Modeling of the binodal curve of ionic liquid/salt aqueous systems
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Abstract

Ionic Liquid-based Aqueous Two Phase Systems (ILATPS) are an innovative technique to separate biomolecules that combines the advantages of liquid-liquid extraction and hydrophilic ionic liquids. Most ILATPS are based on ionic liquids and conventional inorganic salts, and the phase envelope, described by the binodal curve, is usually modeled by empirical equations that are used to determine the phase compositions and assess the ionic liquid recyclability. However, these empirical equations may provide a poor extrapolation ability or low accuracy at the extreme regions of the binodal curve or suffer from problems of convergence. Therefore, the aim of this work is the analysis of the binodal curve equations, comparing the models reported in the literature to describe ILATPS and proposing alternative equations to improve accuracy or to reduce the mathematical complexity. For this purpose, a database compiling binodal experimental
data of 100 ILATPS has been built, so that the analysis could make it possible to obtain representative conclusions for all these systems. Several models were developed, and different statistical criteria were used to assess the advantages and disadvantages of each one of these models for the binodal curve. The results show that, when accuracy is critical, a proposed model with just an additional parameter reduced more than 25% the residual mean squared error (RMSE) with respect to the commonly used equation, without losing the statistical significance of the parameters. For complex problems where an explicit equation in both the concentration of ionic liquid and of salt is needed, the use of an explicit model developed with 3 adjusted parameters that kept high accuracy ($R^2 > 0.996$ and RMSE < 0.66) is proposed. Finally, the analysis also revealed that a fitting method based on the minimization of relative errors is recommended to increase the accuracy of the binodal curve at high salt concentrations, which is the crucial region for assessing the recyclability of the ionic liquid.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The separation and purification of biomolecules usually represents about 60–90% of the cost of the final product(s), so downstream processing determines the efficiency and viability of the biotechnological processes [1]. Among the multiple alternatives to separate biomolecules, Ionic Liquid-based Aqueous Two-Phase Systems (ILATPS) stand out for being an innovative technique that combines the advantages of liquid-liquid extraction and ionic liquids [2,3]. ILATPS are powerful alternatives extracting biomolecules and have been widely used in the separation, concentration, and purification of proteins, amino-acids, antibiotics, antioxidants, alkaloids [4-6], among
others [2]. They are based on ionic liquids and salts, which form two aqueous phases: an ionic liquid-rich and a salt-rich phase. Many works can be found in literature in which these systems are characterized in terms of the binodal curve, which also makes it possible to compare the various systems with each other to derive information about the mechanisms responsible for the phase separation and the design of novel ATPS. Moreover, an accurate binodal curve is essential to experimentally determine the tie lines by means of the gravimetric method and, in this way, the composition of the two liquid phases [7-9].

However, rigorous models of the binodal curve for ILATPS with a theoretical support are not available. In this way, the binodal curve of ILATPS is usually described by means of the empirical equation proposed by Merchuk and collaborators [2,10,11]:

\[ [IL] = A \exp\left(B[S]^{0.5} - C[S]^3\right) \]  

where [IL] and [S] are the mass fractions of ionic liquid and salt expressed as percentage, respectively, and \( A, B \) and \( C \) are adjusted parameters. It should be noted that Merchuk’s equation was originally proposed to describe conventional aqueous two-phase systems based on polymers and salts. However, this equation also provides relatively high values of the \( R^2 \) when modeling ILATPS, but it requires 5 parameters (2 fixed and 3 adjusted) to fit the experimental data and some limitations have been detected for this model. In this sense, a higher accuracy may be required for describing the extreme regions of the binodal curve (at very high ionic liquid or salt concentrations) [7,12]. The region of very high salt mass fractions is essential to assess the ionic liquid recyclability to the process, so the accuracy of the binodal curve in this region is particularly important [13]. In addition, Eq. 1 may cause problems of convergence when it is used in the resolution of more complex problems (recyclability experimental schemes, for example) due to the fact that it is clearly non-linear and
implicit in salt concentration [14]. Therefore, the development of alternative models of
the binodal curve that overcome these drawbacks is particularly interesting. In the
literature, other empirical expressions have been proposed as alternative models to
enhance the accuracy [15-17]:

\[ [IL] = \exp \left( a + b[S]^{0.5} + c[S] + d[S]^2 \right) \]  

\[ [IL] = a_1 \exp \left( -\frac{[S]}{b_1} \right) + a_2 \exp \left( -\frac{[S]}{b_2} \right) + c \] 

where \(a, a_1, a_2, b, b_1, b_2\) and \(c\) are adjusted parameters. Both equations 2 and 3 contain a
higher number of adjusted parameters (4 and 5, respectively) than Merchuk’s equation.

