



Search for a new bottomonium state decaying to $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [☆]

CMS Collaboration ^{*}

CERN, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 September 2013

Received in revised form 4 October 2013

Accepted 7 October 2013

Available online 15 October 2013

Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS

Physics

Exotic quarkonia

ABSTRACT

The results of a search for the bottomonium counterpart, denoted as X_b , of the exotic charmonium state $X(3872)$ is presented. The analysis is based on a sample of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb^{-1} . The search looks for the exclusive decay channel $X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ followed by $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$. No evidence for an X_b signal is observed. Upper limits are set at the 95% confidence level on the ratio of the inclusive production cross sections times the branching fractions to $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ of the X_b and the $\Upsilon(2S)$. The upper limits on the ratio are in the range 0.9–5.4% for X_b masses between 10 and 11 GeV. These are the first upper limits on the production of a possible X_b at a hadron collider.

© 2013 CERN. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the past decade, several unexpected charmonium states, such as the $X(3872)$ and the $Y(4260)$, have been discovered [1,2] and then confirmed [3,4] by the Belle and BaBar experiments. The $X(3872)$ state has also been seen by hadron collider experiments [5–8]. These exotic states are not predicted in well-established theoretical models, and progress in this area has been mostly driven by experiments. Interpretations as tetraquark states or hadronic molecules have been proposed [9–12]. It is therefore natural to look for similar states in the bottomonium system [9–14]. Finding such exotic bottomonium states may provide a more complete picture of exotic quarkonia and help to clarify their production mechanisms and intrinsic properties.

The exotic resonance $X(3872)$ was discovered in the final state $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$. The CDF [5] and CMS [8] experiments have shown that the $X(3872)$ is produced not only through B-meson decays, but also through prompt production. At the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), CMS has measured the prompt production of the $X(3872)$ to be about 80% of the total production [8]. A bottomonium counterpart of the $X(3872)$, denoted as X_b , would be expected to decay through $X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$. Several known properties of the $X(3872)$ state provide clues in the search for the X_b . The $X(3872)$ has a small natural width < 1.2 MeV [15] and its production rate times the $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ branching fraction is $(6.56 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.65)\%$ of the corresponding $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$

value [8], roughly independent of transverse momentum (p_T). In analogy, the X_b state could also be a narrow resonance, with a sizable decay rate in the $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ final state. The mass of the X_b is predicted in some models [9,13] to be close to either the $B\bar{B}$ or $B\bar{B}^*$ thresholds, 10.562 and 10.604 GeV, respectively. Alternative models [10–12] suggest other possibilities in the 10–11 GeV range.

A search in the $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ final state has been performed by the Belle experiment in the mass region around the $\Upsilon(10860)$ resonance [16]; a statistically significant excess of events was found but its origin remains inconclusive [17]. The BaBar experiment found an $\Upsilon(1D)$ bottomonium resonance in the same final state at 10.165 GeV [18]. However, the production rates for these two states are expected to be very small at the LHC [19,20], and they are not expected to be seen in the present analysis.

This Letter presents the results of a search for the exotic bottomonium state X_b through its decay $X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$, using a data sample of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb^{-1} .

The strategy of this analysis is to search for a peak, other than the known $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ resonances, in the $\Upsilon(1S)(\mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant-mass spectrum. The results are presented in terms of the relative inclusive production cross sections of the X_b and $\Upsilon(2S)$ states times their decay branching fractions to $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$, $\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$, as a function of the X_b mass between 10 and 11 GeV. Many systematic uncertainties cancel in this ratio. The analysis probes the kinematic region $p_T(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) > 13.5$ GeV and $|\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-| < 2.0$, where y denotes the rapidity.

[☆] © CERN for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration.

^{*} E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.

2. CMS detector and trigger

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the magnet volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass/scintillator hadron calorimeter. The inner tracker measures charged particles within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$, where $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$ and θ is the polar angle with respect to the counterclockwise proton beam direction. Muons are measured with detection planes made using three technologies: drift tubes, cathode strip chambers, and resistive-plate chambers. Matching muons to tracks measured in the silicon tracker results in a p_T resolution better than 1.5% for a typical muon in this analysis. Extensive forward calorimetry complements the angular coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. A more detailed description of the CMS detector can be found in Ref. [21].

A two-level trigger system [22] selects events for further analysis. The events analyzed in this search are collected with a trigger requiring at least two oppositely charged muon candidates. The invariant mass of the muon pair is required to be in the range between 8.5 and 11.5 GeV. The transverse momentum of the muon pair must be above 6.9 GeV, and the dimuon vertex fit χ^2 probability must be greater than 0.5%.

