



Article

# Characterization of Systemic Oxidative Stress in Asthmatic Adults Compared to Healthy Controls and Its Association with the Oxidative Potential of Particulate Matter Collected Using Personal Samplers

Miguel Santibáñez <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Adriana Núñez-Robainas <sup>2,3</sup>, Esther Barreiro <sup>2,3</sup>, Andrea Expósito <sup>4</sup>, Juan Agüero <sup>5</sup>, Juan Luis García-Rivero <sup>5</sup>, Beatriz Abascal <sup>5</sup>, Carlos Antonio Amado <sup>5</sup>, Juan José Ruiz-Cubillán <sup>5</sup>, Carmen Fernández-Sobaler <sup>6</sup>, María Teresa García-Unzueta <sup>6</sup>, José Manuel Cifrián <sup>5</sup> and Ignacio Fernandez-Olmo <sup>4</sup>

- Global Health Research Group, Departamento Enfermería, Faculty of Nursing, Universidad de Cantabria-IDIVAL, Avda. Valdecilla, s/n, 39008 Santander, Spain
- Centro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), 08003 Barcelona, Spain; adriananunez96@gmail.com (A.N.-R.); ebarreiro@imim.es (E.B.)
- Pulmonology Department-Muscle Wasting and Cachexia in Chronic Respiratory Diseases and Lung Cancer, IMIM-Hospital del Mar, Department of Medicine and Life Sciences (MELIS), Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona Biomedical Research Park (PRBB), 08003 Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>4</sup> Departamento de Ingenierías Química y Biomolecular, Universidad de Cantabria, Avda. Los Castros, s/n, 39005 Santander, Spain; andreaexpositomonar@gmail.com (A.E.); ignacio.fernandez@unican.es (I.F.-O.)
- Division of Pneumology, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, 39008 Santander, Spain; jagcal@gmail.com (J.A.); jgarcia@separ.es (J.L.G.-R.); beatriz.abascal@scsalud.es (B.A.); amadodiago.carlos@gmail.com (C.A.A.); juanjose.ruizc@scsalud.es (J.J.R.-C.); josemanuel.cifrian@scsalud.es (J.M.C.)
- Division of Biochemistry, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, 39008 Santander, Spain; sobaler@mirapeix.org (C.F.-S.); mteresa.garciau@scsalud.es (M.T.G.-U.)
- \* Correspondence: santibanezm@unican.es

Abstract: Inflammatory cell activation in asthma may lead to reactive oxygen species (ROS) overproduction with an imbalance between oxidant levels and antioxidant capacity, called oxidative stress (OS). Since particulate matter (PM) airborne exposure may also contribute to ROS generation, it is unclear whether PM contributes more to OS than inflammatory cell activation. In our ASTHMA-FENOP study, which included 44 asthma patients and 37 matched controls, we aimed to characterize OS using five serum markers: total ROS content, protein carbonyl content, oxidized low-density lipoprotein (OxLDL), 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, and glutathione. Volunteers wore personal samplers for 24 h, collecting fine and coarse PM fractions separately, and the oxidative potential (OP) was determined using two methods. We observed differences between asthmatic and nonasthmatic volunteers in some OS markers, such as OxLDL, with an adjusted mean difference of 50,059.8 ng/mL (p < 0.001). However, we did not find an association between higher PM-OP and increased systemic OS. This suggests that at our PM-OP exposure levels, OS generated by the inflammatory cells themselves is more relevant than that generated by airborne PM. This supports the idea that asthma is a heterogeneous disease at the molecular level, mediated by inflammatory cell activation, and that OS may have potential clinical implications.

**Keywords:** particulate matter (PM); oxidative potential (OP); asthma; systemic oxidative stress; OxLDL



Academic Editor: Daniela Mokra

Received: 19 February 2025 Revised: 13 March 2025 Accepted: 18 March 2025 Published: 25 March 2025

Citation: Santibáñez, M.;
Núñez-Robainas, A.; Barreiro, E.;
Expósito, A.; Agüero, J.; García-Rivero,
J.L.; Abascal, B.; Amado, C.A.;
Ruiz-Cubillán, J.J.; Fernández-Sobaler,
C.; et al. Characterization of Systemic
Oxidative Stress in Asthmatic Adults
Compared to Healthy Controls and Its
Association with the Oxidative
Potential of Particulate Matter
Collected Using Personal Samplers.
Antioxidants 2025, 14, 385. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox14040385

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Among the air pollutants with a greater impact on health, airborne particles or particulate matter (PM) are the most relevant, especially the finest ones (fine fraction or PM2.5) since they have the ability to access the alveolus and the bloodstream [1–4]. This negative impact on health is not only due to the mass concentration of PM but also fundamentally due to its chemical composition and more specifically and accurately with its capacity to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cells, altering the oxidant/antioxidant balance and causing oxidative stress [5]. These ROS are known for their ability to oxidize lipids and proteins, as well as damage DNA and RNA, resulting in increased airway and systemic inflammatory response, which can lead to various diseases, mainly respiratory [6,7] with a relevant role in asthma [8–18]. Therefore, a global parameter that indicates the oxidation capacity of PM components can be used as an alternative representative metric of air quality with greater implications for human health. One parameter of these characteristics is the oxidative potential of PM (PM-OP), which measures the ability of PM components to either oxidize or catalyze the oxidation of antioxidant target molecules that are present in biological fluids, such as ascorbic acid (AA), or that act as substitutes for these molecules, such as dithiothreitol (DTT), leading to the simultaneous production of ROS [8,19].

In epidemiological studies, the characterization of PM exposure is mainly based on stationary samplers rather than individual measurements. Using personal PM samplers instead of stationary ones allows for the collection of particles to which a volunteer has been exposed in the last 24 h. These personal PM samplers have been used in some studies to determine the mass and chemical composition of PM [20,21], and more recently, its OP [8,22–25].

Asthma is the most common chronic respiratory disease in the world, affecting 4.7% and as mentioned above, air pollution plays a role in both its onset and worsening [26–30], with growing evidence that an important pathogenic feature in this disease is the imbalance between ROS and antioxidant capacity that leads to oxidative stress. Chronic inflammation in asthma is mediated by an activation of macrophages, neutrophils, and eosinophils, with an invasion of the bronchial mucosa of these inflammatory cells. In addition to the ROS incorporated by the airborne PM, activated macrophages, neutrophils, and eosinophils are able to release ROS [11–13]. Therefore, it is not clear whether, in terms of ROS, the contribution of PM inhalation is greater than that of the inflammatory cells themselves. What does seem clearer in translational terms is that certain systemic markers of oxidative stress could potentially be biomarkers of asthma activity and control, as oxidative stress would ultimately result in increasing bronchial hyperactivity in a vicious circle [6,7].

In our ASTHMA-FENOP exploratory study, we aimed to characterize systemic oxidative stress in a sample of adult asthmatic patients and healthy controls (without asthma) through five oxidative stress markers and to study the potential association between higher levels of individual exposure to PM-OP using personal samplers and higher oxidative stress.

## 2. Methods

## 2.1. Study Design

The study design has been described elsewhere [31]. Briefly, we conducted a cross-sectional study on 44 adult asthma patients and 37 controls matched with asthma patients by gender, age ( $\pm 5$  years old), and smoking status (never, former). Most of the volunteers lived in the Santander urban area, and a second subgroup lived in the Maliaño area (Camargo) (near some metallurgical plants), constituting an urban–industrial mixed area. In both areas, a former stationary PM sampling campaign was conducted [32]. The locations of both stationary sampling sites and the volunteers' residences are depicted in Figure S1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in Table S1. Controls underwent a review of the

diagnoses reflected in their clinical history and an examination by a pneumologist. None of them had chronic or recurrent respiratory symptoms or features typical of asthma.

#### 2.2. Recruitment Scheme and PM Personal Sampling

The recruitment scheme involved three consecutive days, with 1–4 patients per week from November 2022 to May 2023. Recruitment was conducted in collaboration with the Pneumology Service of Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla (HUMV) and Hospital de Liencres (HL). After signing the informed consent form, each volunteer received a PM personal sampler on arrival on the first day (visit 1) to be wore for at least 24 h. We used two-stage personal modular impactors (SKC PMI coarse) capable of sampling PM2.5 and PM10-2.5 filters separately, connected to a personal pump (SKC Aircheck XR5000, SKC Inc., Valley View Road Eighty Four, PA, USA) that operated at a flow rate of 3 L per minute. Here, 37 and 25 mm diameter polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane filters were used for PM2.5 and PM10-2.5 fractions, respectively. On day 3 (lag1, 25–48 h after returning the personal sampler), fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) determinations were conducted, and a blood sample was obtained in order to determine the oxidative stress biomarkers. The protocol for each volunteer is summarized in Table S2.