Another approach reported in previous works [15,17-19] implies a binodal curve model
based on statistical geometry methods, developed by Guan et al. [20] for aqueous
polymer-polymer systems. This binodal equation has a theoretical support by means of
the concept of effective excluded volume (EEV) and contains only two adjusted
parameters:

\[ \ln \left( V_{213}^* \frac{[S]}{M_S} + f_{213} \right) + V_{213}^* \frac{[IL]}{M_{IL}} = 0 \] 

where \( V_{213}^* \) is the scaled EEV of salt; \( f_{213} \) is the volume fraction of unfilled effective
available volume after tight packing of the salt molecules into the ionic liquid molecules
network in ionic liquid aqueous solutions; and \( M_S \) and \( M_{IL} \) are the molecular masses of
the salt and the ionic liquid, respectively. It should be highlighted that only this binodal
curve model has some theoretical foundation, in contrast with the remaining models,
which are purely empirical. Few studies have carried out a comparison among models
for the binodal curve [15,17,21]. Nevertheless, these analyses have been done using a
reduced number of systems (lower than 10 in all the cases) and very simple statistical
criteria, such as the standard deviation and/or the \( R^2 \) coefficient. As a result, the
conclusions derived from these works with respect to the selection of the binodal curve model cannot be easily extrapolated to the hundreds of ILATPS described in literature. In this way, the aim of this work is the analysis of the binodal curve equation to describe ILATPS based on ionic liquids and salts, comparing the previous models and proposing either alternative equations which may improve its accuracy or simpler the mathematical models that keep successful performances. For this purpose, a database with the binodal data of 100 ILATPS was built and subsequently analyzed so that the conclusions obtained are representative for all these systems. Furthermore, different statistical criteria have been used in order to discuss in detail the advantages and disadvantages of each binodal equation.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1. Methodology

The methodology followed in this study is graphically summarized in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database (binodal data)</th>
<th>Data fitting to binodal curve models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ILATPS</strong></td>
<td><strong>Model 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$A_1$ $B_1$ $C_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$A_2$ $B_2$ $C_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$A_{100}$ $B_{100}$ $C_{100}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30 different ILs and 9 different (inorganic and organic) salts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical criteria for model discrimination and selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean $R^2$</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical criteria related to each ILATPS and model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ILATPS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1-Scheme of the methodology followed in this work.
Binodal data from 100 ILATPS systems were compiled from the literature \[7,22-30\] in order to assure that the conclusions derived from the study are representative of these types of systems. The database created included 100 ILATPS systems, which involved 30 different ILs and 9 different (inorganic and organic) salts. The binodal curves of these ILATPS were determined at room temperature, as liquid-liquid extraction with these systems is usually carried out at this temperature. In addition, it is expected that the conclusions derived in this work from the analysis of the binodal curve of ILATPS at room temperature can also be applied to other temperatures, as the linear dependency of the adjusted parameters of Merchuk’s equation with respect to temperature suggests. With respect to the influence of the temperature on ILATPS, the biphasic region decreases with the increase in this variable, which implies that the higher the temperature, the higher the salt and ionic liquid concentrations required for phase separation. However, the intensity of the temperature effect on the phase diagrams depends on the inorganic salt employed [2]. The complete dataset is included in Table S1 as Supplementary Material. For each ILATPS, the binodal data were fitted to each model that was considered in the study, obtaining the values of the adjusted parameters and the statistical criteria that will be described in section 2.2. Finally, for the discrimination and selection of the models, the means of the statistical criteria were calculated, and these means, for the 100 ILATPS, are the values that will be reported in section 3 “Results and discussion”.

**2.2. Statistical criteria for the discrimination and model selection**

The discrimination and selection of the binodal curve models has been carried by means of different statistical criteria that consider the accuracy, the significance of the parameters or the number of adjusted parameters [31]:

---
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- Coefficient of determination ($R^2$), which indicates the proportionate amount of variation in the response explained by the independent variable.

