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Abstract

We report on the successful preparation of Fe-N codoped Titania powders, using TiO$_2$ Degussa P25, salt of Fe (II), and Urea. Modified Titania-based materials were characterized by SEM, EDS, BET, Raman, XRD diffraction and diffuse reflectance UV-vis spectroscopy measurements. The doping of TiO$_2$ induced a shift in the absorption threshold towards the spectral range, obtaining catalysts with a greater photoactivity than the one of pure Degussa P25. The degradation of 200 mL of a solution with 50 mgL$^{-1}$ acid blue BR dye in sulfate medium at pH 3.0 has been comparatively studied by electrochemical oxidation using a boron doped diamond anode (EO-BDD), Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N, and coupled material of EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N. The solution was slowly degraded by EO-BDD (25%) and single Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N because of the low rate of dye degradation and its colored by-products with hydroxyl radicals generated at the BDD anode and catalyst surface from water oxidation (29%), whereas the solution was more rapidly degraded using coupled material of EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N (82%), owing to the additional generation of hydroxyl radicals from the photocatalysis of TiO$_2$-Fe-N and BDD anode.

Key words: wastewater treatment, Fe-N codoped TiO$_2$, photoelectrocatalysis, synergistic effect.
1. Introduction

The photocatalysis based on semiconductor has been extensively studied and has become one of the most important methods in environmental protection procedures, such as air and water purification, hazardous waste remediation, and so on [1]. In recent years, a considerable effort has been devoted to the study of metal and nonmetal doped titanium dioxide, in order to improve the photocatalytic efficiency of TiO$_2$, in the enhancement of the photoresponse of TiO$_2$ from ultraviolet to the visible range without decreasing photocatalytic activity [2]. The dopants create changes in the band gap of TiO$_2$, which can shift the absorption edge of TiO$_2$. Some experimental results have also shown that the incorporation of metal ions in TiO$_2$ crystal structure can do both: the narrow band gap of TiO$_2$ reduces the electron–hole recombination rate, and thereby increases the photocatalytic efficiency of TiO$_2$ using UV light [3]. A systematic study has been conducted of the doping of TiO$_2$ with 21 metal ions. Fe$^{3+}$ was considered to be a successful doping element due to its half-filled electronic configuration [4]. Nitrogen doping has received much attention due to its low cost and demonstration of the band gap narrowing, with significant improvement in visible light absorption capability [2,5]. Further, nitrogen can be easily introduced in the TiO$_2$ structure, due to its comparable atomic size with oxygen, small ionization energy, metastable center formation, and stability [6]. Research results showed that the modification of titania by codoping may be a more effective method to improve the photocatalytic activity under UV and visible light [2,7].

In the field of TiO$_2$ photocatalyzed reactions, electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs) have recently received increasing attention for removal of organic contaminants
from wastewater \[8,9,10\]. Within the EAOPs, the most used process is the electrochemical oxidation (EO), which can be easily applied to electrochemical dyes decolorization. EO consists in the oxidation of pollutants in an electrochemical cell by (1) direct anodic oxidation (i.e., direct electron transfer to the anode), which yields very poor decontamination; (2) indirect or mediated oxidation via chemical reaction with electrogenerated species from water discharge at the anode surface, such as physically adsorbed “active oxygen” or chemisorbed active oxygen \[8,9\]. Moreover, the EO is a technology that offers additional advantages, such as environmental compatibility, versatility and amenability to automation \[11\]. The main environmental advantage consists in the compatibility due to the in-situ generation of free hydroxyl radical (\( \cdot \text{OH} \)) by means of water discharge at the anode surface, essentially without addition of any other chemical reagents \[12,13\].

To carry out this reaction, at this time, boron doped diamond (BDD) electrodes are considered to be the best anodic materials for EO because they are capable to mineralize a large number of aromatics and aliphatic carboxylic acids \[11,14,15,16\]. The generation of the physisorbed oxidant BDD(\( \cdot \text{OH} \)) proceeds as follows:

\[
\text{BDD} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{BDD}(\cdot \text{OH}) + \text{H}^+ + e^- \\
(1)
\]

In order to obtain a better understanding of the viability of EAOP coupled with the photocatalytic process, a study was carried out to decolorize and degrade a complex dye, an acid blue dye BR which is daily used in the tanning industry in the region of León, Mexico.

