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Abstract—Negative refraction has many potential applications in the Information and Communications Technology field. However, the high losses of most negative refraction materials prevents the use of this novel property in the implementation of practical devices in the HF range. In attempt to overcome this inconvenience, we present two Gammadion-Fishnet chiral metamaterial structures, constituted by the combination of a lossy chiral metamaterial and different fishnet structures. The electromagnetic characterization of the proposed metamaterials provides a larger bandwidth with negative refraction as well as lower losses when is compared with the initial structures. The results were obtained by using both numerical and experimental data which supports our designs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

ELECTROMAGNETIC metamaterials (MM) are sub-wavelength artificial periodic structures engineered to produce effects not present in natural media, such as negative refraction. Negative index metamaterials (NIM), originally proposed by [1] present simultaneously negative permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$) and permeability ($\mu_r$). Since the first NIM structure was manufactured [2], other bi-layered structures like cut-wire pairs [3] or fishnet structures [4] have been published. However, the need for simultaneous negative $\varepsilon_r$ and $\mu_r$ is a handicap, especially at high frequencies where negative permeability is more difficult to obtain.

Chiral Metamaterials (CMM) provide an alternative route to negative refraction [5], [6] with no need for simultaneous negative $\varepsilon_r$ and $\mu_r$. Chiral media modelling uses the chirality parameter, $\kappa$, to quantify the coupling between electric and magnetic fields. If $\kappa$ is large enough, so that $|\Re(\kappa)| > |\Re(\sqrt{\varepsilon_r\mu_r})|$, the refractive index of the right- ($\pm$, RHCP) or left-handed (−, LHCP) circularly polarized (CP) eigenwaves, $n = \sqrt{\varepsilon_r\mu_r} \pm \kappa$, becomes negative.

High losses in the frequency band with negative refraction is a common drawback of MMs and CMMs. The Figure of Merit, FoM, defined as the ratio between the real and imaginary part of the refractive index $n$, is a commonly used parameter to quantify the losses [7]. Most of the CMM structures presented in the literature, such as [8]-[12], report FoM values lower than 10.

Different alternatives for minimizing losses in NIM have been proposed such us optimizing the geometry [13], using fishnet structures [14] or using composite CMMs [15].

In this paper, we propose a way to reduce the losses of a chiral metamaterial structure (the conjugated gammadion [10]) by adding to the CMM low losses fishnet arrangements [4], [14]. Unlike the structure proposed in [15], where the negative refraction bandwidth is shifted away from the lossy frequency band region, this paper focuses on reducing the loss region itself.

As a first step, in previous communications [16], [17] two CMM structures were introduced, both showing promising results for reducing losses in the negative refraction region. The analysis of this type of gammadion-fishnet chiral structures is taken one step further by a more detailed study of these new designs and a qualitative explanation of the loss reduction mechanism. To support the numerical study, samples of two structures have been manufactured and experimentally characterized. Both numerical simulations and experiments data show how the addition of fishnet structures to a conjugated gammadion enlarges the negative refraction bandwidth and decreases the losses compared with the initial structure.

II. GAMMADION–FISHNET CHIRAL METAMATERIALS

A. Geometrical Models

The CMM structures proposed in this paper merge the conjugated gammadion (CG), originally studied by Zhao et al., [10], with two different fishnet metallic patterns. The first is composed by two orthogonal continuous metallic strips that intersect in the center of each face of the unit cell (Fig. 1b), and the second one adds to the previous crossed strips a metallic square patch centered in the unit cell (Fig. 1c), forming an isotropic-like fishnet [4].
and $3(\cdot)$ representing the real and imaginary parts respectively of a complex number. The branch of $m$ in (2) can be determined by assuming that the refraction index is similar to the background material and by applying continuity conditions far from the resonances.

From $Z$ and $n_s$, the equivalent characteristic parameters are obtained as follows:

$$n = \frac{n_s + n}{2}; \quad \kappa = \frac{n_s - n}{2}; \quad \epsilon = \frac{n}{Z}; \quad \mu = nZ \quad (3)$$

The transmission and reflection coefficients for linearly polarized incident waves were obtained through numerical simulations and experiments. The numerical analysis was carried out through full wave electromagnetic simulations using the Finite Differences Time Domain technique in Keysight EMPro 3D EM simulation software. For this purpose, a single unit cell is considered with periodic boundary conditions in the $x$ and $y$ directions and perfect absorbing layers in the $z$ direction. For the experimental characterization, samples with 22 x 22 unit cells, Fig. 1f and 1g, were manufactured by chemical etching. The measurements were obtained using an Agilent E8362A PNA Series Network Analyzer, and two standard gain horn antennas. The sample holder consists of a wood sheet covered by absorbent material with a square 19 cm wide opening in its center to place the sample. We have performed several measurements to determine the optimum separation between the antennas and the sample holder in order to maximize the field coupled on the metamaterial, reduce multiple reflections between sample and antennas and minimize the spurious propagation paths between antennas. The best results were obtained for separations of approximately 1 m.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Fishnet Chiral Metamaterial