- The wideness of the confidence interval, which is a measure of the significance of the parameters; if a parameter is significant, it should not contain the zero value.

- Fischer’s F value (F), which is based on a null hypothesis that advocates for the adequacy of the model to the observed values of the measured variable.

- The residual mean squared error (RMSE), which is often considered a measure of the difference of the predicted values of the variable and the experimental observations.

- The Akaike information criterion (AIC), which gives information of the goodness of the fit while penalizing model overfitting by increasing the number of parameters of the model.

In general, the quality of each model to describe the experimental data increases with the value of $R^2$ and F, and as the wideness of the confidence interval, the RMSE and the AIC decrease. As previously explained, each model will be assessed by the mean value of these statistical criteria obtained for each of the ILATPS included in the database. However, in the case of the wideness of the confidence interval, as this criterion is determined as the mean of relative wideness (with respect to the value of each parameter) of all the parameters for each ILATPS, to avoid the interference of the extreme values, each model is characterized by the median wideness of the 100 ILATPS instead of by the mean value.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Assessment of the exponents of the Merchuk’s equation

As previously stated, Merchuk’s equation is widely applied to fit the binodal curve of ILATPS, even though it was developed to conventional polymer-salt APTS models
[10]. In this sense, it should be noticed that this equation contains two constant parameters that correspond to both exponents (see Eq. 1), meaning that the values used for the polymer-salt ATPS (0.5 and 3.0) may not be the most suitable ones to model ILATPS. Therefore, alternative values for the exponents of Merchuk’s equation were tested in order to assess if the fitting of the binodal data can be improved. To carry out this assessment, the binodal data of the 100 ILATPS systems of the database were fitted using different combinations of values of the Merchuk’s equation exponents. The results of the mean $R^2$ obtained for each pair of values of the exponents are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1-Mean $R^2$ obtained in the fitting of the ILATPS of the database of this study using different values of exponents D and E of Merchuk’s equation: $[\text{IL}] = A \exp (B [S]^D - C [S]^E)$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$D$</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99812</td>
<td>0.99813</td>
<td>0.99799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99794</td>
<td><strong>0.99815</strong></td>
<td>0.99812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99747</td>
<td>0.99794</td>
<td>0.99808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fitting of these mean $R^2$ values to a quadratic polynomial expression (Fig. 2) shows how they vary depending on the values of the exponents D and E used. The maximum value of mean $R^2$ for the 100 ILAPTS was obtained when exponents were D=0.506 and E=2.74. Consequently, the binodal data of these systems were fitted using these values of the exponents, obtaining that this maximum mean $R^2$ was equal to 0.99817, which resulted to be very similar to the value of 0.99815 obtained with the original Merchuk’s equation (i.e. D=0.5, E=3.0, Table 1). As can be seen, there is a region of combinations (D, E) in which almost the same mean $R^2$ value is obtained (higher than 0.9981) and that contains the original values of Merchuk’s equation. Since the studies in the
literature that model the binodal curve of ILAPTS with Merchuk’s equation routinely use 0.5 and 3.0 as values for the exponents, the very small increase in $R^2$ obtained does not justify to propose the change of these exponents. Although the values of the exponents (0.5, 3.0) are empirical and were developed for conventional polymer-salt ATPS, the results of our study reveal that they belong to the region of values (D, E) that describe the binodal curve of ILATPS with the highest accuracy when this equation is used.

Fig. 2-Fitting of the mean $R^2$ to the quadratic polynomial function depending on the values of Merchuk’s equation exponents.

3.2. Development and assessment of alternative binodal curve models for ILATPS

Different models were developed and their performance when describing the binodal curve of ILATPS was assessed. Table 2 summarizes the different alternative versions of the Merchuk’s equation tested, considering models with a different number of total parameters and adjusted parameters, and also models explicit in both variables (i.e. [IL], concentration of IL and [S], concentration of salt) or not. The mean values of statistical criteria $R^2$, F, RSME and AIC obtained when each of these models was used for the
ILATPS of the database are reported in Table 3, together with the confidence intervals, for which the median values are reported due to the higher robustness to extreme values that can be obtained in some ILATPS, as previously mentioned.

