# Discontinuous transition to chaos in a canonical random neural network

Diego Pazó 💿

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), Universidad de Cantabria-CSIC, 39005 Santander, Spain

(Received 17 January 2024; accepted 11 June 2024; published 1 July 2024)

We study a paradigmatic random recurrent neural network introduced by Sompolinsky, Crisanti, and Sommers (SCS). In the infinite size limit, this system exhibits a direct transition from a homogeneous rest state to chaotic behavior, with the Lyapunov exponent gradually increasing from zero. We generalize the SCS model considering odd saturating nonlinear transfer functions, beyond the usual choice  $\phi(x) = \tanh x$ . A discontinuous transition to chaos occurs whenever the slope of  $\phi$  at 0 is a local minimum [i.e., for  $\phi'''(0) > 0$ ]. Chaos appears out of the blue, by an attractor-repeller fold. Accordingly, the Lyapunov exponent stays away from zero at the birth of chaos.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.110.014201

### I. INTRODUCTION

Random neural networks are interesting mathematical abstractions for neuroscience [1] and several machine learning techniques [2]. In these systems the point of optimal computational performance is generally expected to be at (or near) the "edge of chaos" [3–7]. Characterizing the onset chaos in random neural networks represents therefore a significant scientific endeavor [8,9].

A prototypic random neural network was put forward long ago by Sompolinsky, Crisanti, and Sommers (SCS) [10]. This system became a workhorse model for mathematical neuroscience, at the core of more sophisticated models of plasticity and learning [11,12], working memory [13,14], multiplicative gating interactions [15], etc.

The SCS model consists of  $N \gg 1$  neurons (or "rate units"), whose activities  $\{h_i\}_{i=1,...,N}$  are scalar variables. They are governed by N first-order ordinary differential equations:

$$\dot{h}_i = -h_i + g \sum_{j=1}^N J_{ij} \phi(h_j).$$
 (1)

The coupling between them is weighted by the  $N \times N$  matrix J, whose off-diagonal elements are independently drawn at random from a Gaussian distribution of zero mean and variance 1/N. In addition, self-coupling is excluded setting  $J_{ii} = 0$ . The so-called transfer, activation, or gain function  $\phi$  possesses a sigmoidal shape. Finally, g > 0 is the coupling constant (or "synaptic gain" in jargon).

Our current comprehension of the SCS model within its basic setup,  $\phi(x) = \tanh x$  and large size *N*, is impressive. In the thermodynamic limit  $(N \to \infty)$ , a direct transition from a stable fixed point at the origin (FP<sub>0</sub>) to chaotic behavior occurs when *g* is increased above 1. The level of "chaoticity" gradually increases above g = 1: the asymptotic growth of the largest Lyapunov exponent (LE) is  $\lambda \simeq (g - 1)^2/2$  [10,16]. At the same time, the emerging chaotic attractor is not low-dimensional: its dimension grows linearly with the system

size *N*—i.e., chaos is extensive [17,18]. Moreover, the onset of chaos coincides with the birth of an unstable heterogeneous rest state. For finite *N* this translates into an explosion in the number *n* of (unstable) fixed points, scaling exponentially with *N*:  $n \sim e^{(g-1)^2N}$  for *g* just above 1 [19,20].

The scenario just described is markedly different from the classical "low-dimensional" routes to chaos, and from the onset of phase turbulence or spatiotemporal intermittency in extended systems [21]. It is not completely robust though. For instance, noise [22,23] or heterogeneous external inputs [20] alter the transition to chaos as described above. Still, in both cases the "chaoticity" (i.e., the LE) smoothly grows from zero. In parallel, considerable effort has been devoted to generalize the coupling matrix in (1) [24–27]. To our knowledge, these works do not contradict the expectation that chaos sets in smoothly, with a LE growing from zero above threshold. Only recently, a discontinuous transition to chaos has been detected in the SCS model supplemented with gating interactions [15] and in a discrete-time neural connectivity model [9].

In the context of the SCS model the influence of the transfer function  $\phi$  remains largely ignored, since it is generally believed that adopting the hyperbolic tangent serves as a "prototype of generic odd symmetric saturated sigmoid functions" [16]. In this paper we make this assertion more quantitative. We find that the sign of  $\phi'''(0)$  determines if the transition to chaos is qualitatively as described above, or discontinuous. In the latter case—occurring when  $\phi'''(0) > 0$ —stable FP<sub>0</sub> and a chaotic attractor coexist in a finite interval of g. The chaotic attractor appears out of the blue in an attractor-repeller collision between chaotic sets. Accordingly, the LE stays away from zero at the bifurcation point.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Sec. II we introduce a monoparametric family of transfer functions, and show the results of our simulations, in particular the coexistence mentioned above. Section III provides a theoretical explanation for the observed results, and contains the main results of this work. In Sec. IV we show a couple of additional simulations. Finally, Sec. V serves to recall the main conclusions of this work, and to provide some outlook.