This paper presents the results obtained from the comparative decolorization and degradation of blue dye BR solutions in \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) by EO-BDD, Photocatalysis TiO\(_2\)-Fe-N,
and coupled material of EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO₂-Fe-N. The effect of the current applied on their degradation were examined to better clarify the role of each process. The dye degradation were followed by UV–vis determinations and High-resolution liquid chromatography (HPLC).

2. Materials and Methods

The following commercial reagents were used: Titania Degussa P25, iron chloride (II) tetrahydrate (>99% sigma-aldrich), urea (>98% sigma-aldrich), isopropyl alcohol (>99% sigma-aldrich), distilled water (J.T. Baker). All the chemicals were used as any purchased purification. The preparation of Fe-N codoped material TiO₂ was carried out by sol-gel method as follows. First, 1.44 g of TiO₂ was dispersed in 200 mL of isopropyl alcohol under stirring for 120 min, followed by the dropped iron (II) chloride tetra hydrate solution 0.5% wt, and 3 mL urea aqueous solution (25%). Mixture was continuously stirred at room temperature for 24h, finally it was dried at 80 °C. The resulting product was calcined in static air at 500 °C for 4 h annealing.

2.1. Characterization

Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were collected at Micromeritics Tri Star IIPLUS equipment (BET, for specific surface area and porosity evaluation). The sample was degassed at 200 °C for 2 h prior to the measurement. The surface morphologies of the nanoparticles were seen by field emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM, ZEISS, voltage 20 KV). Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX, voltage 20 KV) was also used for the chemical analysis of the nanoparticles. The Raman spectra were recorded on Thermo-Scientific DXR Raman microscope equipped with a 14 mW laser emitting at 780±0.2 nm. All the spectra were obtained at room temperature using 100% of the laser
power, a slit aperture of 50 µm and 200 scans/spectrum [17]. The XRD diffraction patterns of the samples were measured in a θ–θ Bruker D-8 Advance diffractometer with CuKα radiation, a graphite secondary-beam monochromator, and a scintillation detector. Diffraction intensity was measured between 4 and 80°, with a 2θ step of 0.02° and a counting time of 9 s per point. The band gap energy of the codoped material was established by UV–visible light DRS using a scanning Ocean Optics USB2000+F0009 UV-vis spectrophotometer, which was used in diffuse reflectance mode (maximal resolution, ±2 nm). Reflectance mode studies were performed with the aid of a R400-7-UV/Vis reflection/backscattering probe [18,19]. Thereafter, optical band gap (Eg in eV) were estimated by feeding the Equation (2) with the respective wavelength onset (λ_onset in nm) values [20].

\[ E_g = \frac{1240 \text{eV} \cdot \text{nm}}{\lambda_{onset}} \]  

(2)

2.2. Solutions

The as-prepared codoped material was evaluated by the commercial acid blue BR dye degradation, that was supplied by PCL S.A. de C.V. (Mexico), which molecular weight is 678.68 g mol⁻¹, C₃₂H₂₈N₂Na₂O₈S₂ (see Table 1). The dye solutions were prepared with ultrapure water from a Millipore Milli-Q system (resistivity > 18 MΩ cm, 25°C). The background electrolyte was introduced as Na₂SO₄, of analytical grade, purchased from Karal. The solution’s pH was adjusted to 3.0 with analytical grade H₂SO₄, supplied by Merck. Solvents and other chemicals were either of analytical or HPLC grade, purchased from Panreac and Sigma-Aldrich.

2.3. Arrangement of photoelectrochemical system
The experiments were carried out in a stirred tank cell at laboratory scale of 200 mL. In all assays, the solution was vigorously stirred with a magnetic bar at 500 rpm in order to mix the dye and transport its mass between the catalyst and the BDD surface, obtaining with this stirring a flow in the turbulent regime (Reynolds number = 5845). The electrodes were a 5 cm$^2$ BDD thin film, purchased from Metakem™ (Germany), and 5 cm$^2$ Pt purchased from Electrochem™. The inter-electrode gap was of about 2.5 cm. Cyclic voltammetry curve was already reported in previous study [21]. Solutions with 50 mgL$^{-1}$ of acid blue dye BR in 0.5 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ were degraded at pH 3.0 by coupled EO-BDD/TiO$_2$-Fe-N. The influence of the current applied between 125 and 280 A$m^{-2}$ was provided by a BK precision of 1627A power supply. UV light with $\lambda$ = 365 nm and an intensity of 75 mW·cm$^{-2}$ were used to illuminate the system, provided by UVP Inc, as shown in Fig. 1.