## 2.3. Oxidative Potential Analysis

The PM2.5 and PM10-2.5 filters (fine and coarse fractions) were extracted with 5 mL of a phosphate-buffered solution (0.0075 M  $Na_2HPO_4$ , 0.0025 M  $NaH_2PO_4$ ) for 24 h at 37 °C and filtered using a syringe cartridge. The samples were stored until OP analysis at 4 °C.

Two OP assays were carried out based on the methodology developed by Expósito et al. [33]: DTT and AA assays. A microplate reader spectrophotometer (Multiskan Skyhigh microplate spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Singapore) was used for the OP measurements. Further details of the OP assays procedures have been published [33]. Samples were analyzed in triplicate. The detection limit calculation was described previously in detail [31]. The calculations are shown in Table S3.

## 2.4. Oxidative Stress Measurement

Fasting blood samples were collected from all participants at visit 3 (from 8:00 to 9:00 a.m.). A standard blood count, conventional lipid profile including total low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and glucose determinations were protocolized. In these blood extractions, serum was then separated and stored at  $-80\,^{\circ}$ C until assayed. The quantification of oxidative stress was performed for all serum samples at the same time utilizing OxiSelect<sup>TM</sup> ELISA kits (Cell Biolabs, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) for the first four oxidative stress markers: in vitro ROS/reactive nitrogen species (RNS), protein carbonyl content (PCC), oxidized LDL (OxLDL) in the form of 4-hydroxynonenal-modified LDL (HNE-OxLDL), and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG). And the human reduced glutathione (GSH) ELISA Kit (MyBioSource, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for the last marker, following, in all cases, the manufacturer's instructions. A detailed description of the ELISA determinations is shown in the Supplemental Information (Text S1).

Table S4 describes our 5 different oxidative stress measurements, indicating the specific ELISA kit used, intra-assay coefficient ranges, and lowest and highest quantifications, as well as the studies that have used them in blood samples [1,34–45].

## 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were described using the mean and standard deviation (SD) and/or median and interquartile ranges (IQR). Statistical differences between groups were compared using Student's *t*-test (for equal or different variances, depending on the results of the Levene test) for mean comparisons. Medians were compared using the Mann–Whitney

Antioxidants **2025**, 14, 385 4 of 15

U test. Categorical and discrete variables were expressed as percentages, and comparisons were performed using the Chi-square test, with Yates' correction or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate.

Oxidative stress markers and PM-OP exposure metrics levels were dichotomized based on their medians. Crude and adjusted odds ratios (aORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using unconditional logistic regression models. In these models, the binary outcomes (0 = lower values; 1 = higher values) of each oxidative stress marker were treated as dependent variables, while binary PM-OP exposures were treated as independent binary variables.

In a parallel approach, adjusted mean differences (aMDs) with their 95%CI were calculated using a linear regression model in which the quantitative results for each oxidative stress marker were treated as the dependent variable, and each PM-OP exposure as a binary variable.

Asthma and control statuses, age (as a continuous variable), sex, education level (ordinally categorized), and body mass index (BMI) were pre-established as confounders to obtain adjusted ORs and MDs. A stratified analysis based on asthma and non-asthma statuses was pre-established, along with an additional multivariate model for asthma patients. This model included results from the Asthma Control Test (ACT), Test of Adherence to Inhalers (TAI), and asthma severity (GINA 2023 guideline steps) as confounders.

The level of statistical significance was set at 0.05, and all tests were two-tailed. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software package version 22.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

#### 3. Results

## 3.1. Description of the Sample

The characteristics of asthma patients and controls without asthma are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Description of sample as a function of their asthma or control statuses.

|   | Asthma | l      | Non-Asthma   |       | All    |           | n Value   |
|---|--------|--------|--------------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|
|   | N = 44 |        | N = 37       |       | N = 81 |           | — p Value |
| Age, yrs. Mean [SD]   | 52.45  | 17.42  | 52.03        | 16.69 | 52.26  | 16.99     | 0.911     |
| Age, yrs. Median [IQR]  | 50     | 40-69  | 54           | 39–67 | 52     | 39.5–68.5 | 0.794     |
| Sex at birth  |        |        |              |       |        |           |           |
| Female  | 25     | 56.80% | 21           | 56.8% | 46     | 56.8%     | 1         |
| Male  | 19     | 43.20% | 16           | 43.2% | 35     | 43.2%     |           |
| Non-smoker  | 34     | 77.3%  | 29           | 78.4% | 63     | 77.8%     | 0.905     |
| Former smoker   | 10     | 22.7%  | 8            | 21.6% | 18     | 22.2%     |           |
| Study level   |        |        |              |       |        |           |           |
| Primary education   | 5      | 11.4%  | 1            | 2.7%  | 6      | 7.4%      | < 0.001   |
| Secondary education   | 13     | 29.5%  | 3            | 8.1%  | 16     | 19.8%     |           |
| High school level   | 16     | 36.4%  | 2            | 5.4%  | 18     | 22.2%     |           |
| University studies  | 10     | 22.7%  | 3<br>2<br>31 | 83.8% | 41     | 50.6%     |           |
| BMI (WHO classification)  |        |        |              |       |        |           |           |
| Healthy weight 18.5–24.9  | 16     | 36.4%  | 21           | 56.8% | 37     | 45.7%     | 0.096     |
| Overweight 25–29.9  | 18     | 40.9%  | 13           | 35.1% | 31     | 38.3%     |           |
| Obesity $\geq 30$   | 10     | 22.7%  | 3            | 8.1%  | 13     | 16.0%     |           |
| Cholesterol levels, mg/dL (Visit 3). Mean [SD]                                | 191.2  | 37.76  | 189.54       | 36.17 | 190.44 | 36.82     | 0.841     |
| Cholesterol levels, mg/dL (Visit 3). Median [IQR]                             | 187.5  | 50     | 190          | 53    | 189    | 51        | 0.894     |
| Total cholesterol > 200 mg/dL (Visit 3)                                       |        |        |              |       |        |           |           |
| No  | 31     | 70.5%  | 23           | 62.2% | 54     | 66.7%     | 0.43      |
| Yes   | 13     | 29.5%  | 14           | 37.8% | 27     | 33.3%     |           |
| HDL levels, mg/dL (Visit 3). Mean [SD]  | 58.27  | 16.09  | 118.41       | 28.17 | 58.98  | 15.98     | 0.396     |
| HDL levels, mg/dL (Visit 3). Median [IQR]                                     | 56     | 23     | 59           | 22    | 57     | 22        | 0.652     |
| HDL levels $< 60 \text{ mg/dL}$ (Visit 3)                                     |        |        |              |       |        |           |           |
| No  | 18     | 40.9%  | 18           | 48.6% | 36     | 44.4%     | 0.485     |
| Yes   | 26     | 59.1%  | 19           | 51.4% | 45     | 55.6%     |           |
| LDL levels, mg/dL (Visit 3). Mean [SD]  | 112.66 | 31.82  | 118.41       | 28.17 | 115.28 | 30.16     | 0.396     |
| LDL levels, mg/dL (Visit 3). Median [IQR]<br>LDL levels > 100 mg/dL (Visit 3) | 109    | 37     | 122          | 37    | 112    | 38        | 0.172     |

Antioxidants **2025**, 14, 385 5 of 15

Table 1. Cont.