FIG. 1. Transfer function  $\phi(x)$  for different values of parameter  $\epsilon$ .

# **II. PRELIMINARY NUMERICAL RESULTS**

Throughout this work numerical simulations are carried out with a family of odd symmetric transfer functions:

$$\phi(x) = \tanh x + \epsilon \tanh^3 x. \tag{2}$$

For  $\epsilon = 0$  we recover the usual choice  $\phi(x) = \tanh x$ . And the monotonicity of  $\phi(x)$  is preserved for  $\epsilon > -1/3$ . Moreover, the slope remains 1 at the origin, irrespective of the  $\epsilon$  value. Function  $\phi(x)$ , saturates at  $\pm(1 + \epsilon)$  as  $x \to \pm\infty$ . For the sake of illustration,  $\phi(x)$  is represented in Fig. 1 for several  $\epsilon$  values.

We start exploring the dynamics of the model defined by Eqs. (1) and (2) numerically. We consider one specific network realization of size  $N = 10^3$ . Linearizing the model, and computing the eigenvalues of the corresponding  $10^3 \times 10^3$ Jacobian matrix, we determined when the trivial fixed point  $h_i = 0$  loses its stability. For the particular network the critical coupling turned out to be  $g_0 = 1.006...$ , not far from  $g_c = 1$ , the actual stability boundary of FP<sub>0</sub> in the thermodynamic limit. Note that the value of  $g_0$  is independent of  $\epsilon$  since  $\phi'(0) = 1$  always holds.

We integrated Eq. (1) using a fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm with time step  $\Delta t = 10^{-2}$ . The state of the network was tracked measuring the variance:

$$\Delta(t) = \overline{h_i^2} - \overline{h_i}^2.$$
(3)

The overline denotes the population average. In Fig. 2(a) we show the results for six different values of  $\epsilon$ . In each case the simulation started at a high value of g, and then its value was decreased quasi-adiabatically. For each value of g (and  $\epsilon$ ) the local maxima of  $\Delta(t)$  are represented by dots, while the time average  $\langle \Delta \rangle$  is identified by one square. The LE  $\lambda$  was also computed; see Fig. 2(b). At parameter values with positive LE, i.e., chaos, the local maxima of  $\Delta$  are scattered, as expected. In contrast, when only a few different local maxima of  $\Delta$  are measured the LE is approximately zero, indicating periodic dynamics.



FIG. 2. Numerical results for a particular network of  $N = 10^3$  units and six different values of  $\epsilon = \{0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1\}$ . The coupling constant *g* was decreased at steps of size  $\Delta g = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ . The simulations were finished when the system falls into the trivial fixed point FP<sub>0</sub>. (a) The mean variance  $\langle \Delta \rangle$  and local maxima of  $\Delta(t)$ —denoted by  $\Delta_{max}$ —are depicted for each *g* value with squares and dots, respectively. The vertical dashed line signals the stability threshold of the homogeneous fixed point, located at  $g_0 \simeq 1.006$ . The trivial fixed point attractor  $h_i = 0$  present for  $g < g_0$  is not shown. Solid lines are the variances  $c_0$  of chaotic solutions in the thermodynamic limit, predicted from Eq. (9). (b) Largest Lyapunov exponent of the attractors in panel (a).

If we look at the results for the canonical case  $\epsilon = 0$ , it is apparent that chaos—signified by a positive LE—disappears at a certain value of g above  $g_c$  (from above). This is the kind of finite-size effect that we must keep in mind. Finite networks do not exactly behave as the theory, valid in the thermodynamic limit, dictates, specially near the bifurcation points [17,28]. There the results between different realizations of the coupling matrix will be specially conspicuous. This drawback is minimized increasing the network size, but it is difficult in practice since the computational cost grows quite rapidly with the system size.

Let us now discuss the results for  $\epsilon > 0$  in Fig. 2. The results for  $\epsilon = 0.2$  are qualitatively similar to those for  $\epsilon = 0$ . The variance attains larger values in the former case because the transfer function saturates at a larger values (in absolute value). Qualitatively new results are found for larger  $\epsilon$ : Variances remain above zero for *g* below  $g_c$ . The most remarkable observation is the bistability between chaos (or periodic dynamics) and the trivial rest state (FP<sub>0</sub>). As occurred for small  $\epsilon$ , the nontrivial attractor becomes periodic before disappearing. One might argue that the finiteness of the network, or poorly treated transient dynamics, are responsible of the peculiarities observed. As we show next, our analysis, valid in the thermodynamic limit, successfully explains the behavior of the system.