2.4. Analytical procedures

The decolorization of dye solutions followed from their absorbance (A) decay at the maximum wavelength in the visible region of $\lambda_{max}$= 612 nm for acid blue dye BR using a GBC-Cintra 1010 UV–VIS spectrophotometer. The percentage of color removal or decolorization efficiency was calculated by Equation (3) [22]:

$$\%\text{Color removal} = \frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0} \times 100$$

(3)

Where $A_0$ and $A_t$ are the initial and final absorbances at times 0 and t, respectively, at $\lambda_{max} = 612$ nm.

The acid blue dye BR concentration abatement was monitored by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using an Agilent 1260 Infinity LC, fitted with an Agilent Eclipse C18 PAH reverse phase (250 mm × 4.6 mm 3 mm particle size), and
coupled with an Agilent G4212B diode array detector set at $\lambda = 226$ nm. Aliquots of 20 $\mu$L were injected into the LC upon elution of a 30:30:40 (v/v), CH$_3$OH:H$_2$O:CH$_3$CN mixture was eluted at 0.8 mL min$^{-1}$ as mobile phase. The chromatograms displayed a peak for acid blue dye BR anion with a retention time ($t_r$) of 2.905 min [23].

**Results and discussion**

3.1. **Characterization of TiO$_2$-Fe-N**

It has been indicated that the incorporation of additives to TiO$_2$ had a suppressive effect on the crystal growth of the TiO$_2$, since the additives hindered contact between TiO$_2$ particles and inhibited crystal growth during heat treatment [24]. It is possible to achieve a crystallinity after calcination process that is due to grain growth, which is a consequence of the increase of atomic mobility, thermally endorsed crystallite growth [25,26]; what is shown on FE-SEM images of the modified photocatalysts 0.5 wt% Fe-N codoped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (Fig. 2a). The shape of titania Degussa P25-particles is generally spherical and quite stable; the pristine TiO$_2$ powder consists of relatively smaller and agglomerated powder particles (Fig. 2b), presenting an average size of 28 nm, value similar to other report [27]. According to the Fig. 2a, the 0.5 wt% Fe-N codoped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were larger than TiO$_2$ Degussa P25. In addition, it can be seen that the 0.5 wt% Fe-N codoped TiO$_2$ powders consist of nano-sized agglomerated crystals, reaching up to 100 nm. In order to establish the achievement of the targeted chemical composition in the synthesized powders, the TiO$_2$ Degussa P25 nanoparticles and 0.5 wt% Fe-N codoped TiO$_2$ were examined by EDX, (Fig. 3a.), where we can observe the presence of titanium and no impurities and reaction products were observed in the samples.
We performed a BET analysis in order to determine the specific surface area of the TiO$_2$-Fe-N. Fig. 3b shows the N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherm, assuming a linear transformation of the BET values, which do not exhibit hysteresis, leading to the specific surface area 8.56 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, compared with 52 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ that is reported in the literature for Degussa P25 [27,28]. Textural properties revealed the value of pore volume as 0.014 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ to TiO$_2$-Fe-N and 0.18 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for Degussa P25 [27]. Related to the pore size, a value of 5.7 nm was obtained for TiO$_2$-Fe-N codoped material compared to 13.7 nm in the case of Degussa P25 [27].

Fig 4. shows the XRD characterization of TiO$_2$-Fe-N material and pure TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. The peaks at $2\theta = 25.50^\circ$, 37.27$^\circ$, 48.6$^\circ$, 54.90$^\circ$, 55.00$^\circ$ and 63.51$^\circ$ corresponding to the anatase TiO$_2$ phase in all the samples. All the prepared samples formed a crystalline structure and the XRD patterns of the particles were quite similar. In the case of TiO$_2$-Fe-N, no new peak corresponding to the incorporation of any impurity was observed. This information confirms that iron doping on titanium is carried out without changing the structure and there is only a small change in the lattice parameters of the TiO$_2$:Fe nanoparticles at the a-axis and c-axis in comparison with pure TiO$_2$ because of the doping process and the concentration of low dopant (iron atoms) in TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