|   | Asthma  | l        | Non-Asthma     |               | All     |                | 17-1      |
|---|---------|----------|----------------|---------------|---------|----------------|-----------|
|   | N = 44  |          | N = 37         |               | N = 81  |                | — p Value |
| No  | 17      | 38.6     | 9              | 0.243         | 26      | 0.321          | 0.169     |
| Yes   | 27      | 0.614    | 28             | 0.757         | 55      | 0.679          |           |
| Diabetes or insulin resistance                                    |         |          |                |               |         |                |           |
| No  | 42      | 0.955    | 36             | 0.973         | 78      | 0.963          | 0.662     |
| Yes   | 2       | 0.045    | 1              | 0.027         | 3       | 0.037          |           |
| High blood pressure   |         | . =.=    |                | 0.04=         |         |                | 0.444     |
| No  | 35      | 0.795    | 32             | 0.865         | 67      | 0.827          | 0.411     |
| Yes   | 9       | 0.205    | 5              | 0.135         | 14      | 0.173          |           |
| Atherosclerosis and cardio-vascular disease and p                 |         |          |                |               |         |                |           |
| No  | 43      | 0.977    | 35             | 0.946         | 78      | 0.963          | 0.457     |
| Yes   | 1       | 0.023    | 2              | 0.054         | 3       | 0.037          |           |
| Blood Eosinophils (Visit 3), cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , Mean         | 331.82  | 412.46   | 208.11         | 108.98        | 275.31  | 317.23         | 0.062     |
| [SD]  |         |          |                |               |         |                |           |
| Blood Eosinophils (Visit 3), cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , Median [IQR] | 200     | 100-400  | 200            | 100-300       | 200     | 100-300        | 0.183     |
| Blood Eosinophils (Visit 3) $\geq 150 \text{ cells/mm}^3$         |         |          |                |               |         |                |           |
| No  | 12      | 27.3%    | 13             | 35.1%         | 25      | 30.9%          | 0.445     |
| Yes   | 32      | 72.7%    | 24             | 64.9%         | 56      | 69.1%          | 0.443     |
|   | 32      | 12.7 /0  | 2 <del>1</del> | 04.9/0        | 30      | 09.1 /0        |           |
| Blood Neutrophils (Visit 3) $\geq$ 5000 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>     | 37      | 84.1%    | 35             | 94.6%         | 72      | 88.9%          | 0.134     |
| No<br>Yes   | 37<br>7 | 15.9%    | 2              | 94.6%<br>5.4% | 9       | 00.9%<br>11.1% | 0.134     |
|   | ,       | 15.9%    | 2              | <b>3.4</b> %  | 9       | 11.170         |           |
| Oral corticosteroids (for rheumatologic and other                 |         | 0.955    | 37             | 1             | 70      | 0.975          | 0.189     |
| No<br>Yes   | 42<br>2 | 0.955    | 0              | $\frac{1}{0}$ | 79<br>2 | 0.975          | 0.169     |
| Airway inflammation   | 2       | 0.043    | U              | U             | 2       | 0.023          |           |
| FeNO (Visit 3), ppb. Mean [SD]                                    | 37.27   | 24.23    | 24.43          | 14.49         | 31.41   | 21.25          | 0.004     |
| FeNO (Visit 3), ppb. Median [IOP]                                 | 27      | 19–52    | 21.43          | 15–29.5       | 23      | 16–40.5        | 0.004     |
| FeNO (Visit 3), ppb. Median [IQR]                                 | 41      | 19-34    | 41             | 13-29.3       | 23      | 10-40.5        | 0.015     |
| FeNO (Visit 3) ≥ 20 ppb<br>No                                     | 12      | 27.3%    | 17             | 45.9%         | 29      | 35.8%          | 0.081     |
| Yes   | 32      | 72.7%    | 20             | 54.1%         | 52      | 64.2%          | 0.001     |
|   | - 52    | 7 4.7 /0 |                | JT.1 /U       | - 52    | OT.4 /0        |           |

The average age of the participants was 52.26 years (SD = 16.99), with similar mean ages for both asthma patients (mean = 52.03) and controls (mean = 52.45) due to matching. Among the participants, 56.8% were women and 43.2% were men. Most volunteers were non-smokers (77.8%). The level of university education differed between the asthmatic and control groups, with a higher prevalence of university education among controls (p < 0.001). Regarding BMI, 36.4% and 56.8% of asthmatic and non-asthmatic volunteers were classified as having a healthy weight according to WHO standards (cutoff points, 18.5–24.9). The prevalence of overweight (BMI 25–29.9) and obesity (BMI  $\geq$  30) was slightly higher among asthmatic volunteers (p = 0.096). In contrast, the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia and total LDL values above 100 was slightly higher in non-asthmatic volunteers (p = 0.430 and 0.169, respectively).

Additional clinical characteristics of the asthmatic volunteers are summarized in Table S5. Most of the asthmatic participants (n = 25, 56.8%) were in GINA stage 4, receiving medium-dose maintenance prescriptions of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS)-long-acting  $\beta$  adrenoceptor agonists (LABAs). Adherence to their inhaled maintenance therapy, as measured by TAI, was good in the majority of patients (n = 33/44, 75.0%). Their mean score on the ACT was 22.16 points (SD = 3.8). Based on the ACT scores, 81.8% had their asthma controlled ( $\geq$ 20 points).

#### 3.2. Description of Oxidative Stress Markers and PM-OP Level Results

The total ROS/RNS content measured as  $\mu$ M hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) equivalents was higher among controls (contrary to our hypothesis) with statistically significant p values. In contrast, levels for HNE-OxLDL as an indicator of lipid peroxidation were higher among asthmatic volunteers. PCC as an indicator of protein damage was similar among asthmatic and non-asthmatic volunteers.

The distribution of 8-OHdG as an indicator of DNA/RNA damage and repair and GSH as indicator of the antioxidant capacity presented positive asymmetry with the mean values greater than the medians. Higher levels of 8-OHdG (non-statistically significant) and lower levels of GSH (statistically significant in the comparison of medians with the Mann–Whitney U test) were found in asthmatic volunteers. See Table 2.

**Table 2.** Description of oxidative stress results and PM-OP metrics as a function of their asthma or control status.

|   | Asthma    |             | Non-Asthma |             | All      |             | p Value   |
|---|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
|   | N = 44    |             | N = 37     |             | N = 81   |             | – p varae |
| Oxidative stress markers  |           |             |            |             |          |             |           |
| Total ROS/RNS. μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> equival. Mean [SD]    | 4.94      | 1.33        | 5.89       | 1.06        | 5.37     | 1.30        | 0.001     |
| Total ROS/RNS. μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> equival. Median [IQR] | 5.27      | 2.05        | 5.72       | 1.09        | 5.54     | 1.47        | 0.003     |
| PCC. nmol/mg. Mean [SD]   | 0.44      | 0.17        | 0.44       | 0.22        | 0.44     | 0.20        | 0.869     |
| PCC. nmol/mg. Median [IQR]  | 0.41      | 0.27        | 0.47       | 0.24        | 0.42     | 0.25        | 0.894     |
| HNE-OxLDL ng/mL. Mean [SD]  | 114,406.2 | 36,125.8    | 71,473.7   | 15,943.0    | 94,795.0 | 35,762.2    | < 0.001   |
| HNE-OxLDL ng/mL. Median [IQR]   | 105,347.5 | 50,430.8    | 72,463.8   | 24,674.7    | 88,500.7 | 47,731.0    | < 0.001   |
| 8-OHdG ng/mL. Mean [SD]   | 13.71     | 11.96       | 10.45      | 5.51        | 12.22    | 9.65        | 0.112     |
| 8-OHdG ng/mL. Median [IQR]  | 9.98      | 12.90       | 8.81       | 6.07        | 9.69     | 9.16        | 0.55      |
| GSH. Mean [SD]  | 3.60      | 2.32        | 3.83       | 1.76        | 3.70     | 2.08        | 0.62      |
| GSH. Median [IOR]   | 2.86      | 1.30        | 3.40       | 1.13        | 3.21     | 1.17        | 0.015     |
| PM-OP metrics (nmol/min/m <sup>3</sup> )                              |           |             |            |             |          |             |           |
| OP-DTT PM2.5. Mean [SD]   | 0.30      | 0.29        | 0.17       | 0.25        | 0.24     | 0.27        | 0.029     |
| OP-DTT PM2.5. Median [IQR]  | 0.24      | 0.15 - 0.34 | 0.10       | 0.03 - 0.18 | 0.16     | 0.1 - 0.31  | < 0.001   |
| OP-AA PM2.5. Mean [SD]  | 0.72      | 1.29        | 0.34       | 0.89        | 0.55     | 1.14        | 0.127     |
| OP-AA PM2.5. Median [IOR]   | 0.23      | 0.12 - 0.49 | 0.15       | 0.06-0.28   | 0.18     | 0.07 - 0.37 | 0.027     |
| OP-DTT PM10-2.5. Mean [SD]  | 0.18      | 0.11        | 0.14       | 0.11        | 0.16     | 0.11        | 0.058     |
| OP-DTT PM10-2.5. Median [IQR]   | 0.17      | 0.10 - 0.26 | 0.11       | 0.06 - 0.19 | 0.13     | 0.08 - 0.22 | 0.052     |
| OP-AA PM10-2.5. Mean [SD]   | 0.59      | 1.38        | 0.17       | 0.11        | 0.40     | 1.04        | 0.051     |
| OP-AA PM10-2.5. Median [IQR]  | 0.22      | 0.10-0.55   | 0.20       | 0.1-0.20    | 0.20     | 0.1-0.39    | 0.029     |

SD = standard deviation. IQR = interquartile rank.

With respect to PM-OP metrics, PM-OP levels were higher among asthma patients compared to controls, reaching statistical significance in some cases, and indicating a higher PM-OP personal exposure among asthmatics. See Table 2. The spatial distribution of PM-OP values is illustrated in Figure S1. The PM-OP levels from the personal sampling campaign did not follow a spatial pattern based on the locations of volunteers' residences in terms of proximity to the urban and urban–industrial mixed ambient sites referenced in Section 2.1.