#### **III. THEORY**

### A. Dynamic mean-field analysis

Analyzing the model (1), even assuming  $N \to \infty$ , is a difficult task. Either a path integral approach [16] or dynamic mean-field theory [8,10,29] can be used. We do not review these mathematical treatments here. Instead, we simply borrow the final results as recently published in [29], and apply them to our transfer function (2).

In the original work by SCS [10], it was found that the autocovariance

$$c(\tau) = \langle h_i(t)h_i(t+\tau) \rangle \tag{4}$$

is a key quantity. Note that  $c(\tau)$  in Eq. (4) solely depends on the time difference, as the dynamics is assumed to settle into a stationary state. For  $\tau = 0$ , we recover the variance  $c(0) \equiv c_0 \ (\langle h_i \rangle = 0 \text{ for } N \to \infty)$ . Eventually, we shall compare  $c_0$  with the time average  $\langle \Delta \rangle$  computed in Fig. 2. Both quantities should coincide in the thermodynamic limit as, due to symmetry, we expect  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \overline{h_i} = 0$ .

One may can prove that the autocovariance obeys a secondorder ordinary differential equation [10,29]:

$$\frac{d^2 c(\tau)}{d\tau^2} = -V'(c;c_0).$$
 (5)

The constant  $c_0$  plays two roles in this equation: it is a parameter of the potential V, and it specifies the initial condition for  $c_0 = c(0)$ . The value of  $c_0$  has to be determined requiring self-consistency. The exact form of V is [29]

$$V(c;c_0) = -\frac{c^2}{2} + g^2 f_{\Phi}(c,c_0) - g^2 f_{\Phi}(0,c_0), \qquad (6)$$

with

$$f_{\Phi}(c, c_0) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\sqrt{c_0 - \frac{c^2}{c_0}} z_1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{c_0}} z_2\right) \\ \times \Phi(\sqrt{c_0} z_2) D z_1 D z_2.$$

Here Dz is the Gaussian integration measure  $Dz = \exp(-z^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi} dz$ , and  $\Phi$  is the integral of  $\phi$ :

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \phi(x') dx' = (1+\epsilon) \ln(\cosh x) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tanh^2 x.$$
(7)

The last term in Eq. (6) is an offset to ensure  $V(0, c_0) =$ 0. As already explained, the shape of the potential depends on the constant  $c_0$ , acting as a parameter that must be selfconsistent with the dynamics. For instance, a (heterogeneous) fixed point corresponds to a  $\tau$ -independent covariance  $c_*$ , which is satisfied by an equilibrium point of Eq. (5). In turn  $V(c; c_*)$  must exhibits an extremum precisely at  $c = c_*$ . As a double check of our numerical integral solvers, we verified that the variance  $c_*$  of the heterogeneous fixed point, obtained through static mean-field theory (see the Appendix) corresponded to a minimum of the potential of  $V(c; c_*)$  exactly at  $c = c_*$ . Periodic orbits, corresponding to periodic  $c(\tau)$  are also possible, but we do not consider them. It was already concluded for  $\epsilon = 0$ , that such orbits are unstable [10], and there is not physical reason to expect them to become stable for  $\epsilon > 0$ .

#### **B.** Chaotic solutions

For a chaotic solution,  $c_0$  is such that, imposing the initial condition  $c(0) = c_0$  (and  $\dot{c}(0) = 0$  as noise is absent [29]) in Eq. (5), the asymptotic behavior is  $\lim_{\tau \to \infty} c(\tau) = 0$ , i.e., the autocorrelation vanishes in the infinite- $\tau$  limit. As the initial and the asymptotic points possess null potential and kinetic energies, the self-consistent condition boils down to

$$V(c_0; c_0) = 0. (8)$$

Needless to say, this condition can be fulfilled for certain values of  $\epsilon$  and g, but not for others. For example, at the critical value g = 1, when  $\epsilon$  is small or zero the only self-consistent solution is  $c_0 = 0$  (i.e., the fixed point FP<sub>0</sub>). Contrastingly, for large enough  $\epsilon$ , other self-consistent potentials exist. Four of them are shown in Fig. 3.