On the other hand, the average crystal size of the modified TiO$_2$:Fe-N nanoparticles was evaluated from the line broadening of the peak (101) using the Scherrer equation [29]:

$$ D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \Theta} $$

(4)
Where, D is the average crystallite size (nm), K is the particle shape factor and taken as 0.89, λ is the X-ray wavelength corresponding to the Cu-Kα irradiation, and it is the calibrated half-intensity width of the selected diffraction peak (degrees), that is, the diffraction angle. In the case of TiO₂-Fe-N the average crystal size is 29 nm.

The vibratory spectra of the structure by means of Raman spectroscopy which allows distinguishing the difference between the TiO₂-Fe-N and Degussa P25, in order to investigate the influence of Fe and N doping. Raman scattering is a technique for detecting the incorporation of dopants and the resulted defects. The major crystalline phase detected in the samples is anatase, and the sample after doping with iron-nitrogen shows amounts of rutile and anatase phases. A study of the structure in titania (Degussa P25) carried out by [30], reveals multiphasic material consisting crystalline phases anatase and rutile in the approximate proportions 80/20, and a small amount of amorphous phase. The photocatalytic activity of Degussa P25 has been given in terms of the enhancement in the magnitude of the space-charge potential, which is created by contact between the different phases.

The structural characteristics and phase composition of the Degussa P25 in comparison with TiO₂-Fe-N were further investigated by Raman spectroscopy (Fig. 5a). The titania Degussa P25 sample exhibits the characteristic Raman active phonon of the anatase phase: 516 cm⁻¹ (A₁g + B₁g), 396 cm⁻¹ (B₁g), and 144, 197, 638 cm⁻¹ (Eg). Further increase of the calcination temperature for TiO₂-Fe-N resulted in the growth of the rutile phase, with three signals: multi-phonon (230 cm⁻¹), Eg 445 cm⁻¹ and A₁g 610 cm⁻¹. Eg B₁g and A₁g are caused by symmetric stretching, bending and antisymmetric O-Ti-O, respectively [31].
The relative anatase/rutile ratio has been determined from the integrated areas of the Raman analysis of the anatase 396 cm\(^{-1}\) and rutile 440 cm\(^{-1}\) bands, and values of Degussa P25 and TiO\(_2\)-Fe-N, respectively. The fact that the anatase and rutile structure for the Fe-doped TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles is preserved indicates that the iron dopant is substitutionally interposed in the TiO\(_2\) frame-work replacing Ti\(^{4+}\) cation [32,33].

Respecting the dopant nitrogen, we must consider 3 main factors to explain its effect: the synthesis conditions adopted, the annealing made to the sample, and the quantity of the dopant urea species in Degussa P25. If the factors mentioned above are ignored, the simple doping will provide only two possibilities: that the nitrogen can act as a recombination center, or that it can promote the recombination because of the generation of oxygen vacancies. It is difficult to assign an optimal value to the nitrogen dopant species concentration and to calculate the real quantity of nitrogen associated to the titania lattice, which never matches with the nominal estimation. Since the thermal treatment is at 500 °C, it can be inferred that the generated vacancies are oxygen ones, because it is difficult to attach nitrogen to the lattice [5].

UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra were recorded to determine the effect of metal doping on the TiO\(_2\) absorption edge (Fig. 5b). As can be seen, the Eg value for TiO\(_2\) Degussa P25 was 3.3 eV at \(\lambda = 375\) nm, similar to the value given in different reports [6,34,35]. However, an increase in the absorbance capacity at approximately \(\lambda = 420\) nm was observed in the case of the sample doped with the Fe-N amount, producing the decrease in the Eg value, close to 2.9 eV. This behavior can be attributed to the interfacial charge transfer from Fe\(^{3+}\) to the conduction band of Ti(IV) and partially to the 4A2g \(\rightarrow\) 4T1g transition band of Fe\(^{3+}\) [36].
3.2. Photoelectrocatalysis acid blue dye BR degradation