Table 3 shows the crude and adjusted mean differences between asthmatic and non-asthmatic volunteers for each of the oxidative stress markers in an attempt to minimize confounding bias. Mean levels of total ROS/RNS content remained statistically significant. For 8-OHdG, MDs increased with respect to the crude MD after adjusting for the predefined confounding variables, reaching statistical significance in model 3: aMD = 5.65, p = 0.044. Non-statistically significant aMDs were obtained for GSH. In contrast, aMDs for HNE-OxLDL remained statistically significant, even after adjusting for PM-OP metrics, FeNO and total LDL levels (aMD = 50.059.8 ng/mL p < 0.001). See Table 3.

#### 3.3. Adjusted Associations Between PM-OP and Oxidative Stress Markers

Non-statistically significant mixed associations were observed, with aORs both below and above 1, and aMDs both positive and negative, without clear evidence of associations. See Tables 4 and 5. These findings remained similarly mixed and non-statistically significant when analyses were restricted to either asthmatic or control volunteers separately.

Table 3. Crude and adjusted mean differences (MDs) between asthmatic and non-asthmatic volunteers for each of the oxidative stress markers.

|   | Total<br>ROS/RNS                 |                               | PCC                           |                                  |                               | HNE-OxLDL   |                              |                                  |   | 8-OHd   | GSH   |  |                              |                                 |                                |                                  |                               |       |              |                                 |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|---------------------------------|
|   | μM H <sub>2</sub><br>Equiv       | $_{2}O_{2}$                   |                               |                                  | nmol/n                        | ng  |                              |                                  | ng/mL   |   |   |  | ng/mL                        |                                 |                                |                                  | ng/mL                         |       |              |                                 |
| Asthma-Non-Asthma   | MD                               | 95%                           | CI                            | p Value                          | MD                            | 95%   | CI                           | p Value                          | MD  | 95%   | CI  | p Value  | MD                           | 95%                             | CI                             | p Value                          | MD                            | 95%   | CI           | p Value                         |
| Crude Adjusted model 1 Adjusted model 2 Adjusted model 3 Adjusted model 1 + LDL * Adjusted model 2 + LDL * Adjusted model 3 + LDL * | -0.95<br>-1.13<br>-1.13<br>-1.22 | -1.49 $-1.77$ $-1.82$ $-1.90$ | -0.41 $-0.50$ $-0.44$ $-0.55$ | 0.001<br>0.001<br>0.002<br>0.001 | 0.01<br>-0.01<br>0.01<br>0.01 | $   \begin{array}{r}     -0.08 \\     -0.12 \\     -0.11 \\     -0.10   \end{array} $ | 0.10<br>0.09<br>0.13<br>0.12 | 0.869<br>0.799<br>0.887<br>0.876 | 42,932.44<br>44,353.69<br>47,529.72<br>44,301.67<br>47,234.26<br>50,059.80<br>47,299.64 | 30,170.83<br>29,605.35<br>31,494.71<br>28,430.36<br>12,709.81<br>35,255.70<br>32,589.80 | 55,694.06<br>59,102.02<br>63,564.74<br>60,172.98<br>90,870.13<br>64,863.91<br>62,009.49 | <0.001<br><0.001<br><0.001<br><0.001<br>0.01<br><0.001<br><0.001 | 3.25<br>4.35<br>5.66<br>4.87 | -1.00<br>-0.71<br>0.17<br>-0.55 | 7.50<br>9.41<br>11.15<br>10.28 | 0.132<br>0.091<br>0.044<br>0.078 | -0.23 $-0.09$ $-0.17$ $-0.27$ | -1.21 | 1.03<br>1.04 | 0.62<br>0.873<br>0.782<br>0.645 |

MD = mean difference asthma–non-asthma. Crude = crude MD. Adjusted model 1 = MD adjusted for age, sex, study level, and BMI according to WHO classification. Adjusted model 2 = aMD adding to model 1: FeNO levels and OP-DTT for the fine (PM2.5) and coarse (PM10-2.5) fractions. Adjusted model 3 = aMD adding to model 1: FeNO levels and OP-AA for the fine (PM2.5) and coarse (PM10-2.5) fractions. \* = aMD adding total low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels to models 1–3.

**Table 4.** Adjusted mean differences between higher PM-OP and oxidative stress levels for the total sample.

|  | Total<br>ROS/RNS  |                               | PCC                          |                                  |                              |                               | HNE-OxLDL                    |                                 |  |  |                      | 8-OHdG                           |                              |                                  |                              |                                 | GSH                         |                               |              |                                  |
|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
|  | μM H <sub>2</sub><br>Equiv  | $_{2}O_{2}$                   |                              |                                  | nmol/n                       | ıg                            |                              |                                 | ng/mL                                      |  |                      |                                  | ng/mI                        |                                  |                              |                                 | ng/mL                       |                               |              |                                  |
| PM-OP nmol min <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-3</sup>                     | aMD   | 95%                           | CI                           | p Value                          | AMD                          | 95%                           | CI                           | p Value                         | aMD  | 95%  | CI                   | p Value                          | aMD                          | 95%                              | CI                           | p Value                         | aMD                         | 95%                           | CI           | p Value                          |
| OP-DTT PM2.5<br>OP-AA PM2.5<br>OP-DTT PM10-2.5<br>OP-AA PM10-2.5 | $     \begin{array}{r}       -0.32 \\       -0.32 \\       -0.11 \\       -0.02     \end{array} $ | -0.93 $-0.89$ $-0.71$ $-0.65$ | 0.30<br>0.24<br>0.49<br>0.60 | 0.308<br>0.258<br>0.718<br>0.941 | -0.03 $-0.01$ $0.00$ $-0.04$ | -0.13 $-0.11$ $-0.10$ $-0.15$ | 0.07<br>0.08<br>0.10<br>0.06 | 0.55<br>0.815<br>0.993<br>0.396 | -9971.15<br>-3174.65<br>-2567.63<br>243.27 | -23,992.72<br>-16,301.97<br>-16,384.42<br>-14,100.43 | 9952.66<br>11,249.16 | 0.161<br>0.631<br>0.712<br>0.973 | -2.14 $1.22$ $-2.46$ $-0.35$ | -6.99<br>-3.28<br>-7.17<br>-5.27 | 2.71<br>5.73<br>2.25<br>4.57 | 0.382<br>0.59<br>0.302<br>0.889 | -0.26 $-0.74$ $0.72$ $0.48$ | -1.34 $-1.72$ $-0.32$ $-0.60$ | 0.25<br>1.76 | 0.627<br>0.141<br>0.172<br>0.379 |

noindentaMD = mean difference adjusted for asthma or control status, age, sex, educational level, and BMI according to WHO classification.

**Table 5.** Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) between higher PM-OP and oxidative stress levels for the total sample.

|  | Total<br>ROS/RNS             |                             |                             | PCC                              |                             |                              |                              | HNE-OxLDL                        |                             |                              |                              | 8-OHdG                           |                              |                              |                             | GSH                              |                              |                              |                              |                                  |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|  | μM H<br>Equiv                |                             |                             |                                  | nmol/ı                      | ng                           |                              |                                  | ng/mL                       |                              |                              |                                  | ng/mL                        |                              |                             |                                  | ng/ml                        | Ĺ                            |                              |                                  |
| PM-OP nmol min <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-3</sup>                     | aOR                          | 95%                         | CI                          | p Value                          | aOR                         | 95%                          | CI                           | p Value                          | aOR                         | 95%                          | CI                           | p Value                          | aOR                          | 95%                          | CI                          | p Value                          | aOR                          | 95%                          | CI                           | p Value                          |
| OP-DTT PM2.5<br>OP-AA PM2.5<br>OP-DTT PM10-2.5<br>OP-AA PM10-2.5 | 0.55<br>1.17<br>1.59<br>1.22 | 0.18<br>0.42<br>0.54<br>0.4 | 1.66<br>3.25<br>4.71<br>3.7 | 0.291<br>0.765<br>0.399<br>0.727 | 0.45<br>0.45<br>1.08<br>0.5 | 0.15<br>0.16<br>0.39<br>0.17 | 1.32<br>1.22<br>2.98<br>1.46 | 0.146<br>0.115<br>0.886<br>0.205 | 0.69<br>0.85<br>0.93<br>0.5 | 0.17<br>0.24<br>0.25<br>0.12 | 2.81<br>3.05<br>3.46<br>2.17 | 0.606<br>0.803<br>0.916<br>0.355 | 0.38<br>0.93<br>0.68<br>0.73 | 0.12<br>0.34<br>0.24<br>0.25 | 1.2<br>2.55<br>1.96<br>2.14 | 0.099<br>0.886<br>0.478<br>0.568 | 0.78<br>0.87<br>1.66<br>0.76 | 0.27<br>0.33<br>0.58<br>0.26 | 2.29<br>2.35<br>4.77<br>2.18 | 0.654<br>0.788<br>0.344<br>0.607 |

aOR = odds ratios adjusted for asthma or control status, age, sex, educational level, and BMI according to WHO classification.