In order to locate chaotic solutions in parameter space, it is convenient to minimize the numerical effort. Imposing the condition in Eq. (8) to Eq. (6) we obtain g as a parametric function of  $c_0$ :

$$g_{ch}^{2}(c_{0}) = \frac{c_{0}^{2}/2}{f_{\Phi}(c_{0}, c_{0}) - f_{\Phi}(0, c_{0})}$$
$$= \frac{c_{0}^{2}/2}{\int [\Phi(\sqrt{c_{0}}z)]^{2}Dz - [\int \Phi(\sqrt{c_{0}}z)Dz)]^{2}}.$$
 (9)

The integrals in this expression were solved using *Mathematica*, and the results are depicted as solid lines in Fig. 2(a). For large enough  $\epsilon$  two chaotic solutions coexist in a range of g values below 1. As one could have presumed, the numerical simulations indicate that the branch with the highest



FIG. 3. Potential  $V(c; c_0)$  for g = 1 and four different values of  $\epsilon$ . In each case the value of parameter  $c_0$  was selected to correspond to the chaotic state, i.e., a trajectory obeying Eq. (5), with initial condition  $c(0) = c_0$ , converges to 0 as  $\tau \to \infty$ . The  $c_0$  values are  $c_0 \simeq 1.203, 0.745, 0.365$ , and 0.0736; in order of decreasing  $\epsilon$ .

variance corresponds to an attractor, while the lower branch corresponds to a chaotic saddle; see Sec. IV A below.

# C. Critical e

We elucidate next what determines if the line of chaos emerging at g = 1 has positive or negative slope. We start assuming  $0 < c_0 \ll 1$ , and Taylor expand  $\Phi(\sqrt{c_0}z)$  in Eq. (9). Taking into account that  $\phi$  is an odd symmetric function, and  $\phi'(0) = 1$ , we get

$$g_{\rm ch}^2 \simeq \frac{1}{1 + \phi'''(0)c_0 + O(c_0^2)}.$$
 (10)

In this formula the sign of the third derivative of  $\phi$  at zero determines the existence of the solution either above or below g = 1. The usual scenario is observed for negative  $\phi'''(0)$ , i.e., when the slope of  $\phi$  at the origin is a local maximum. Otherwise, if  $\phi'''(0) > 0$ , then the chaotic branch emanates with negative slope, and a fold develops.

For our particular transfer function in Eq. (2),  $\phi'''(0) = -2 + 6\epsilon$ , and therefore the critical  $\epsilon$  value turns out to be  $\epsilon_c = 1/3$ . In Fig. 4 we illustrate the effect of crossing this value, displaying  $c_0$  as function of g (in logarithmic scale). For the crossover value  $\epsilon = 1/3$  a thick cyan line is displayed. A fold is apparent for all  $\epsilon$  values above 1/3. We interpret this fold as an attractor-repeller collision of chaotic sets, with the upper (lower) branch corresponding to the attractor (saddle). Numerical simulations do not contradict this expectation. For illustration, two potentials corresponding to the upper and lower branches of chaotic dynamics are shown by solid gray lines in Fig. 5.

As mentioned in the Introduction, in the SCS model (with  $\epsilon = 0$ ) an unstable heterogeneous fixed point bifurcates from FP<sub>0</sub> at g = 1. In the Appendix we determine the variance of the heterogeneous fixed point  $c_*$  using static mean-field



FIG. 4. Solid line: Variance  $c_0$  of the chaotic solutions, obtained from Eq. (9). Dashed line: Variance  $c_*$  of the heterogeneous rest state, obtained from Eq. (A1). The arrow indicates the direction of growing  $\epsilon$ . The curves corresponding to  $\epsilon > 1/3$  exhibit a fold.

theory. In Fig. 4 the variance  $c_*$  is depicted by a dashed line for the same values of  $\epsilon$  that  $c_0$ . Both quantities exhibit a fold for  $\epsilon > 1/3$ . This means that varying g the system exhibits a fold (or saddle-node) bifurcation of fixed points (in infinitely many dimensions). The pair of fixed points are both unstable. This is analytically investigated in the Appendix. We can also appreciate in Fig. 4 that the values of  $c_*$  and  $c_0$  are asymptotically the same in the  $g \rightarrow 1$  limit; cf. Eq. (10) and Eq. (A3) in the Appendix.



FIG. 5. Self-consistent potentials  $V(c; c_0)$  for  $\epsilon = 1$  and two different values of the coupling constant g. Dashed red line: The single potential at the fold,  $g_{ch}^F = 0.866216...$  Solid gray lines: The pair of self-consistent potentials at g = 0.87 (slightly above  $g_{ch}^F$ ). The thick line corresponds to the upper branch (u), and the thin line to the lower branch (l). The values of the variances are  $c_0 \simeq 0.1964$ , 0.269, and 0.358.

# D. Finiteness of the Lyapunov exponent at the fold

As already explained, if  $\epsilon > 1/3$ , then chaos appears out of the blue at certain  $g_{ch}^F$  in an attractor-repeller collision (assuming the  $N \to \infty$  limit). Simulations indicate that only the upper branch of the chaotic solution is attracting. The lower branch, corresponding to a repeller, terminates at g = 1. In Fig. 5 the self-consistent potential at the critical value  $g_{ch}^F$  for  $\epsilon = 1$  is depicted by a red dashed line. This potential does not exhibit any peculiarity (in contrast with the normal scenario when  $g \to 1^+$ ). The covariance  $c(\tau)$  will approach zero at a finite decay rate. This indicates the LE is necessarily above zero.