Table 2 - Models assessed to describe the binodal curve of ILATPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>No. of parameters</th>
<th>No. of adjusted parameters</th>
<th>Explicit in the two variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$[IL] = A \cdot \exp(B[S]^0 - C[S]^3)$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$[IL] = A \cdot \exp(B[S]^{0.5} - C[S]^2)$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$[IL] = A \cdot \exp(B[S]^{1.5} - C[S]^4)$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$[IL] = A \cdot \exp(B[S]^0)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$[IL] = A \cdot \exp(B[S])$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$[IL] = \exp(a + b[S]^{0.5} + c[S] + d[S]^2)$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\ln\left(\frac{V_{213}^e [S]}{M_S} + f_{213}\right) + V_{213}^e \frac{[IL]}{M_{Il}} = 0$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 - Summary of statistical criteria for the assessment of the models developed. Model numbers correspond to those listed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Mean $R^2$</th>
<th>Median confidence interval</th>
<th>Mean $F$</th>
<th>Mean RSME</th>
<th>Mean AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9991</td>
<td>27.50 %</td>
<td>2.088 $\cdot 10^5$</td>
<td>0.3264</td>
<td>-137.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
<td>47.86 %</td>
<td>1.704 $\cdot 10^5$</td>
<td>0.3585</td>
<td>-128.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
<td>5.728 %</td>
<td>1.556 $\cdot 10^5$</td>
<td>0.4469</td>
<td>-108.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9964</td>
<td>11.67 %</td>
<td>5.559 $\cdot 10^4$</td>
<td>0.6579</td>
<td>-55.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
<td>2.694 %</td>
<td>3.406 $\cdot 10^4$</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>-12.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
<td>22.01 %</td>
<td>1.990 $\cdot 10^5$</td>
<td>0.3188</td>
<td>-137.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9686</td>
<td>13.73 %</td>
<td>2.035 $\cdot 10^4$</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>41.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 2, Models 1 and 2 included an additional adjusted parameter compared to the original Merchuk’s equation (Model 3). Table 3 shows that both Models 1 and 2 gave very similar results, enhancing the accuracy with respect to the performance of the Merchuk’s equation (e.g. mean RSME is reduced 27% in Model 1 and 20% in Model 2 with respect to Model 3). Moreover, despite the lower median
confidence interval obtained with Model 3, which can be explained considering that it contains only 3 adjustable parameters instead of 4, in most of the ILATPS assessed, the values of the parameters of model 2 and, especially, of model 1 were statistically significant, so the inclusion of the fourth parameter is advisable, which is also proved by the AIC values. However, it should be mentioned that the 5-adjusted parameter equation (not included in Tables 2 and 3) leads to overfitting, since most of the parameters were not statistically significant. In addition, even though Models 1 and 2 only differ in the exponent that is adjusted, Model 1 clearly describes better the binodal curve, so the use of Model 2 is discarded. It is also important to note that the mathematical complexity of these models is identical, since all the parameters (fixed and adjusted) are constant once their values have been obtained. Therefore, the use of Model 1 is recommended when the maximum accuracy of the binodal curve is required.

All the previous models (1-3) are implicit in the salt concentration. For this reason, other models that can be explicit in the two variables ([IL] and [S]) were also developed, because, as already mentioned, reducing the mathematical complexity of the equation for the binodal curve can be important when it is used in complex modeling problems to make convergence easier. In this sense, Model 4 is outstanding, since it contains 3 adjustable parameters and it is explicit in both variables (Table 2), providing relatively high accuracy, with $R^2 > 0.996$ and RMSE < 0.66 (Table 3). Decreasing the number of adjusted parameters to 2 (model 5, Table 2), the accuracy of the fitting is considerably reduced, although maintaining an acceptable mean value of $R^2=0.990$.

More interestingly and as shown in Table 3, Model 5 shows the narrowest error range of all models, which implies that the significance is increased. Nevertheless, in the other cases, when explicit models in the two variables are more suitable, Model 4 is
recommended due to its higher accuracy, which is not very different from that obtained with the original Merchuk’s equation (Model 3).

Other models, previously reported in literature and following distinct approaches from the Merchuk’s equation, were also analyzed in this work. Model 6 is another empirical model with 6 parameters (considering both fixed and adjusted), while Model 7 is the theoretical model originally developed for aqueous polymer-polymer systems but that has been proposed to be used also for ILATPS [15,17-19]. It should be mentioned that tests with the model of Eq. 3 were also carried out, although the results are not shown because it suffered from computational problems and, in the cases where it was possible to obtain adjusted parameters, most of them were not significant.