3. Reconstruction and event selection

The reconstruction of the X_b and $\Upsilon(2S)$ candidates begins with the reconstruction of an $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ candidate and two additional charged tracks in the event, assuming the pion mass hypothesis for the latter. The $\Upsilon(1S)$ candidate is reconstructed as a pair of oppositely charged muons. Each muon is required to leave hits in at least six tracker layers, at least two of which must be in the silicon pixel detector, and to be matched with at least one segment in the muon system. Muons are required to have $p_T > 2.5$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.1$, and good track-fit quality. The muon tracks are required to intersect the beam line within a cylinder of 3 cm in radius and 30 cm in length around the primary vertex position, which is selected as the vertex with the largest sum of p_T^2 of the tracks associated with it. The rapidity of the muon pair is required to be within $|y(\mu^+\mu^-)| < 2.0$. Each $\Upsilon(1S)$ candidate must have $p_T > 13.5$ GeV and the χ^2 probability from the dimuon vertex fit is required to be larger than 1%; these requirements are more stringent than those used by the trigger. The $\Upsilon(1S)$ invariant-mass window is defined as the region within $\pm 2.5\sigma_M$ of the $\Upsilon(1S)$ mass [15], where the dimuon mass resolution, σ_M , is measured to increase from 65 to 125 MeV as $|y(\mu^+\mu^-)|$ changes from 0 to 2.

The $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ candidate is reconstructed by combining two oppositely charged tracks with the $\Upsilon(1S)$ candidate. The pions must each have a minimum p_T of 400 MeV, be in the region $|\eta| < 2.5$, have a track fit $\chi^2/\text{ndf} < 5$, and at least 11 strip tracker hits and two silicon pixel hits. In order to reduce the combinatorial background from additional tracks in the event, the χ^2 probability of the dipion vertex fit is required to be larger than 10% and the pions are required to be within a radius $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} < 0.7$ with respect to the $\Upsilon(1S)$ direction, where $\Delta\eta$ ($\Delta\phi$) is the difference in pseudorapidity (azimuthal angle in radians) between the pion direction and the $\Upsilon(1S)$ momentum. A common-vertex constrained fit is applied to the tracks forming the $\Upsilon(1S)(\mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-$ candidate, together with the constraint that the dimuon invariant mass be equal to the world-average $\Upsilon(1S)$ mass [15]. The χ^2 probability from the vertex-mass constrained fit is required to be greater than 10%. There are on average 2.3 reconstructed candidates per event for the events with at least one candidate; all of the reconstructed candidates are included in

the analysis. From simulations the combinatorial background candidates are found to be randomly distributed in mass and do not introduce any bias in the extraction of the signal. The selection criteria described above were optimized using a genetic algorithm [23] that maximized the expected significance of the signal in the mass region near the $\Upsilon(2S)$. The statistical significance of the signal is expected to be more than five standard deviations if the X_b branching fraction times production cross section relative to the $\Upsilon(2S)$ is 6.56% (or higher), analogous to that of the $X(3872)$ relative to the $\psi(2S)$.

The simulated samples of the $\Upsilon(2S)$ and X_b events are generated with the PYTHIA 6.426 [24] event generator, and the particle decays are modeled using the EVTGEN package [25], assuming that the $\Upsilon(2S)$ and X_b states have the same production mechanism and are both produced unpolarized. The unpolarized assumption for the $\Upsilon(2S)$ is supported by a recent CMS measurement [26]. In the event generation, the X_b state is assumed to be a narrow resonance with the same quantum numbers as the $\Upsilon(2S)$. Generated events are processed through a full detector simulation based on GEANT4 [27]. The simulated $\Upsilon(2S)$ events are reweighted according to the dipion invariant-mass spectrum in $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decays measured by the CLEO experiment [28]. The kinematic distributions in the simulated $\Upsilon(2S)$ sample are compared with the corresponding distributions in the data and found to be in good agreement. The X_b events are assumed to have the same dipion mass distribution as the $\Upsilon(2S)$ events, which is very similar to the dipion mass distribution of the $X(3872)$ [8]. Other possible X_b decay models are considered as systematic uncertainties, as described in Section 4.1.

Significant mass resolution and background level differences are observed for the $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ candidates in the barrel ($|y| < 1.2$) and endcap ($1.2 < |y| < 2.0$) regions. Therefore, the events are separated into these two classes. Fig. 1 shows the reconstructed invariant-mass distributions of the $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ candidates in the barrel and endcap regions. Apart from the peaks corresponding to the $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decays, the mass spectrum does not show any other outstanding structure. Unbinned maximum-likelihood fits are performed on the invariant-mass distributions using single Gaussian functions to describe the $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ states, and third-degree polynomials to describe the combinatorial background, whose parameters were left free in the fit. The means and widths of the Gaussian functions are allowed to float in the fit. The resulting fit values of the widths are consistent with the mass resolutions obtained from the simulated events. The centers of the Gaussian functions are consistent with the world-average values [15] for the two Υ masses. The resulting numbers of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ events are 7100 ± 150 and 3840 ± 160 for the barrel and endcap regions, where the uncertainties are statistical. The invariant-mass distributions around the $\Upsilon(2S)$ resonance are shown in Fig. 2 for the barrel and endcap regions, with the results of the fits superimposed.