Moreover, as originally found [10], the LE is related with the quantum-mechanical ground-state energy of a particle confined in a symmetric potential well  $W(\tau) = -V''(c(\tau))$ . Given the time-independent Schrödinger equation,

$$\psi''(\tau) + W(\tau)\psi(\tau) = E\psi(\tau), \qquad (11)$$

the energy of the ground state  $E_0$  yields the LE,

$$\lambda = -1 + \sqrt{1 - E_0}.$$
 (12)

In our numerical implementation, we started fitting V(c) by an even polynomial, such that the nontrivial zero coincided with  $c_0$  up to the six decimal digit (at least). The second derivative of the polynomial was evaluated at numerical solutions of  $c(\tau)$  obtaining in this way  $W(\tau)$ . Finally, the value of  $E_0$  was obtained applying the shooting method to Eq. (11). We impose  $\psi'(0) = 0$  and iteratively search for a solution  $\psi(\tau)$  monotonically decreasing to zero (since the ground state is even symmetric and node-free).

The previous numerical scheme was applied to the upper chaotic branch for  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $g \leq 1$ . The result is shown by a solid gray line in Fig. 6(a). The LE disappears with a finite value at  $g = g_{ch}^F$ . This is precisely what should occur in an attractor-repeller collision between chaotic solutions; see the solid gray line in Fig. 6(b).

As a complement to the theoretical results we also show in Fig. 6 the results for two network realizations with  $N = 4 \times 10^3$ . In Fig. 6(a) we additionally represent the average over 20 realizations for  $N = 10^3$  (a few realizations with no chaos were discarded). The numerical results are fully consistent with the theory. The gap between theory and numerics narrows as the system size increases.

It is important to keep in mind that a finite network necessarily behaves as a generic dynamical system (with global inversion symmetry  $h_i \rightarrow -h_i$ ). Hence, the disappearance of the nontrivial chaotic state necessarily follows a standard route, instead of an attractor-repeller collision of chaotic sets. However, the larger the network the closer to the asymptotic scenario.

## E. Phase diagram

We end this section condensing our previous results in the phase diagram displayed in Fig. 7. The fold of the fixed point and the fold of chaos almost overlap; cf. Fig. 4. Increasing *g*, the pair of (unstable) fixed points appear prior to the pair of chaotic states (attractor and repeller). The distance between both fold bifurcations is tiny. For example, at  $\epsilon = 1$  the folds for chaos and the fixed point are at  $g_{ch}^F \simeq 0.8662$  and



FIG. 6. Behavior of two different networks of size  $N = 4 \times 10^3$ as a function of g ( $\epsilon = 1$ ). (a) The LE for each network. The theoretical value of the LE (upper branch) obtained from Eqs. (11) and (12), as well as the average LE over 20 network configurations with  $N = 10^3$ , are depicted by a solid line and circles, respectively. (b) Mean variance  $\langle \Delta \rangle$  and maximum values of  $\Delta(t)$  for the same attractors than in panel (a). The solid line is the variance  $c_0$  predicted by dynamic mean-field theory, Eq. (9).

 $g_{\rm fp}^F \simeq 0.8655$ , respectively. For a large finite network, this means that topological complexity (an exponential number of fixed points), precedes dynamical complexity (chaos).



FIG. 7. Phase diagram of the SCS model with the transfer function in Eq. (2). In the light shaded region, the chaotic attractor and the stable trivial fixed point (FP<sub>0</sub>) coexist. The pink square is located at the doubly degenerate point  $(g, \epsilon) = (1, 1/3)$ .



FIG. 8. Time evolution of  $\Delta(t)$  for a network of size  $N = 4 \times 10^3$  with  $\epsilon = 1$  and g = 0.92. The solid gray line is the result of the unperturbed dynamics. The dashed and dash-dotted lines correspond to initial conditions obtained rescaling the variance  $\Delta$  at t = 0 by a factor f = 0.228814... The factors f differ in  $10^{-15}$ . After a transient near the saddle, the trajectory depicted by a dash-dotted blue line returns to the chaotic attractor, while the dashed red one converges to the trivial fixed point ( $\Delta = 0$ ). Two horizontal dotted lines mark the average variance  $c_0$  of two self-consistent chaotic solutions according to dynamic mean-field theory; see Figs. 4 or 6(b).