Antioxidants 2025, 14, 385 8 of 15

## 4. Discussion

We have not found an association between higher PM-OP personal exposure and higher oxidative stress at the systemic level, with non-higher levels of total ROS/RNS content measured as  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> equivalents; PCC as a protein damage marker; OxLDL as marker of membrane lipid peroxidation; 8-OHdG as DNA/RNA damage; and non-lower levels of glutathione as an indicator of the antioxidant capacity.

A key strength of our study is the use of personal PM samplers to characterize exposure, in contrast to most studies that used stationary outdoor PM samplers, in addition to the use of size-segregated PM samples (fine and coarse fractions separately). As noted in the introduction, very few studies have used personal samplers to characterize PM-OP [8,22–25], and to our knowledge, only two studies (both in children) have used PM personal samplers in asthma patients but without measuring PM-OP [46,47]. Therefore, our study is the first one to explore the association between PM-OP obtained using personal samplers and oxidative stress levels in asthmatic patients.

Our recruitment period comprised November 2022 to May 2023. This is a period without limitations in terms of COVID-19 restrictions or use of masks. From November to May, our region experienced a temperate oceanic climate with mild, rainy winters and cool, relatively rainy springs. These fairly stable climatic conditions during the study period make the existence of differential weather conditions between asthmatic patients or controls improbable. On the other hand, since the airborne sampling was personal, both outdoor and indoor exposures were collected through personal samplers. Our previous PM-OP results using stationary ambient samplers showed higher levels of PM-OP and metals in an urban-industrial site compared to an urban site [32]. However, as shown in Figure S1, no spatial pattern was found in our personal samplers results, with no differences in the geographic distribution of places of residence between asthmatic and non-asthmatic volunteers. It supports that work and leisure activities (hobbies) outside the place of residence have a substantial contribution to an individual's personal exposure. In any case, our population (both asthmatic patients and controls) is comparatively less exposed in terms of PM-OP levels than those reported in other studies [48]. One explanation for our absence of associations between PM-OP and systemic oxidative stress may be that there is not a high enough range of variability in personal exposure. It is plausible to think that with a range that includes larger personal exposures, we would perhaps have found positive associations. Another limitation is our relatively small sample size, which implies a greater role of chance in our results. Therefore, future studies with larger sample sizes and, if possible, in populations with a wider range of PM OP exposure are needed to corroborate our results.

Asthma is a long-term respiratory condition characterized by chronic inflammation, with inflammatory cell activation (macrophages, neutrophils, and eosinophils) and the expression of several mediators. This leads to increased airway sensitivity, excessive mucus production, and the shedding of epithelial cells. Regarding systemic oxidative stress, on the one hand, inflammatory cells invade the bronchial mucosa releasing by themselves ROS, e.g., hydroxyl radicals, superoxides, and  $H_2O_2$  [11–13]. This involves an imbalance of the levels of oxidants/antioxidants in cells, causing oxidative stress [14,37,49,50]. On the other hand, hypothetically, environmental exposure to PM involves the entry of PM-bound ROS or PM components with the ability to generate ROS, altering (in a combined action with the ROS from the inflammatory cells) the mentioned balance of oxidants/antioxidants, causing more oxidative stress and leading to more chronic inflammation in a vicious cycle [8–10,14–18]. In spite of the limitations described above, our results suggest that at our PM exposure levels, oxidative stress generated by the inflammatory cells themselves is more important than that generated by the inhaled PM.

Antioxidants 2025, 14, 385 9 of 15

OxLDL is recognized as a major parameter involved in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis [51,52]. It is also suggested that it may interact with granulocytes (neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils) in airway diseases like asthma, so LDL oxidation might be an important mediator for the initiation of bronchial inflammation when granulocytes are recruited to the lungs [51-54]. It is well known that LDL oxidation is initiated by free radicals. Trace elements like iron (Fe) and copper (Cu) may contribute to LDL oxidation by favoring the catalysis of lipid peroxidation. In this regard, it has been found that plasma OxLDL, Cu, and Fe levels were significantly higher in asthmatic patients compared to controls [41], and OxLDL has been modified by in vitro incubation with cigarette smoke or Cu ions [55]. On the other hand, human serum paraoxonase-1 (PON-1) is a critical antioxidant defense system against lipid oxidation. Decreased PON-1 activity has been associated with systemic oxidative stress in several disease states. A recently published meta-analysis has shown that serum PON-1 concentrations are significantly lower in patients with asthma, suggesting the presence of an impaired antioxidant defense in this group [56]. Our clear differences when comparing OxLDL between asthmatic and non-asthmatic volunteers support this rationale and deserve further consideration. Regarding the sensitivity of our PM-OP assays to the chemical composition of PM, although both methods (OP-DTT and OP-AA) are very sensitive to soluble Cu, and the AA method is also sensitive to Fe [1,8,33], we have not found an association between higher PM-OP and higher OxLDL levels by any of the methods.

Evidence from published studies on the rest of oxidative stress markers depends on the matrix in which oxidative markers are measured, with mixed results.

In blood samples, Karadogan et al. [37] compared plasma levels of several oxidative stress markers between allergic asthma subjects and controls. Statistically significant higher mean levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) (another marker of lipid peroxidation like OxLDL) (3.38 vs. 2.31 nmol/mL) and PCC (1.47 vs. 1.01 nmol/mg protein) as well as decreased GSH (16.41 vs. 23.74 nmol/mL) levels were observed in allergic asthmatics. In Lima (Peru), Checkley et al. [45] found lower relative concentrations of GSH in a nested case-control study of 100 children. In contrast, Wood et al. [57] found no differences between mild asthmatic volunteers (n = 15) and age- and sex-matched controls (n = 15) for plasma levels of glutathione peroxidase or superoxide dismutase, although superoxide dismutase activity was negatively associated with asthma severity and increased plasma levels of isoprostane 8-iso-PGF2alpha (a marker of in vivo oxidative stress belonging to the F2-isoprostanes subgroup). Several free radicals, antioxidant enzymes, free radical scavengers, or lipid peroxidation products were compared in blood in asthmatic and matched healthy control children in Chennai (India) by Shanmugasundaram et al. [58]. Excessive production of superoxide and hydroxyl radicals was observed in asthmatic patients, whereas superoxide dismutase and free radical scavengers were significantly lower in asthmatic children. In Poland, Bazan-Socha et al. [59] found an increased protein hydroperoxide formation using the coumarin boronic acid assay, in their 74 asthmatic subjects compared to 65 matched controls. Lastly, to our knowledge, studies including determinations of the total ROS/RNS content in blood have not been performed in asthmatic subjects. The kit used in this work (OxiSelect STA-347) measures the total ROS/RNS present in the serum samples, i.e., it may include H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, peroxyl radical (ROO·), nitric oxide (NO), and peroxynitrite anion (ONOO<sup>-</sup>), among others, but according to the manufacturer's instructions, the standard curve is prepared with one of these species  $(H_2O_2)$ , so results are expressed as concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> equivalents. Therefore, the results obtained in this work (higher levels in controls than in asthmatic patients) should be carefully interpreted, since each subject serum sample may contain different proportions of the ROS/RNS active in the assay. In fact, the use of the dichlorodihydrofluorescein (DCFH) probe, which is the basis of the STA-347 kit to measure

intracellular  $H_2O_2$  and other reactive oxygen species, is not straightforward because of the complex redox chemistry of DCFH and the limitations and artifacts associated with this assay [60,61]. Nevertheless, results from global biomarkers of oxidative stress are sometimes difficult to interpret. For example, the EGEA study revealed that the levels of fluorescent oxidation products (FlOPs) were lower in participants with asthma compared to controls, even after adjusting for age, sex, and smoking status [62]. FlOPs are global biomarkers of damage to oxidative stress, since they reflect a mixture of different oxidation products from lipids, proteins, and DNA [63]. What seems clear is that excessive production of NO during inflammatory responses can lead to the formation of various RNS in asthma [7]. As it is plausible that this nitrosative stress could be susceptible to improvement with treatments such as theophylline [a nonselective phosphodiesterase inhibitor that is used as a bronchodilator to treat asthma and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)] [64], the study of NO-derived RNS in the physiopathology of asthma with proper markers and the study of potential treatments to improve nitrosative stress deserve further consideration.