The degradation of acid blue dye BR solutions was carried out by EO. In this electrochemical technique, pollutants are mainly degraded by the action of adsorbed OHO formed as intermediaries at the surface of a high O₂-overvoltage anode from water oxidation reaction (1). Free radical 'OH is a non-selective, strong oxidizing agent, able to react with the most organic compounds until their total mineralization, i.e. their conversion into CO₂ [37,38]. Recent investigations have confirmed that the sp³ (diamond)/sp² (graphite) ratio has a key role in the electrochemical properties of BDD electrodes. The most relevant result supposes that the EO mechanism is strongly influences by the BDD characteristics; particularly the ratio diamond/graphite carbon. High graphite content favors direct oxidation of the pollutant on the electrode surface and it leads to the formation of many intermediates. On contrary, high diamond content seems to favor the complete oxidation of the organic to CO₂ [39,40].

The decay in color of dyes wastewater during treatment by advanced oxidation processes is usually monitored from the decolorization efficiency or percentage of color removal determined from UV-vis spectrophotometry measurements [3,35,37]. So, acid blue dye BR solutions at initial concentration of 50 mgL⁻¹ treated by EO with BDD anodes were progressively degraded with prolonging electrolysis time up to 60 min, according to the gradual decay observed for the color.

The current density is a key parameter in EO with BDD anodes, because it regulates the amount of oxidant BDD (OH) produced by reaction (1) [41]. The influence of this independent variable on the decolorization of acid blue dye BR solutions is illustrated in Fig. 6. As it can be seen, an increase in the applied current led to higher degradation rate, at
60 min of degradation the color removal for 50 mgL\(^{-1}\) dye was only of 15% at 125 Am\(^{-2}\) (■), whereas for 280 Am\(^{-2}\) (●) reached around 25% for the same treatment time. The higher degradation rate with the increasing current applied can be simply associated with the concomitant acceleration of reaction (1), thereby generating larger quantities of BDD ('OH) that destroy further and quickly the organic compounds [42].

In the same figure, it is also possible to observe the changes in the color as a function of the oxidant dosage during the treatment of acid blue dye BR solutions with EO and photocatalysis, using the TiO\(_2\)-Fe-N material. As it also can be observed, both technologies are able to decrease significantly the organic quantity of the synthetic waste, although the performances observed are completely different [43,44].

When EO is coupled with the photocatalysis using TiO\(_2\)-Fe-N (via photoelectrocatalysis), the performance in terms of color removal is different to that obtained working with the individual processes of EO or photocatalysis[45], as it can be seen in Fig. 6. The degradation of the acid blue dye BR solution was carried out by anodic oxidation combined with photocatalysis, TiO\(_2\)-Fe-N, both advanced oxidation processes, produce efficiently free radicals 'OH. It is worth noticing that the pH was constant during all the experiments.

With the objective of comparing the photoactivity of both TiO\(_2\)-N-Fe and Degussa P25 TiO\(_2\) photocatalysts, different tests were performed using 1 gL\(^{-1}\) of each catalyst in a medium illuminated with UV light to degrade 50 mgL\(^{-1}\) of the acid blue BR dye. The results depicted in Fig. 6 indicate that only 12% of color removal is achieved when commercial TiO\(_2\) is used (x), while the catalyst TiO\(_2\)-Fe-N (+) favors higher degradation
yield, close to 29%, which highlights that the synthesized material is more effective for color removal under the conditions analyzed in this work.

Additionally, in order to evaluate the abatement of acid blue dye BR concentration, reversed-phase liquid chromatography tests were performed. These chromatograms exhibited a well-defined peak for this compound at \( t_r = 2.905 \text{ min} \) (Fig. 7a). As shown in Fig. 7b, under EO process at 125 Am\(^{-2}\) current density (■), it is possible to reduce the dye concentration by 30%. When the current was increased to 280 Am\(^{-2}\), it is possible to observe an important increase in the degradation rate, where in the first 60 min the process achieved 77% of degradation (●). For the case with the coupled process EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO\(_2\)-Fe-N, when 125 Am\(^{-2}\) is applied, an important degradation is obtained, only in the first 60 minutes the dye degraded to 85% (▼). The most successful result is obtained when 280 Am\(^{-2}\) is carried out, achieving 99% of acid blue dye BR degradation (♦). A gradual increase in acid blue dye BR removal is carried out by the rising current from 125 Am\(^{-2}\) to 280 Am\(^{-2}\) in both cases. This enhancement of the degradation can be associated with a faster destruction of pollutants by the increase in the rate of reaction (5) to produce more quantity of \(^{\bullet}\)OH.