### IV. ADDITIONAL NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

We now present a couple of simulations, which intend to provide a wider perspective of the system behavior. They were carried out with  $N = 4 \times 10^3$  units and  $\epsilon = 1$ .

## A. The saddle solution

We now corroborate the existence of a saddle solution between the chaotic attractor and the stable trivial rest state. Adopting g = 0.92, we let the system evolve in the chaotic attractor up to at a particular time (t = 0). At this moment the coordinates of all units are rescaled by a certain factor  $\sqrt{f}$  with respect to the population average. As we may see in Fig. 8, at the critical f value (for our particular numerical experiment  $f_c = 0.228814...$ ) the dynamics initially converges to a saddle state. Remarkably, the range of values exhibited by the variance  $\Delta(t)$  is near the value  $c_0^{(l)}$  predicted in the thermodynamic limit. For f slightly above  $f_c$  the system returns to the chaotic attractor, while just below the system becomes attracted by the trivial rest state FP<sub>0</sub>. This means that the stable manifold of the saddle is the boundary between the basins of attraction of both attractors.

#### B. High-dimensional chaos

The standard SCS model exhibits hyperchaos, i.e., more than one positive Lyapunov exponent [17]. We have computed the largest Lyapunov exponents for the two networks in Fig. 6, obtaining 13 and 15 positive Lyapunov exponents for networks no. 1 and no. 2, respectively. The relevant part of the Lyapunov spectrum is shown in Fig. 9.

The quasicontinuous appearance of the Lyapunov spectrum suggests that—as in the standard SCS model [17]—chaos is extensive, i.e., typical measures of chaos (the fractal dimension, the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, etc.) grow linearly with the network size.



FIG. 9. Sixty largest Lyapunov exponents  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1,\dots,60}$  for the two networks in Fig. 6 at g = 1 ( $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $N = 4 \times 10^3$ ). The integer part of the Kaplan-Yorke dimension is 28 for network no. 1, and 32 for network no. 2.

### **V. CONCLUSIONS**

In this work we have analyzed the classical SCS random neural network considering a monoparametric family of odd-symmetric transfer functions  $\phi$ , which includes the usual hyperbolic tangent as a particular case ( $\epsilon = 0$ ). The third derivative of the transfer function at zero determines the abruptness of the edge of chaos. This might be relevant for tuning the learning capability of neural networks, and certainly deserves further study. When the transfer function  $\phi(x)$  is concave for all x > 0 ( $\epsilon < 1/3$ ) the usual continuous transition from the trivial fixed point to the chaotic phase is observed. At  $\epsilon = 1/3$  ( $\phi'''(0) = 0$ ) the transition to chaos becomes particularly abrupt, while remaining continuous. Finally, for  $\epsilon > 1/3$ , the transition becomes discontinuous. (Retrospectively, this situation had been already anticipated for the time-discrete SCS model with an "accelerating nonlinearity" [6].) In the discontinuous transition, the appearance of a pair of heterogeneous fixed points precedes chaos, which appears out of the blue in an "attractor-repeller fold." It must be stressed that in low-dimensional systems such a fold for chaotic sets is not observed (it may be fabricated, of course, but it is completely nongeneric).

Here we have focused on the chaotic and the resting states. Self-consistent periodic solutions corresponding to periodic  $c(\tau)$  also exist, although they are expected to be unstable. Unstable periodic orbits constitute the skeleton of finite dimensional chaotic attractors. Do they play any relevant role? A quick analysis indicates that periodic  $c(\tau)$  solutions also appear via fold bifurcations. However, those with positive energy, able of visiting negative values of *c*, appear only for  $g > g_{ch}^F$ . Is this a generic property of infinite-dimensional chaos in random neural networks with global  $h_i \rightarrow -h_i$  symmetry?

In the mind set of comparing with low-dimensional systems, we found the phase diagram in Fig. 7 quite suggestive. The degenerate point at  $(g, \epsilon) = (1, 1/3)$  resembles codimension-two points encountered in bifurcation theory. The spectral properties of infinite-dimensional random networks do not permit usual center manifold reduction. Still, one may wonder if there exists a systematic classification of bifurcations for these systems. At this stage, we can only

conclude that the dynamics of random neural networks represents a challenge for chaos theory.

Finally, it deserves to be emphasized that this work deals with a network of idealized "rate neurons." In the spirit of [8] (see also [30,31]), it would be interesting to verify if the results can be extended to spiking neuronal circuits.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Taro Toyoizumi for an enlightening discussion. I acknowledge support by Project No. PID2021-125543NB-I00, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by ERDF A way of making Europe.