4. Results

The search for the X_b is performed in the mass regions 10.06–10.31 and 10.40–10.99 GeV, excluding the mass intervals around the $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ resonances. Fits are performed to the data by shifting the mean mass of the hypothetical X_b signal in 10 MeV intervals and letting the signal strength float. The invariant-mass distribution of the reconstructed $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ is modeled with a Gaussian function. The intrinsic width of the X_b is assumed to be small compared to the detector mass resolution. In the fits, the width of the Gaussian is fixed to the values obtained from simulation. Depending on the X_b mass, the signal width is estimated to be in the range 3.8–10.6 MeV (6.8–16.4 MeV) for




Fig. 1. The reconstructed invariant-mass distributions of the candidates in the barrel (top) and endcap (bottom) regions. Peaks corresponding to $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decays are indicated with the arrows.




Fig. 2. The invariant-mass distributions of the candidates around the $\Upsilon(2S)$ resonance for the barrel (top) and endcap (bottom) regions. The result from the fit is shown as a solid curve; the $\Upsilon(2S)$ and background contributions from the fit are shown separately as the dashed and dotted curves, respectively.

the barrel (endcap) region. The background distribution is modeled separately for the low-mass (10.06–10.31 GeV) and high-mass (10.40–10.99 GeV) regions with a third-degree polynomial, whose coefficients are allowed to vary in the fit. The signal yields and the coefficients of the polynomials are determined from unbinned

maximum-likelihood fits to the invariant-mass distributions for the barrel and endcap regions.

For a given X_b mass point, the relationship between the X_b and $\Upsilon(2S)$ yields is given by

$$N_{X_b}^{\text{obs}} = R \times N_{\Upsilon(2S)}^{\text{obs}} \times \frac{\epsilon_{X_b}}{\epsilon_{\Upsilon(2S)}}, \quad (1)$$

where $N_{X_b}^{\text{obs}}$ and $N_{\Upsilon(2S)}^{\text{obs}}$ are the observed X_b and $\Upsilon(2S)$ yields, respectively, and $\epsilon_{X_b}/\epsilon_{\Upsilon(2S)}$ is the ratio of overall efficiencies for X_b and $\Upsilon(2S)$ events. This ratio uses the acceptance and the trigger and reconstruction efficiencies estimated from simulated samples. In the barrel region, the ratio of efficiencies increases from about 1 to 2 for a hypothetical X_b mass in the range 10.06–10.31 GeV, mainly because of the increased acceptance for higher masses, and remains around 2 in the range 10.40–10.99 GeV. In the endcap region, the ratio of efficiencies stays around 1 for all the X_b mass values considered.

In tests of statistical significance, the p-value is the probability of obtaining a signal strength as large as (or larger than) the one that was actually observed, assuming that there is no signal. A signal-like distribution will result in a low observed p-value. In this analysis, the p-value is evaluated from simultaneous signal-plus-background fits to the observed invariant-mass distributions in the barrel and endcap regions. Significances of the X_b signal are evaluated for each hypothetical X_b mass. Given no strong hint of a signal in the present data, an upper limit on R , the ratio of the production cross sections times branching fractions of the X_b and $\Upsilon(2S)$, is calculated.

4.1. Systematic uncertainties

Several sources of systematic uncertainties are considered. The major sources are from the modeling of the signal decay, which includes the dipion invariant-mass distribution and the X_b mass resolution, the signal polarization, and the background shape.

The dipion distributions in the simulated $\Upsilon(2S)$ and X_b samples are reweighted according to the $\Upsilon(2S)$ data from CLEO [28], but the actual distribution of the X_b is unknown. This affects the value of the efficiency ratio $\epsilon_{X_b}/\epsilon_{\Upsilon(2S)}$ in Eq. (1). Several alternative models have been implemented, including a $\Upsilon(1S)\rho$ model, a model using the dipion invariant-mass distribution measured in $X(3872)$ decay [8], and a three-body S -wave model. Since the actual quantum numbers for the X_b state are not known, the dipion invariant-mass distribution in the $X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\rho$ decay we use (which is similar to the $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\rho$ decay) in the systematic studies. The $X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\rho$ process is modeled with a uniform two-body phase-space decay. The dipion mass distribution from $X(3872)$ decay is scaled according to the mass difference between the X_b and the $\Upsilon(1S)$. A comparison between the alternative models and the default model using the $\Upsilon(2S)$ distribution leads to differences in the $\epsilon_{X_b}/\epsilon_{\Upsilon(2S)}$ efficiency ratio of up to 20% depending on the X_b mass, which is included as a systematic uncertainty. The reconstruction efficiency as a function of X_b mass is modeled with a simple analytical function. The systematic uncertainty in this modeling is estimated by comparing two different functions and is found to be negligible.