Fig. 2 shows the transmission and reflection coefficients obtained from simulations for the CG (2a) and from measurements and simulations for the FCMM (2b). The good agreement reached between measurements and simulations for the FCMM case confirms the simulation model. A comparison of both figures shows that their frequency responses are quite similar in shape although with a frequency shift of about 4.5 GHz. Both plots present a high cross-polar transmission peak that coincides in frequency with a deep reflection dip. These similarities are due to the fact that both structures are formed by the same particles, the conjugated omegas (CO) particle, Fig. 3a, although arranged in a different way. A couple of orthogonal and overlapped CO forms the CG structure [10], Fig. 3b. For the FCMM structure, the fishnet wires produce new electric connections among unit cells that generates new pairs of non-overlapped horizontal and vertical resonant CO structures, identified in Fig. 3c as COHn and COVn, respectively. The smaller size of these new CO particles provides an upward frequency shift, which can be tuned by modifying the cell size; changing $\alpha_l$ modifies the segments defined in Fig. 1 as $l_2$, and thus varies the central segment of the COHn and COVn structures.
Fig. 4 presents the refractive indices \( n^+ \) and \( n^- \) of both structures. We can observe that their responses are interchanged. For the gammadion case, the LHCP eigenmode resonates and presents negative refraction, Fig. 4a, while for the FCMM case the resonance appears in the RHCP eigenmode. This change is due to the opposite handedness of COH and COV, see Fig. 3b, compared with COHn and COVn, Fig. 3c.

Just above the resonance frequency, the refractive indices present negative values. Near the resonances the losses, i.e. \( |\Im(n^\pm)| \), are relatively high. However, there is a short bandwidth (BW) in both structures where \( \Re(n^\pm) \) is still negative and \( \Im(n^\pm) \) tends to zero, i.e. low losses. Comparing both responses, we can observe that the FCMM presents a BW of 0.75 GHz that is around 13 times larger than the CG case. The negative refractive indices in both cases present typical values for such materials, in the range between -2 and 0. However, due to the strong reduction of the imaginary part, the FoM(\( n^+ \)) of the FCMM case reaches a maximum of 38, whereas the highest FoM(\( n^- \)) for the CG case is only 10.

### B. Patched Fishnet Chiral Metamaterial

The PFCMM structure is an improved variation of the FCMM that includes a square patch to reduce losses. The most suitable patch side length, \( w_p \), was obtained through an optimization process. Fig. 5 shows \( \Im(n^\pm) \) for several values of \( w_p \).

It can be clearly seen that the longer the patch side is the lower the losses are, getting the optimum case for \( w_p = 5.5 \) mm. Fig. 6 shows the refractive indices \( n^\pm \) of the PFCMM. A notable increase of up to 20% of BW compared with the FCMM case and 15 times larger than the BW of CG can be observed. Furthermore, FoM(\( n^\pm \)) reaches a maximum value of 56, which is almost 50% higher than the FCMM case.

The losses reduction in the frequency region with negative refraction can be better understood by looking into the normalized wave impedance of the PFCMM. Fig. 7 shows the real and imaginary parts of Z as a function of the patch size. The non-patched structure (FCMM case), with \( w_p = 0.7 \) mm, mainly
presents an inductive behavior, $\Im(Z) > 0$, due to the metallic wires of the fishnet grid. As the size of the patch increases, the inductive effect of the wires is partially compensated by the capacitance of the parallel-plate capacitor formed by the two metallic patches. Therefore, $Z$ is less dispersive, $\Re(Z)$ converges to a straight line with values between 0.2 and 0.3 and $\Im(Z)$ tends to zero. When $w_p = 5.5$ mm, the inductive and capacitive effects are counterbalanced and therefore $\Im(Z)$ is close to zero. For bigger patch sizes, the capacitive effect is predominant and thus $\Im(Z)$ becomes negative and the material more dispersive.

The variation of the reactance produced by changing the patch size has a direct effect over the chiral metamaterial losses. From Fig. 5 and Fig. 7b we can observe that both $\Im(n_+)$ and $\Im(Z)$ tend to 0 as $w_p$ tends to 5.5mm. Fig. 8 represents the reactance and $\Im(n_+)$ for two limit cases. The first case, red lines, represents a structure without patch (FCMM). In this situation, in the frequency band where $\Im(Z) \neq 0$ the structure presents a lossy behavior with $\Im(n_+) \neq 0$. With the optimum patch size, blue lines, the reactance is null and $\Im(n_+)$ is also very close to zero. In these conditions the proposed structure presents negative refraction but with low loss.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In this work, two new low loss chiral metamaterial structures constituted by merging a lossy chiral metamaterial, a conjugated gammadion, with two fishnet structures are presented. These proposed structures were modeled and characterized by using numerical simulations and experiments and a very good agreement was found.

These structures show a great improvement in the FoM of the refractive indices and the bandwidth with negative refraction index compared with the starting structure, the conjugated gammadion. In the case of the FCMM, the FoM($n_+)$ increases almost by a factor of four, up to 38, while the bandwidth with negative refraction enlarges by a factor of 13, up to 0.77 GHz. The FCMM is optimized with the inclusion of a metallic patch that counterbalances the inductive response of the wires of the fishnet. Consequently, losses decrease, FoM increases and the negative refraction bandwidth is enlarged. The optimized patch structure presents a FoM($n_+)$ = 56 and a bandwidth of 0.9 GHz with negative refraction index. The properties of the designed structures make them suitable candidate for applications requiring negative refraction.
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