In urine, an Italian multicase–control population-based study did not find differences between current asthma cases and controls in 8-OHdG, GSH, or 8-isoprostane (another oxidative stress marker, indicative of oxidative damage) [65].

In exhaled breath condensate, a systematic review identified sixteen oxidative stress articles. Concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  and 8-isoprostanes were generally elevated and related to lower lung function tests in adults with asthma compared to controls. However, comparisons across these studies are challenging due to differences in methodology and the need for standardization [66].

In sputum, 8-OHdG, MDA, and 8-isoprostane have been shown to be increased from asthmatic subjects compared to non-asthmatic controls in several studies from another review [67].

#### 5. Conclusions

We did not find an association between PM-OP and systemic oxidative stress. However, we observed differences between asthmatic and non-asthmatic volunteers in some oxidative stress markers, such as OxLDL. This supports the idea that asthma is a heterogeneous disease at the molecular level, for which oxidative stress may have potential clinical implications. Further studies with larger sample sizes and, if possible, a wider range of personal exposures are needed to confirm our results.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/antiox14040385/s1. Text S1. Detailed procedures of the ELISA tests used to determine the oxidative stress biomarkers. Figure S1. Location of volunteers' residences and the two stationary sampling points (urban and urban–industrial) used in a previous study (Expósito et al. [32]). Levels of OP-DTT and OP-AA (nmol min<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) of PM10-2.5 and PM2.5 samples are also shown on the map. Table S1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for asthmatic patients and controls without asthma. TableS2: Visit protocol for the volunteers (n = 81). Table S3: PM-OP detection limits (D.L), mean of blank filters, and percentage of samples higher than the D.L. Table S4: Description of the oxidative stress markers used in our study, with reference to studies that have used them in blood samples. Table S5: Description of asthma patients as a function of gender.

**Author Contributions:** M.S.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, supervision, and writing—original draft. A.N.-R. and A.E.: data curation, formal analysis, and investigation. I.F.-O.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, and writing—review and editing. J.A., J.J.R.-C., B.A., J.L.G.-R., C.A.A., and J.M.C.: data curation, funding acquisition, investigation, and resources. E.B.: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, and resources. C.F.-S.

Antioxidants 2025, 14, 385 11 of 15

and M.T.G.-U.: data curation, investigation, and resources. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Spanish Society of Pneumology (SEPAR  $N^{\circ}$  1383/23;  $N^{\circ}$  1616/24) and the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (Project PID2020-114787RBI00, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and "ERDF A way of making Europe").

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Cantabria (CEIC) (internal codes 2020.475 and 2023.412) and the ethics committee of the UC (CEPI) (internal code: 16.2021).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data cannot be made publicly available in order to protect patient privacy. The data are available on request from the University of Cantabria Archive (http://repositorio.unican.es/ (accessed on 14 March 2025)) for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. Requests may be sent to the Ethics Committee (ceicc@idival.org), or Miguel Santibañez (santibanezm@unican.es).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Nomenclature

8-OHdG 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine

AA ascorbic acid ACT asthma control test

aMDs adjusted mean differences
aORs adjusted odds ratios
BMI body mass index
CIs confidence intervals

COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Cu copper

DCFH dichlorodihydrofluorescein

DTT dithiothreitol

Fe iron

FeNO fractional exhaled nitric oxide FIOPs fluorescent oxidation products GSH human reduced glutathione

H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> hydrogen peroxideHL Hospital de Liencres

HNE-OxLDL 4-hydroxynonenal-modified LDL

HUMV Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla

ICS inhaled corticosteroids IQR interquartile ranges

LABAs long-acting  $\beta$  adrenoceptor agonists

LDL low-density lipoprotein MDA malondialdehyde NO nitric oxide

ONOO peroxynitrite anion
OP oxidative potential
OS oxidative stress

OxLDL oxidized low-density lipoprotein

PCC protein carbonyl content PM particulate matter

| PM10-2.5 | coarse PM fraction            |
|----------|-------------------------------|
| PM2.5    | fine PM fraction              |
| PM-OP    | oxidative potential of PM     |
| PON-1    | human serum paraoxonase-1     |
| PTFE     | polytetrafluoroethylene       |
| RNS      | reactive nitrogen species     |
| ROO·     | peroxyl radical               |
| ROS      | reactive oxygen species       |
| SD       | standard deviation            |
| TAI      | test of adherence to inhalers |

## References

1. Guo, C.; Lv, S.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y. Biomarkers for the adverse effects on respiratory system health associated with atmospheric particulate matter exposure. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, 421, 126760. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 2. Kaufman, J.D.; Elkind, M.S.; Bhatnagar, A.; Koehler, K.; Balmes, J.R.; Sidney, S.; Peña, M.S.B.; Dockery, D.W.; Hou, L.; Brook, R.D.; et al. Guidance to Reduce the Cardiovascular Burden of Ambient Air Pollutants: A Policy Statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2020, 142, e432–e447; Erratum in *Circulation* 2020, 142, e449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. European Environment Agency (EEA). *Air Quality in Europe-2018 Report*; Publications Office of the European Union: Luxembourg City, Luxembourg, 2018; ISBN 978-92-9213-98.
- 4. World Health Organization (WHO). *Ambient Air Pollution: A Global Assessment of Exposure and Burden of Disease*; World Health Organization (WHO): Geneva, Switzerland, 2016; ISBN 9789241511353.
- 5. He, R.-W.; Shirmohammadi, F.; Gerlofs-Nijland, M.E.; Sioutas, C.; Cassee, F.R. Pro-inflammatory responses to PM0.25 from airport and urban traffic emissions. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *640–641*, 997–1003. [CrossRef]
- 6. Comhair, S.A.; Erzurum, S.C. Redox Control of Asthma: Molecular Mechanisms and Therapeutic Opportunities. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **2010**, *12*, 93–124. [CrossRef]
- 7. Sahiner, U.M.; Birben, E.; Erzurum, S.; Sackesen, C.; Kalayci, O. Oxidative Stress in Asthma. *World Allergy Organ. J.* **2011**, *4*, 151–158. [CrossRef]
- 8. Bates, J.T.; Fang, T.; Verma, V.; Zeng, L.; Weber, R.J.; Tolbert, P.E.; Abrams, J.Y.; Sarnat, S.E.; Klein, M.; Mulholland, J.A.; et al. Review of Acellular Assays of Ambient Particulate Matter Oxidative Potential: Methods and Relationships with Composition, Sources, and Health Effects. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53*, 4003–4019. [CrossRef]
- 9. Borlaza-Lacoste, L.; Mardoñez, V.; Marsal, A.; Hough, I.; Dinh, V.N.T.; Dominutti, P.; Jaffrezo, J.-L.; Alastuey, A.; Besombes, J.-L.; Močnik, G.; et al. Oxidative potential of particulate matter and its association to respiratory health endpoints in high-altitude cities in Bolivia. *Environ. Res.* **2024**, 255, 119179. [CrossRef]
- 10. Bronte-Moreno, O.; González-Barcala, F.-J.; Muñoz-Gall, X.; Pueyo-Bastida, A.; Ramos-González, J.; Urrutia-Landa, I. Impact of Air Pollution on Asthma: A Scoping Review. *Open Respir. Arch.* **2023**, *5*, 100229. [CrossRef]
- 11. Dworski, R. Oxidant stress in asthma. Thorax 2000, 55, S51–S53. [CrossRef]
- 12. Grisham, M.B.; Jourd'heuil, D.; Wink, D.A. Review article: Chronic inflammation and reactive oxygen and nitrogen metabolism—implications in DNA damage and mutagenesis. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2000**, *14*, 3–9. [CrossRef]
- 13. Owen, S.; Pearson, D.; Suarez-Mendez, V.; O'Driscoll, R.; Woodcock, A. Evidence of free-radical activity in asthma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1991**, 325, 586–587. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Liu, K.; Hua, S.; Song, L. PM2.5 Exposure and Asthma Development: The Key Role of Oxidative Stress. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* **2022**, 2022, 3618806. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Park, J.; Park, E.H.; Schauer, J.J.; Yi, S.M.; Heo, J. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) activity of ambient fine particles (PM2.5) measured in Seoul, Korea. *Environ. Int.* **2018**, *117*, 276–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Zhou, X.; Sampath, V.; Nadeau, K.C. Effect of air pollution on asthma. *Ann. Allergy. Asthma. Immunol.* **2024**, 132, 426–432. [CrossRef]
- 17. Verma, V.; Shafer, M.M.; Schauer, J.J.; Sioutas, C. Contribution of transition metals in the reactive oxygen species activity of PM emissions from retrofitted heavy-duty vehicles. *Atmos. Environ.* **2010**, *44*, 5165–5173. [CrossRef]
- 18. Xiang, P.; He, R.W.; Han, Y.H.; Sun, H.J.; Cui, X.Y.; Ma, L.Q. Mechanisms of house dust-induced toxicity in primary human corneal epithelial cells: Oxidative stress, proinflammatory response and mitochondrial dysfunction. *Environ. Int.* **2016**, 89–90, 30–37. [CrossRef]
- 19. Weichenthal, S.; Crouse, D.L.; Pinault, L.; Godri-Pollitt, K.; Lavigne, E.; Evans, G.; van Donkelaar, A.; Martin, R.V.; Burnett, R.T. Oxidative burden of fine particulate air pollution and risk of cause-specific mortality in the Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort (CanCHEC). *Environ. Res.* **2016**, *146*, 92–99. [CrossRef]