### **APPENDIX: HETEROGENEOUS FIXED POINT**

#### 1. Static mean-field theory

Besides the homogeneous state FP<sub>0</sub> ( $h_i = 0$ ), heterogeneous fixed points exist for large enough g. They are solutions of the fixed-point equation  $h_i^* = g \sum_j J_{ij} \phi(h_j^*)$ . In the following calculations we assume the system is self-averaging; see, e.g., the Appendix in Ref. [26] for a more rigorous treatment.

In the thermodynamic limit, all the heterogeneous solutions become the same density  $\rho(h^*)$ . For large *N*, it is reasonable to expect  $J_{ij}$  and  $h_j^*$  to become uncorrelated. Hence, by virtue of the central limit theorem,  $\rho(h^*)$  is a normal distribution around zero, with variance  $c_*$ . Selfconsistency implies the variance necessarily satisfies

$$c_* = g_{\rm fp}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi \left(\sqrt{c_* z}\right)^2 Dz. \tag{A1}$$

From this expression we obtained the parametric curves  $g_{fp}(c_*)$  in Fig. 4. (Other order parameters, besides the variance  $c_*$ , can be of interest as well [32].)

Taylor expanding Eq. (A1), one finds how the heterogeneous solution branches off the trivial fixed point. We get

$$c_* = g_{\rm fp}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{c_* z^2}{2} (\phi^2)'' + \frac{c_*^2 z^4}{24} (\phi^2)'''' + O(c_*^3) \right] Dz, \quad (A2)$$

where the derivatives of  $\phi^2$  are evaluated at 0; we have used the identities  $\phi(0) = \phi''(0) = 0$ . After a few manipulations we obtain the asymptotic dependence of  $g_{\rm fp}$  on  $c_*$ :

$$g_{\rm fp}^2(c_*) \simeq \frac{1}{1 + \phi^{\prime\prime\prime}(0)c_* + O(c_*^2)} \simeq 1 - \phi^{\prime\prime\prime}(0)c_*,$$
 (A3)

where we have assumed  $\phi'(0) = 1$ . It is manifest in Eq. (A3) that the sign of  $\phi'''(0)$  determines the orientation of the branch emanating from g = 1 in the bifurcation diagram: If  $\phi'''(0) < 0$  [i.e.,  $\phi'(0)$  is a local maximum], then the usual scenario is recovered. Instead, if  $\phi'''(0) > 0$ , then the nontrivial fixed point emanates from FP<sub>0</sub> towards g < 1. As written in the main text  $\phi'''(0) = -2 + 6\epsilon$ , and the critical  $\epsilon$  value is hence  $\epsilon_c = 1/3$ .

#### 2. Stability of the heterogeneous fixed point

As mentioned in the main text, the heterogeneous rest states are always found to be unstable. The linear equation for infinitesimal perturbations is

$$\dot{\delta h}_i = -\delta h_i + g \sum_j J_{ij} \phi'(h_j^*) \delta h_j.$$
 (A4)

The Jacobian is minus the identity matrix plus a inhomogeneous random matrix, with the elements in the *j*th column possessing a specific variance  $\phi'(h_j^*)^2/N$ . In the thermodynamic limit the eigenvalues of the Jacobian are contained in a circle of radius squared  $r^2 = \overline{\phi'(h_j^*)^2}$  centered at -1 [24,30,33]. The eigenvalue with the largest real part is

$$\lambda_{\max} = -1 + gr, \tag{A5}$$

where r satisfies

$$r^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\phi'(\sqrt{c_{*}}z)]^{2} Dz.$$
 (A6)

Numerical solution of this system yields positive  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  in all cases we have investigated. In the small  $c_*$  region we need to Taylor expand the right-hand side of Eqs. (A1) and (A6) at order  $c_*^3$  and  $c_*^2$ , respectively. After some algebra, we get the asymptotic value of the dominating eigenvalue for the rest state branching off FP<sub>0</sub>:

$$\lambda_{\max} = 6\left(\epsilon - \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 c_*^2 + O(c_*^3).$$
 (A7)

Remarkably,  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  remains positive for all  $\epsilon$  (save for  $\epsilon = 1/3$ , in which case the next order in  $c_*$  should be computed).

- W. Gerstner, W. M. Kistler, R. Naud, and L. Paninski, Neuronal Dynamics: From Single Neurons to Networks and Models of Cognition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014).
- [2] H. Jaeger and H. Haas, Harnessing nonlinearity: Predicting chaotic systems and saving energy in wireless communication, Science 304, 78 (2004).
- [3] N. H. Packard, Adaptation toward the edge of chaos, in *Dynamic Patterns in Complex Systems*, edited by J. Kelso, A. Mandell, and M. Shlesinger (World Scientific, 1988), pp. 293–301.
- [4] C. G. Langton, Computation at the edge of chaos: Phase transitions and emergent computation, Physica D 42, 12 (1990).
- [5] N. Bertschinger and T. Natschläger, Real-time computation at the edge of chaos in recurrent neural networks, Neural Comput. 16, 1413 (2004).
- [6] T. Toyoizumi and L. F. Abbott, Beyond the edge of chaos: Amplification and temporal integration by recurrent networks in the chaotic regime, Phys. Rev. E 84, 051908 (2011).
- [7] C. Keup, T. Kühn, D. Dahmen, and M. Helias, Transient chaotic dimensionality expansion by recurrent networks, Phys. Rev. X 11, 021064 (2021).

