrhoea and headache were more frequent in quadruple regimens compared with the OAC (Table 5). However, there were no differences between the three arms in terms of the severity of the adverse events and no serious side effects were reported throughout the study.

### Discussion

The present study demonstrates similar high ER (~90%) of two NBQR (hybrid and concomitant) against *H. pylori* in our area,
clearly exceeding that achieved by the OAC (70%). Although the NBOR were associated with a greater number of adverse events, we did not find differences in either severity of adverse events or compliance between the arms.

A 10 day concomitant NBQR is currently recommended as a first-line choice in Spain, a suggestion that is supported by solid evidence indicating ER ~90%.5,7 Our study, which shows similar ER with two NBQR, is also comparable to another recent Spanish clinical trial.16 Sequential NBQR, although initially promising,17,18 has recently revealed poor results and is no longer considered a good option for overcoming antibiotic resistance.19–23

A hybrid NBQR consisting of dual therapy with a PPI and amoxicillin for 14 days with clarithromycin and metronidazole added for the last 7 days (all of them twice daily) has shown 97.4% ER on ITT.3 A 90% ER has recently been reported in Spain and Italy with a similar regimen in a study where high rates of antibiotic resistance were seen, while others reached just 80% ER in Italy.8,24 A shorter duration of this new regimen (10–12 days) has recently been achieved, showing high ER (93%–95%).13 We have obtained results comparable to a 10 day hybrid regimen in an area with higher rates of resistance to clarithromycin, which are also in accordance with the aforementioned Spanish study.5,11,13 This evidence shows that optimized hybrid and concomitant therapies can eradicate H. pylori in >90% of patients in areas of growing antibiotic resistance.25

The rate of adverse events obtained with our NBQR was slightly higher than, but similar to, results previously reported and was significantly higher than that achieved with OAC.8 Considering the mild degree of such adverse events, the benefits outweighed the risks. A recent meta-analysis has concluded that there were no significant differences between the three NBQR in terms of adverse events or compliance.26

One limitation of our study is that it was carried out in a single region and the results will need to be confirmed in regions with different patterns of resistance. Moreover, we did not perform a concurrent in vitro susceptibility study to check for H. pylori resistance. Nevertheless, a recent report from our group and the last European survey on antibiotic resistance of H. pylori showed that our region was still a low-resistance area (~14%) at the time this study was designed and initiated.11,12 Finally, we changed the dosage schedule of metronidazole in our hybrid therapy (500 mg/8 h) compared with other hybrid and concomitant regimens (500 mg/12 h), which could affect one-to-one comparisons. A simpler dose schedule could have achieved similar ER and better patient acceptance and this deserves future study.

In summary, hybrid and concomitant NBQR show high ER (>90%) using a short 10 day regimen. Consequently, they should be considered as first-line options in our area. In contrast, the OAC regimen must no longer be recommended in this region.
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