20. Brehmer, C.; Norris, C.; Barkjohn, K.K.; Bergin, M.H.; Zhang, J.; Cui, X.; Teng, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Black, M.; Li, Z.; et al. The impact of household air cleaners on the oxidative potential of PM2.5 and the role of metals and sources associated with indoor and outdoor exposure. *Environ. Res.* 2020, 181, 108919. [CrossRef]

- 21. Zhang, X.; Staimer, N.; Gillen, D.L.; Tjoa, T.; Schauer, J.J.; Shafer, M.; Hasheminassab, S.; Pakbin, P.; Vaziri, N.D.; Sioutas, C.; et al. Associations of oxidative stress and inflammatory biomarkers with chemically-characterized air pollutant exposures in an elderly cohort. *Environ. Res.* 2016, 150, 306–319. [CrossRef]
- 22. Brehmer, C.; Norris, C.; Barkjohn, K.K.; Bergin, M.H.; Zhang, J.; Cui, X.; Zhang, Y.; Black, M.; Li, Z.; Shafer, M.; et al. The impact of household air cleaners on the chemical composition and children's exposure to PM2.5 metal sources in suburban Shanghai. *Environ. Pollut.* **2019**, 253, 190–198. [CrossRef]
- 23. Marsal, A.; Sauvain, J.-J.; Thomas, A.; Lyon-Caen, S.; Borlaza, L.J.S.; Philippat, C.; Jaffrezo, J.-L.; Boudier, A.; Darfeuil, S.; Elazzouzi, R.; et al. Effects of personal exposure to the oxidative potential of PM2.5 on oxidative stress biomarkers in pregnant women. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2023, 911, 168475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Quinn, C.; Miller-Lionberg, D.D.; Klunder, K.J.; Kwon, J.; Noth, E.M.; Mehaffy, J.; Leith, D.; Magzamen, S.; Hammond, S.K.; Henry, C.S.; et al. Personal Exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> Black Carbon and Aerosol Oxidative Potential using an Automated Microenvironmental Aerosol Sampler (AMAS). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52*, 11267–11275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Secrest, M.H.; Schauer, J.J.; Carter, E.M.; Lai, A.M.; Wang, Y.; Shan, M.; Yang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Baumgartner, J. The oxidative potential of PM2.5 exposures from indoor and outdoor sources in rural China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *571*, 1477–1489. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Fan, J.; Li, S.; Fan, C.; Bai, Z.; Yang, K. The impact of PM2.5 on asthma emergency department visits: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2016**, 23, 843–850. [CrossRef]
- 27. Jacquemin, B.; Siroux, V.; Sanchez, M.; Carsin, A.-E.; Schikowski, T.; Adam, M.; Bellisario, V.; Buschka, A.; Bono, R.; Brunekreef, B.; et al. Ambient air pollution and adult asthma incidence in six European cohorts. ESCAPE. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2015**, 123, 613–621. [CrossRef]
- 28. Karakatsani, A.; Analitis, A.; Perifanou, D.; Ayres, J.G.; Harrison, R.M.; Kotronarou, A.; Kavouras, I.; Pekkanen, J.; Hämeri, K.; Kos, G.P.; et al. Particulate matter air pollution and respiratory symptoms in individuals having either asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A European multicentre panel study. *Environ Health.* 2012, 11, 75. [CrossRef]
- 29. Luzan5 (Ed.) GEMA 5.4. Guía Española Para el Manejo del Asma, Versión 5.4. 2024. ISBN 978-84-19832-56-6. Available online: www.gemasma.com (accessed on 10 February 2025).
- 30. GINA 2024. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2024. Updated December 2024. Available online: www.ginasthma.org (accessed on 10 February 2025).
- 31. Santibáñez, M.; Ruiz-Cubillán, J.J.; Expósito, A.; Agüero, J.; García-Rivero, J.L.; Abascal, B.; Amado, C.A.; Ruiz-Azcona, L.; Lopez-Hoyos, M.; Irure, J.; et al. Association Between Oxidative Potential of Particulate Matter Collected by Personal Samplers and Systemic Inflammation Among Asthmatic and Non-Asthmatic Adults. *Antioxidants* 2024, 13, 1464. [CrossRef]
- 32. Expósito, A.; Vaccarella, E.; Massimi, L.; Santibáñez, M.; Fernández-Olmo, I. Size-segregated particulate matter oxidative potential near a ferromanganese plant: Associations with soluble and insoluble elements and their sources. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2025**, *16*, 102330. [CrossRef]
- 33. Expósito, A.; Maillo, J.; Uriarte, I.; Santibáñez, M.; Fernández-Olmo, I. Kinetics of ascorbate and dithiothreitol oxidation by soluble copper, iron, and manganese, and 1,4-naphthoquinone: Influence of the species concentration and the type of fluid. *Chemosphere* **2024**, *361*, 142435. [CrossRef]
- 34. Langbøl, M.; Saruhanian, S.; Baskaran, T.; Tiedemann, D.; Mouhammad, Z.A.; Toft-Kehler, A.K.; Jun, B.; Vohra, R.; Bazan, N.G.; Kolko, M. Increased Antioxidant Capacity and Pro-Homeostatic Lipid Mediators in Ocular Hypertension—A Human Experimental Model. *J. Clin. Med.* 2020, *9*, 2979. [CrossRef]
- 35. de Fonseca, F.R.; Medina-Paz, F.; Sapozhnikov, M.; Hurtado-Guerrero, I.; Rubio, L.; Martín-De-Las-Heras, S.; Requena-Ocaña, N.; Flores-López, M.; Fernández-Arjona, M.d.M.; Rivera, P.; et al. Plasma Concentrations of High Mobility Group Box 1 Proteins and Soluble Receptors for Advanced Glycation End-Products Are Relevant Biomarkers of Cognitive Impairment in Alcohol Use Disorder: A Pilot Study. *Toxics* **2024**, *12*, 190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Alves, M.I.B.; Plaza, F.A.; Martínez-Tomás, R.; Sánchez-Campillo, M.; Larqué, E.; Pérez-Llamas, F.; Hernández, P.M.; Pallarés, S.P. Oxidized LDL and its correlation with lipid profile and oxidative stress biomarkers in young healthy Spanish subjects. *J. Physiol. Biochem.* **2010**, *66*, 221–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Karadogan, B.; Beyaz, S.; Gelincik, A.; Buyukozturk, S.; Arda, N. Evaluation of oxidative stress biomarkers and antioxidant parameters in allergic asthma patients with different level of asthma control. *J. Asthma* **2022**, *59*, 663–672. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Mateu-Jiménez, M.; Sánchez-Font, A.; Rodríguez-Fuster, A.; Aguiló, R.; Pijuan, L.; Fermoselle, C.; Gea, J.; Curull, V.; Barreiro, E. Redox Imbalance in Lung Cancer of Patients with Underlying Chronic Respiratory Conditions. *Mol. Med.* 2016, 22, 85–98. [CrossRef]

39. Pérez-Peiró, M.; Martín-Ontiyuelo, C.; Rodó-Pi, A.; Piccari, L.; Admetlló, M.; Durán, X.; Rodríguez-Chiaradía, D.A.; Barreiro, E. Iron Replacement and Redox Balance in Non-Anemic and Mildly Anemic Iron Deficiency COPD Patients: Insights from a Clinical Trial. *Biomedicines* 2021, 9, 1191. [CrossRef]