- [8] J. Kadmon and H. Sompolinsky, Transition to chaos in random neuronal networks, Phys. Rev. X 5, 041030 (2015).
- [9] L. Kuśmierz, S. Ogawa, and T. Toyoizumi, Edge of chaos and avalanches in neural networks with heavy-tailed synaptic weight distribution, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 028101 (2020).
- [10] H. Sompolinsky, A. Crisanti, and H. J. Sommers, Chaos in random neural networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 259 (1988).
- [11] D. Sussillo and L. Abbott, Generating coherent patterns of activity from chaotic neural networks, Neuron 63, 544 (2009).
- [12] D. G. Clark and L. F. Abbott, Theory of coupled neuronalsynaptic dynamics, Phys. Rev. X 14, 021001 (2024).
- [13] O. Barak, D. Sussillo, R. Romo, M. Tsodyks, and L. Abbott, From fixed points to chaos: Three models of delayed discrimination, Prog. Neurobiol. 103, 214 (2013).
- [14] U. Pereira-Obilinovic, J. Aljadeff, and N. Brunel, Forgetting leads to chaos in attractor networks, Phys. Rev. X 13, 011009 (2023).
- [15] K. Krishnamurthy, T. Can, and D. J. Schwab, Theory of gating in recurrent neural networks, Phys. Rev. X 12, 011011 (2022).
- [16] A. Crisanti and H. Sompolinsky, Path integral approach to random neural networks, Phys. Rev. E 98, 062120 (2018).
- [17] R. Engelken, F. Wolf, and L. F. Abbott, Lyapunov spectra of chaotic recurrent neural networks, Phys. Rev. Res. 5, 043044 (2023).
- [18] D. G. Clark, L. F. Abbott, and A. Litwin-Kumar, Dimension of activity in random neural networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 118401 (2023).
- [19] G. Wainrib and J. Touboul, Topological and dynamical complexity of random neural networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 118101 (2013).
- [20] J. Stubenrauch, C. Keup, A. C. Kurth, M. Helias, and A. van Meegen, The distribution of unstable fixed points in chaotic neural networks, arXiv:2210.07877.
- [21] P. Manneville, *Dissipative Structures and Weak Turbulence* (Academic Press, Boston, 1990).

- [22] L. Molgedey, J. Schuchhardt, and H. G. Schuster, Suppressing chaos in neural networks by noise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3717 (1992).
- [23] J. Schuecker, S. Goedeke, and M. Helias, Optimal sequence memory in driven random networks, Phys. Rev. X 8, 041029 (2018).
- [24] J. Aljadeff, M. Stern, and T. Sharpee, Transition to chaos in random networks with cell-type-specific connectivity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 088101 (2015).
- [25] D. Martí, N. Brunel, and S. Ostojic, Correlations between synapses in pairs of neurons slow down dynamics in randomly connected neural networks, Phys. Rev. E 97, 062314 (2018).
- [26] F. Mastrogiuseppe and S. Ostojic, Linking connectivity, dynamics, and computations in low-rank recurrent neural networks, Neuron 99, 609 (2018).
- [27] J. R. Ipsen and A. D. H. Peterson, Consequences of Dale's law on the stability-complexity relationship of random neural networks, Phys. Rev. E 101, 052412 (2020).
- [28] G. Curato and A. Politi, Onset of chaotic dynamics in neural networks, Phys. Rev. E 88, 042908 (2013).
- [29] M. Helias and D. Dahmen, *Statistical Field Theory for Neural Networks*, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer, Cham, 2020).
- [30] O. Harish and D. Hansel, Asynchronous rate chaos in spiking neuronal circuits, PLOS Comput. Biol. 11, e1004266 (2015).
- [31] D. Angulo-Garcia, S. Luccioli, S. Olmi, and A. Torcini, Death and rebirth of neural activity in sparse inhibitory networks, New J. Phys. 19, 053011 (2017).
- [32] J. Qiu and H. Huang, An optimization-based equilibrium measure describes non-equilibrium steady state dynamics: Application to edge of chaos, arXiv:2401.10009.
- [33] K. Rajan and L. F. Abbott, Eigenvalue spectra of random matrices for neural networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 188104 (2006).