\[
\text{hv} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow ^{\bullet}\text{OH} + \text{H}^+ \quad (5)
\]

3.3. Identification of short-linear aliphatic carboxylic acids

The 50 mgL\(^{-1}\) acid blue dye BR solutions were analyzed at regular times by ion-exclusion HPLC during their electrolysis at 280 Am\(^{-2}\) by the EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO\(_2\)-Fe-N for 300 min to identify and quantify the final short-linear aliphatic carboxylic acids produced [11,37], as can be seen in Fig. 8. These chromatograms displayed well-defined peaks
related to (♦) oxalic, (●) maleic, (■) glyoxylic, and (▲) glycolic acids. The former four acids can proceed from the cleavage of the rings of the dye, and they subsequently lead to complete mineralization with further treatment time [46,47]. The evolution of the most significant acids was carried out as follows: Glyoxylic and glycolic acids were always accumulated in very low concentrations (<3 mg L\(^{-1}\)), tending to disappear from the medium after 60 min. In the case of maleic acid, there was an accumulation close to 1.3 mg L\(^{-1}\) in the first 60 min, as the oxidation evolves. Finally, it was observed that the concentration of oxallic acid was increased around 3 mg L\(^{-1}\) in first hour of treatment.

3.4. Synergistic analysis

It was interesting to know the synergistic effect between the EO (Process-1) and photocatalysis (Process-2). This synergistic analysis was evaluated by relating the rate constants (k) of the individual and combined processes through Equation (6), as reported by several authors [48,49]:

\[
\% \text{Synergy} = 1 - \frac{k_{\text{Process-1}} + k_{\text{Process-2}}}{k_{\text{Combined-processes}}} \times 100
\]  

(6)

Then, Equation (7) was obtained rearranging the values of k corresponding to the processes applied for the treatment of acid blue dye BR solutions.

\[
\% \text{Synergy} = 1 - \frac{k_{\text{EO-BDD}} + k_{\text{TiO}_2-\text{Fe-N}}}{k_{\text{EO-BDD/TiO}_2-\text{Fe-N}}} \times 100
\]  

(7)

Where \(k_{\text{EO-BDD}}\) and \(k_{\text{TiO}_2-\text{Fe-N}}\) are the kinetic constants of color removal via EO with BDD anode and via photocatalysis using the synthesized catalyst, while the \(k_{\text{EO-BDD/TiO}_2-\text{Fe-N}}\) is the kinetic constant of color removal of the photoelectrocatalytic treatment.
Therefore, the pseudo-first order rate constants were calculated, as well as the regression coefficients ($R^2$) for both technologies. These values are shown on Table 2.

From the kinetic constants it was possible to quantify the synergy between the processes. There appears to be a synergistic effect between EO and photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N, since the rate constants of the combined processes ($k_{EO-BDD/TiO_2-Fe-N}$) are greater than the sum of the rate constants of the individual processes ($k_{EO-BDD} + k_{TiO_2-Fe-N}$) [48].

Regarding the relative contribution of individual oxidation technologies to the global color removal the effect of each individual process was determined using Equations (8) and (9):

$$\% EO - BDD = \frac{k_{EO-BDD}}{k_{EO-BDD/TiO_2-Fe-N}} \times 100$$

(8)

$$\% TiO_2 - Fe - N = \frac{k_{TiO_2-Fe-N}}{k_{EO-BDD/TiO_2-Fe-N}} \times 100$$

(9)

Fig. 9 shows the synergistic effects during the color removal of acid blue dye BR via single EO-BDD, single TiO$_2$-Fe-N and EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 125 and 280 Am$^{-2}$, respectively. In Fig. 9, it was also depicted that EO-BDD/TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 280 Am$^{-2}$ allowed 71.3% of synergy, while EO-BDD/TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 125 Am$^{-2}$ reached 17.9%. Therefore, this effect was most evident operating at the highest current density; it was 4 fold higher than at 125 Am$^{-2}$. This means that there was a beneficial effect of coupling EO with the photocatalytic process in both cases.