- 40. Puig-Vilanova, E.; Rodriguez, D.A.; Lloreta, J.; Ausin, P.; Pascual-Guardia, S.; Broquetas, J.; Roca, J.; Gea, J.; Barreiro, E. Oxidative stress, redox signaling pathways, and autophagy in cachectic muscles of male patients with advanced COPD and lung cancer. *Free Radic Biol. Med.* **2015**, *79*, 91–108. [CrossRef]
- 41. Ekmekci, O.B.; Donma, O.; Ekmekci, H.; Yildirim, N.; Uysal, O.; Sardogan, E.; Demirel, H.; Demir, T. Plasma paraoxonase activities, lipoprotein oxidation, and trace element interaction in asthmatic patients. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **2006**, *111*, 41–52. [CrossRef]
- 42. Liu, L.; Urch, B.; Szyszkowicz, M.; Evans, G.; Speck, M.; Van Huang, A.; Brook, J.R.; Jakubowski, B.; Poon, R.; Silverman, F.; et al. Metals and oxidative potential in urban particulate matter influence systemic inflammatory and neural biomarkers: A controlled exposure study. *Environ. Int.* **2018**, *121 Pt 2*, 1331–1340. [CrossRef]
- 43. Qin, L.; Guitart, M.; Admetlló, M.; Esteban-Cucó, S.; Maiques, J.M.; Xia, Y.; Zha, J.; Carbullanca, S.; Duran, X.; Wang, X.; et al. Do Redox Balance and Inflammatory Events Take Place in Mild Bronchiectasis? A Hint to Clinical Implications. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 4534. [CrossRef]
- 44. Rafiee, A.; Delgado-Saborit, J.M.; Aquilina, N.J.; Amiri, H.; Hoseini, M. Assessing oxidative stress resulting from environmental exposure to metals (Oids) in a middle Eastern population. *Environ. Geochem. Health.* **2022**, 44, 2649–2668. [CrossRef]
- 45. Checkley, W.; Deza, M.P.; Klawitter, J.; Romero, K.M.; Klawitter, J.; Pollard, S.L.; Hansel, N.N. Identifying biomarkers for asthma diagnosis using targeted metabolomics approaches. *Respir. Med.* **2016**, *121*, 59–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Isiugo, K.; Jandarov, R.; Cox, J.; Ryan, P.; Newman, N.; Grinshpun, S.A.; Indugula, R.; Vesper, S.; Reponen, T. Indoor particulate matter and lung function in children. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *663*, 408–417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Delfino, R.J.; Staimer, N.; Gillen, D.; Tjoa, T.; Sioutas, C.; Fung, K.; George, S.C.; Kleinman, M.T. Personal and Ambient Air Pollution is Associated with Increased Exhaled Nitric Oxide in Children with Asthma. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2006**, 114, 1736–1743. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Veld, M.I.; Pandolfi, M.; Amato, F.; Pérez, N.; Reche, C.; Dominutti, P.; Jaffrezo, J.; Alastuey, A.; Querol, X.; Uzu, G. Discovering oxidative potential (OP) drivers of atmospheric PM10, PM2.5, and PM1 simultaneously in North-Eastern Spain. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2023**, *857*, 159386. [CrossRef]
- 49. Michaeloudes, C.; Abubakar-Waziri, H.; Lakhdar, R.; Raby, K.; Dixey, P.; Adcock, I.M.; Mumby, S.; Bhavsar, P.K.; Chung, K.F. Molecular mechanisms of oxidative stress in asthma. *Mol. Asp. Med.* **2022**, *85*, 101026. [CrossRef]
- 50. Nadeem, A.; Chhabra, S.K.; Masood, A.; Raj, H.G. Increased oxidative stress and altered levels of antioxidants in asthma. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2003**, 111, 72–78. [CrossRef]
- 51. Jiang, H.; Zhou, Y.; Nabavi, S.M.; Sahebkar, A.; Little, P.J.; Xu, S.; Weng, J.; Ge, J. Mechanisms of Oxidized LDL-Mediated Endothelial Dysfunction and Its Consequences for the Development of Atherosclerosis. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **2022**, *9*, 925923. [CrossRef]
- 52. Khatana, C.; Saini, N.K.; Chakrabarti, S.; Saini, V.; Sharma, A.; Saini, R.V.; Saini, A.K. Mechanistic Insights into the Oxidized Low-Density Lipoprotein-Induced Atherosclerosis. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* **2020**, 2020, 5245308. [CrossRef]
- 53. Saunders, R.M.; Biddle, M.; Amrani, Y.; Brightling, C.E. Stressed out—The role of oxidative stress in airway smooth muscle dysfunction in asthma and COPD. *Free. Radic. Biol. Med.* **2022**, *185*, 97–119. [CrossRef]
- 54. Sedgwick, J.B.; Hwang, Y.S.; Gerbyshak, H.A.; Kita, H.; Busse, W.W. Oxidized Low-Density Lipoprotein Activates Migration and Degranulation of Human Granulocytes. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **2003**, 29, 702–709. [CrossRef]
- 55. Vruwink, K.G.; Gershwin, M.E.; Sachet, P.; Halpern, G.; Davis, P.A. Modification of human LDL by in vitro incubation with cigarette smoke or copper ions: Implications for allergies, asthma and atherosclerosis. *J. Investig. Allergol. Clin. Immunol.* **1996**, *6*, 294–300. [PubMed]
- 56. Bassu, S.; Mangoni, A.A.; Argiolas, D.; Congiu, T.; Deiana, L.; Fois, A.G.; Pirina, P.; Carru, C.; Zinellu, A. A systematic review and meta-analysis of paraoxonase-1 activity in asthma. *Clin. Exp. Med.* 2023, 23, 1067–1074. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Wood, L.G.; Fitzgerald, D.A.; Gibson, P.C.; Cooper, D.M.; Garg, M.L. Lipid peroxidation as determined by plasma isoprostanes is related to disease severity in mild asthma. *Lipids* **2000**, *35*, 967–974. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Shanmugasundaram, K.R.; Kumar, S.S.; Rajajee, S. Excessive free radical generation in the blood of children suffering from asthma. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2001**, *305*, 107–114. [CrossRef]
- 59. Bazan-Socha, S.; Wójcik, K.; Olchawa, M.; Sarna, T.; Pięta, J.; Jakieła, B.; Soja, J.; Okoń, K.; Zarychta, J.; Zaręba, L.; et al. Increased Oxidative Stress in Asthma—Relation to Inflammatory Blood and Lung Biomarkers and Airway Remodeling Indices. *Biomedicines* 2022, 10, 1499. [CrossRef]
- 60. Kalyanaraman, B.; Darley-Usmar, V.; Davies, K.J.A.; Dennery, P.A.; Forman, H.J.; Grisham, M.B.; Mann, G.E.; Moore, K.; Roberts, L.J., II; Ischiropoulos, H. Measuring reactive oxygen and nitrogen species with fluorescent probes: Challenges and limitations. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2012**, *52*, 1–6. [CrossRef]

61. Gardiner, B.; Dougherty, J.A.; Ponnalagu, D.; Singh, H.; Angelos, M.; Chen, C.-A.; Khan, M. Measurement of Oxidative Stress Markers In Vitro Using Commercially Available Kits. 2020 Aug 9. In *Measuring Oxidants and Oxidative Stress in Biological Systems* [Internet]; Berliner, L.J., Parinandi, N.L., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; Chapter 4.

- 62. Andrianjafimasy, M.; Zerimech, F.; Akiki, Z.; Huyvaert, H.; Le Moual, N.; Siroux, V.; Matran, R.; Dumas, O.; Nadif, R. Oxidative stress biomarkers and asthma characteristics in adults of the EGEA study. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2017**, *50*, 1701193. [CrossRef]
- 63. Wu, T.; Willett, W.C.; Rifai, N.; Rimm, E.B. Plasma Fluorescent Oxidation Products as Potential Markers of Oxidative Stress for Epidemiologic Studies. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **2007**, *166*, 552–560. [CrossRef]
- 64. Sugiura, H.; Kawabata, H.; Ichikawa, T.; Koarai, A.; Yanagisawa, S.; Kikuchi, T.; Minakata, Y.; Matsunaga, K.; Nakanishi, M.; Hirano, T.; et al. Inhibitory effects of theophylline on the peroxynitrite-augmented release of matrix metalloproteinases by lung fibroblasts. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell. Mol. Physiol.* **2012**, 302, L764–L774; Erratum in *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* **2013**, 305, L404. [CrossRef]
- 65. Chamitava, L.; Cazzoletti, L.; Ferrari, M.; Garcia-Larsen, V.; Jalil, A.; Degan, P.; Fois, A.G.; Zinellu, E.; Fois, S.S.; Pasini, A.M.F.; et al. Biomarkers of Oxidative Stress and Inflammation in Chronic Airway Diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 4339. [CrossRef]
- 66. Aldakheel, F.M.; Thomas, P.S.; Bourke, J.E.; Matheson, M.C.; Dharmage, S.C.; Lowe, A.J. Relationships between adult asthma and oxidative stress markers and pH in exhaled breath condensate: A systematic review. *Allergy* **2016**, *71*, 741–757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Antus, B. Oxidative Stress Markers in Sputum. Oxidative Med. Cell. Longev. 2016, 2016, 2930434. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.