It can be seen in Table 3 that Model 6 leads to a goodness of fit almost identical to that provided by Model 1, but it contains an additional fixed parameter. Furthermore, considering that Merchuk’s equation is more widely used than Model 6, the replacement of Model 1 by Model 6 is not recommended. On the other hand, Model 7 clearly shows the poorest results among all the models analyzed. Even though Models 5 and 7 contain the same number of adjustable parameters and Model 7 has two additional fixed parameters, Model 5 clearly describes the binodal data of ILATPS more accurately. In addition, it should be noted that the parameter significance of models 3 and 4 is higher than the significance of model 7, since the latter leads to a wider median confidence interval even though it implies one adjusted parameter less. This confirms that the use of model 7 is not advisable to describe the binodal curve of ILATPS. Therefore, these results reveal that the approaches such as Model 7 based on the calculation of the effective excluded volume (EEV), which were developed for aqueous polymer-polymer systems, cannot describe adequately the binodal curve of ILATPS because the
assumptions involved in this theory [15,17-19] cannot be extended to ionic
liquid/salt/water systems.

Finally, in order to exemplify how each model describes the binodal data and
extrapolates the binodal curve to a wider range of compositions, Figure 3 shows the
experimental binodal data and the binodal curve simulated by each model of the
ILATPS formed by [P_{4,4444}]Tos as ionic liquid and K_{2}HPO_{4}/KH_{2}PO_{4} as the salt
component (system number 58, see Supplementary material). As can be seen, Models 1-
6 lead to a similar fitting of the binodal data, which is in accordance with the relatively
high accuracy achieved by all of them (R^2 ≥ 0.99, AIC < 0…). However, it is clear that
Model 7 cannot describe the trend of the binodal data, which reinforces the idea that this
model is not suitable for ILATPS. Regarding the values of the parameters, clear trends
have not been detected, although this work is not focused on predictive purposes.

Figure 3-Binodal data of the ILTAPS formed by [P_{4,4444}]Tos as ionic liquid and
K_{2}HPO_{4}/KH_{2}PO_{4} as the salt component (system number 58, see Supplementary
material). Notation: experimental data (●); simulated data by: Model 1 (●), Model 2 (●), Model 3 (●), Model 4 (●), Model 5 (●), Model 6 (●) and Model 7 (●).

3.3. Improving the accuracy at high salt mass fractions: alternative fitting method

For the analysis of the recyclability of the IL in separation processes based on ILATPS, the IL present in the salt-rich phase is critical since it may constitute the losses of the process. Therefore, the correct assessment of the IL concentration in this phase is critical, which corresponds to the region of the binodal curve at high salt concentrations [12,13]. However, in this region, even low absolute errors in a binodal curve model may imply high relative errors when determining the mentioned concentration of IL.

As usual, in the models already discussed in previous analyses, the method of least squares based on the minimization of the sum of absolute errors, S, was used for fitting the data: $\text{Min } S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$, where $y_i$ and $\hat{y}_i$ are the observed and fitted response values, respectively. In this case, the observed values correspond to the concentration of ionic liquid for a given salt concentration that defines the biphasic region in the phase diagram. Nevertheless, in this section, with the aim of improving the performance of the models at high salt mass fractions, the results obtained using an alternative method for fitting the binodal curve based on minimizing the sum of the relative errors (instead of the sum of the absolute errors) is presented: $\text{Min } S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|y_i - \hat{y}_i|}{y_i}$. It should be noted that the relatives errors will always be positive, since, in this case, $y_i$ is the experimental mass fraction of ionic liquid for a given salt concentration $i$, which is an intrinsically positive variable.
Table 4—Comparison of the results using two alternative fitting methods: method of least squares based on minimization of absolute errors (called “least squares” in the table); and fitting method based on minimization of relative errors (“relative error”). Model numbers correspond to those listed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Mean relative error in absolute value (%)</th>
<th>Mean RSME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All binodal curve</td>
<td>5 points with the lowest [IL]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Least squares</td>
<td>Relative error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>0.8983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.498</td>
<td>1.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.633</td>
<td>1.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.530</td>
<td>3.414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparison of the results obtained using different models that were fitted with the two methods is reported in Table 4, in which the mean relative errors in absolute value have been calculated according to Equation 5:

\[
\text{Mean relative error in absolute value (\%) = } 100 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{n_j}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|y_{i,j} - \hat{y}_{i,j}|}{y_{i,j}}\right)
\]  

where \(j\) denotes the different ILATPS included in the database and \(i\) represents each binodal data of the ILTAPS \(j\), so \(n_j\) is the available number of experimental points of the binodal curve of \(j\), and \(n\) is the number of ILAPTS (in this particular study \(n=100\)). The models chosen for comparison are those identified as the most suitable ones to model the binodal curve, including both non explicit (Models 1 and 3) and explicit (Models 4 and 5). The results summarized in Table 4 show that the fitting methods based on the minimization of the relative errors reduced the mean relative errors between 15 % (in Model 1) and 34 % (in Model 4) with respect to the least squares method, keeping the mean RSME in relatively low values, which were lower than 1 for Models 1, 3 and 4.

It is particularly interesting to focus on analyzing the performance of the models with both fitting methods when dealing with the part of the binodal curve with the lowest
concentration of IL, since this is the most important region of the curve to assess the recyclability of the IL. As can be seen in Table 4, for the 5 points of the binodal curve with the lowest concentration of IL, the models developed using the fitting method based on relative errors increased the reduction of the error to the range between 38 and 58% compared to the corresponding models fitted with least squares. This behavior can be explained considering that the least squares method tends to minimize absolute errors, whereas the alternative fitting method proposed is based on the minimization of relative errors. For this reason, assuming that in both cases the corresponding fitting errors are kept constant along the binodal curve, even high relative errors (15%) may correspond to considerably lower absolute errors than a given low absolute error at low values of the function (i.e., the region at high salt concentration), as graphically exemplified in Fig. 4. This figure shows two generic independent and dependent variables (X and Y, respectively), and demonstrates how the minimization of relative errors reduces considerably the absolute error in the region of low values of Y, increasing the error at high Y. In the case of binodal curves, the region of low Y correspond to high salt mass fractions, i.e. the zone of the binodal curve identified as crucial when looking for the ionic liquid recyclability. In this way, this alternative fitting method clearly improves the accuracy of the models in this important region of the binodal curve but without suffering from excessive errors in others, since the absolute errors measured by means of RSME remain relatively low.
Therefore, these results reveal that the development of the models with a fitting method that minimizes the relative errors instead of the absolute errors allowed enhancing the accuracy of the fitting of the binodal curve of ILATPS in the most important region for carrying out the ionic liquid recyclability analyses.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This work provides a critical assessment of the models of binodal curves of ILATPS, and particularly, of the equation proposed by Merchuk and collaborators [10], since it is the most widely applied. The results of this study confirm that even though the empirical values of the exponents of Merchuk’s equation (0.5 and 3.0) were developed for polymer-salt ATPS, they are also valid for ILATPS, fitting the binodal curve with the highest accuracy.

Alternative models have been proposed in this work to replace this equation with the aim of increasing the accuracy or reducing the mathematical complexity, depending on the requirements of each specific application. In this way, when accuracy is critical and the binodal curve equation is not involved in complex models (i.e. convergence problems are not expected), the proposed equation to describe this equilibrium curve
turns the first exponent of the Merchuk’s equation into an adjusted parameter, \( D \):

\[
[IL] = A \cdot \exp(B[S]^D - C[S]^3)
\]

However, the most complex models may suffer from convergence problems (e.g. large non-linear optimization problems), so in these cases the binodal curve of ILATPS should be explicit in the two variables so that iterative procedures are not required to solve this clearly non-linear equation. For this purpose, the use of the following model that contains 3 adjustable parameters and it is explicit in both the concentration of IL and the concentration of salt, keeping relatively high accuracy (\( R^2 > 0.996 \) and \( \text{RMSE} < 0.66 \)), is proposed: 

\[
[IL] = A \cdot \exp(B[S]^0)
\]

Finally, a detailed study is carried out for the binodal curve at high salt concentrations, due to the importance of this region for the recovery of the ionic liquid used in the processes based on ILATPS. In this sense, the fitting method based on the minimization of relative errors is recommended to increase significantly the accuracy of the binodal curve in this crucial region for assessing the recyclability of the IL.
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