The fast recombination of the electron-hole pairs formed is avoided in photoelectrocatalysis by applying an external bias potential to the photocatalyst that extracts the photogenerated
electrons up to the cathode of the electrolytic cell, improving the production of hydroxyl radicals generated by the oxidation of water through the holes at the TiO$_2$ valence band. [50,51].

3.5. Energy consumption

One way to evaluate the energy input of the different processes is through estimation of the parameter Electric Energy per Order (E$_{EO}$) that relates the kinetics of each treatment and its energy consumption to the color removal [50]. The E$_{EO}$ is defined as the electric energy required to degrade a concentration of pollutant by one order of magnitude (90%) in a unit volume of aqueous solution [11]. Equation (10) shows the expression used to calculate the E$_{EO}$ in kWh m$^{-3}$ order$^{-1}$, where the values of color decay are used because these values were adequately fitted to a pseudo-first order kinetic expression [50,52].

$$E_{EO} = \frac{P_{el}}{V \cdot \log(\frac{color_0}{color_f})}$$

(10)

Equation (11) is obtained rearranging values:

$$E_{EO} = \frac{P_{el}}{V \cdot 0.434 \cdot k \cdot 60}$$

(11)

$P_{el}$ is the electric power (kW), $t$ is time (h), $V$ is the reaction volume (m$^3$), $k$ is the pseudo-first order kinetic constant (min$^{-1}$) determined previously for color removal.

Fig. 10 shows that the EO process using a current density of 125 Am$^{-2}$ required the lowest energy consumption of 23.76 kWh m$^{-3}$ order$^{-1}$, while EO with 280 Am$^{-2}$ required almost 3 fold E$_{EO}$ (67.55 kWh m$^{-3}$ order$^{-1}$); those values are in agreement with other reports of
electrochemical treatment of dyes and other compounds [11,52]. On the other hand, photocatalysis using TiO$_2$-Fe-N required the highest energy consumption reaching a value of 984.62 kWh m$^{-3}$ order$^{-1}$, mainly due to the electric power consumed by the lamp ($2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ kW) compared to EO ($1.5 \times 10^{-3} - 3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ kW).

The coupling of EO-BDD with photocatalysis using TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 280 Am$^{-2}$ provided a decrease in the value of $E_{EO}$ around 3 fold lower (140.18 kWh m$^{-3}$ order$^{-1}$) than the value obtained with EO-BDD/TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 125 Am$^{-2}$ (465.26 kWh m$^{-3}$ order$^{-1}$). This behavior was due to the high values of kinetic constants obtained at 280 Am$^{-2}$ via photoelectrocatalysis.

4. Conclusions

At the beginning of this investigation we raised two important questions, the first was if the degradation of dye blue acid dye BR could be successfully achieved using the catalyst TiO$_2$-Fe-N coupled with BDD anode. In this sense, the answer is positive, since the results show that the coupled process provides an improvement of one order of magnitude in relation to the others. The second question was related to the effect of operation variables such as current density. Here, the results are evident, since as it was found, a gradual increase in the intensity of the current considerably improves the degradation of the dye, and a greater amount of oxidizing species is produced. Regarding synergy effect, both processes resulted positive. However, at 280 Am$^{-2}$ this effect was more evident than at less current density.

Regarding energy consumption, EO processes allowed a lower $E_{EO}$ in comparison to photoelectrocatalytic processes. However, when coupling the EO-BDD and the photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N, kinetic constant increased.
In the light of these results, our perspectives will now focus on the study of the coupled mechanism; as well, we will make an evaluation of the possible routes of degradation of the dye studied.
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Tables.
Table 1. Acid blue BR dye properties.

Table 2. Kinetics constants of the color removal for the individual and combined oxidation processes.

Figure Captions.

Figure 1. Schematic of experimental setup used. a) Reactor of borosilicate glass, b) Electrodes (anode and cathode), c) Power Supply (BK Precision), d) Stirring plate (Corning), e) UV light source.

Figure 2. Results of the FE-SEM analysis of the (a) Titania 0.5 wt% Fe-N codoped nanoparticles after thermal treatment; (b) Titania Degussa P25 spherical nanoparticles undoped.

Figure 3. (a) EDX graphic of the Titania 0.5 wt% Fe-N codoped; (b) N₂ Adsorption-Desorption Isotherm obtained codoped TiO₂-Fe-N.

Figure 4. XRD patterns of the pure TiO₂ and TiO₂-Fe-N nanoparticles, pure TiO₂ (blue line), TiO₂-Fe-N (red line). The anatase and rutile phases are identified as A and Ru, respectively.

Figure 5. (a) Raman spectra obtained for Fe-doped (red line) and un-doped (blue line) TiO₂ powders; (b) UV-vis spectra obtained for Fe-doped (red line) and un-doped (blue line) TiO₂ powders.

Figure 6. Color removal for the treatment of 200 mL of a solution of 50 mgL⁻¹ acid blue dye BR with 0.5 mM Na₂SO₄ at pH 3. Single Electrochemical oxidation (EO-BDD) (■,●).
Combined EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N ($\blacktriangledown$,♦). Applied current density: (■,$\blacktriangledown$) 125 Am$^{-2}$ and (●,♦) 280 Am$^{-2}$, respectively. Single photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N (+) and TiO$_2$ (X). Experiments were carry out adding 1 gL$^{-1}$ TiO$_2$-Fe-N catalyst and using 5 cm$^2$ BDD electrode area. Solid lines correspond to simulated values fitted to a pseudo-first order kinetic.

**Figure 7.** (a) Representative chromatogram of the acid blue dye and its retention time. Change of (b) degradation dye rate obtained by single electrochemical oxidation (EO-BDD) (■,●) and by combined EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N ($\blacktriangledown$,♦) during the treatment of acid blue dye BR solutions. Applied current density: (■,$\blacktriangledown$) 125 Am$^{-2}$ and (●,♦) 280 Am$^{-2}$.

**Figure 8.** Time-course of the concentration of oxalic (♦), maleic (●), glyoxylic(■) and glycolic (▲) acids detected during the EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N degradation of 200 mL of a solution of 50 mgL$^{-1}$ acid blue BR in 0.5 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ at pH 3.0 using a stirred BDD/air-diffusion tank reactor at 280 Am$^{-2}$.

**Figure 9.** Synergistic effects during the color removal of acid blue dye BR via single EO-BDD, single TiO$_2$-Fe-N and EO-BDD/Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 125 and 280 Am$^{-2}$, respectively. Experimental conditions: 200 mL of acid blue dye BR 50 mgL$^{-1}$, solution in 0.5 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ at pH 3, adding 1 gL$^{-1}$ TiO$_2$-Fe-N catalyst and using 5 cm$^2$ BDD electrode area.

**Figure 10.** Electrical Energy per Order ($E_{EO}$) estimated for the color removal applying different oxidation processes. Experimental conditions: 200 mL of acid blue dye BR 50
mgL\textsuperscript{-1}, solution in 0.5 mM Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} at pH 3, adding 1 gL\textsuperscript{-1} TiO\textsubscript{2}-Fe-N catalyst and using 5 cm\textsuperscript{2} BDD electrode area.
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(a) Raman shift (cm\(^{-1}\)) vs. intensity (cps)

(b) Wavelength (nm) vs. Kubelka-Munk coefficient (a.u.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(\lambda_{onset})</th>
<th>Band gap (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P25 TiO(_2)</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)-Fe-N</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>$C_{32}H_{28}N_2Na_2O_8S_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Chemical structure | ![Chemical Structure](image)
| Molecular Weight: | 678.68 g/mol |
Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidation processes</th>
<th>$k_{\text{color}}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2_{\text{color}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EO-BDD at 125 Am$^2$</td>
<td>3.00x10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO-BDD at 280 Am$^2$</td>
<td>4.40x10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO-BDD / TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 125 Am$^2$</td>
<td>8.40x10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO-BDD / TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 280 Am$^2$</td>
<td>2.89x10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photocatalysis TiO$_2$-Fe-N</td>
<td>3.90x10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Research Highlights

- Band gap value of TiO$_2$-Fe-N is 2.95 < 3.31 for TiO$_2$ Degussa P25.
- TiO$_2$-Fe-N material observed greater photoactivity than that of pure Degussa P25.
- 99% of acid blue dye BR degradation were achieved with acopled process.
- Coupling between electrochemical oxidation and photocatalysis observe major degradation.
- The process EO-BDD/TiO$_2$-Fe-N at 280 Am$^-2$ allowed 78% of synergy,