The $\Upsilon(2S)$ mass resolutions determined in data and simulation are consistent with each other. The statistical uncertainty in the $\Upsilon(2S)$ mass resolution from data of 2.9% (4.6%) in the barrel (endcap) region is larger than the difference between the measured and simulated values. The statistical uncertainty is taken as the systematic uncertainty from this source. While a single Gaussian function is used in the default modeling of the signal, a sum of two Gaussians is used as an alternative model, and the differences between the respective fits are taken as systematic uncertainties.

A recent CMS measurement [26] shows that $\Upsilon(2S)$ mesons are produced with negligible polarization. The daughter $\Upsilon(1S)$ mesons are expected to have a similar polarization [29]. However, the expected polarization of the X_b is unknown. Signal efficiencies evaluated using a simulated sample generated with unpolarized X_b are compared with efficiencies for the extreme cases of full transverse and full longitudinal polarizations in the helicity frame, assuming that the polarization of the daughter $\Upsilon(1S)$ is the same as that of the mother X_b . The largest efficiency difference of 25% is taken as the systematic uncertainty from this source.

The fit model is composed of a background component, with floating coefficients, and a signal model, with the signal strength as a free parameter. The uncertainties in the coefficients from the fits are included as a systematic uncertainty in the statistical analysis. Furthermore, an alternative background parameterization, determined from a background-only fit to the candidates reconstructed with same-sign pions ($\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^+$ and $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^-\pi^-$) is also considered. The difference between the default and alternative background parameterizations is included as one of the systematic uncertainties.

Other systematic uncertainties, such as the uncertainty caused by the dependence of the efficiencies on the number of pp interactions per event (with an average of ≈ 21 interactions), have been considered and found to be negligible. Systematic uncertainties in the acceptance and trigger efficiency largely cancel out in the ratio R . As a check, the $\Upsilon(2S)$ yields, normalized to the integrated luminosity, are found to be stable for the different data-taking periods.

4.2. Determination of p-values and upper limits

The local p-values are calculated using an asymptotic approach [30] with the signal and background models described above and combining the results of the fits to the barrel and endcap regions. The systematic uncertainties mentioned above are implemented as nuisance parameters in the fit, assuming log-normal or flat priors. The expected discovery potential is estimated by injecting various amounts of signal events into the fits and evaluating the resulting p-values. The expected signal significance for the assumption $R = 6.56\%$, motivated by the ratio of production cross sections times branching fractions for $X(3872)$ and $\psi(2S)$ reported in Ref. [8], is larger than five standard deviations (σ) across the explored X_b mass range, as shown by the dashed curve in Fig. 3. The observed p-values displayed in Fig. 3 by the solid line show no indication of an X_b signal. The smallest local p-value is 0.004 at 10.46 GeV, corresponding to a statistical significance of 2.6σ , which is reduced to 0.8σ when taking into account the “look-elsewhere effect” [31]. The expected and observed 95% confidence level upper limits on R , derived using a modified frequentist approach (CL_s) [32,33], are shown in Fig. 4 as a function of the X_b mass. The observed upper limits on R are in the range 0.9–5.4% at 95% confidence level. The expected upper limits, which are derived for a pure background hypothesis, are less stringent than those obtained from the p-value calculations. This is because the p-value calculations are only concerned with the probability of the background fluctuating to a signal-like peak in the invariant-mass distribution, while the upper limits on R also include the systematic uncertainties in the signal normalization from the signal decay model and X_b polarization assumptions.

5. Summary

A search for an exotic bottomonium state in the decay channel $X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$, followed by $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV at the LHC has been presented. This analysis




Fig. 3. Observed (solid curve) and expected for $R = 6.56\%$ (dotted curve) local p-values, as a function of the assumed X_b mass.




Fig. 4. Upper limits at the 95% confidence level on R , the production cross section for the X_b times its branching fraction to $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$, relative to the $\Upsilon(2S)$, as a function of the X_b mass. The solid curve shows the observed limits, while the dashed curve represents the expected limits in the absence of a signal, with the two shaded regions giving the ± 1 and ± 2 standard deviation uncertainties on the expected limits. The measured value for the analogous $X(3872)$ to $\psi(2S)$ ratio of 6.56% is shown by the dotted line.

was performed using data collected by the CMS experiment, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb^{-1} . Candidates were reconstructed from two identified muons and two additional charged tracks assumed to be pions. The search was conducted in the kinematic region $p_T(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) > 13.5 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-| < 2.0$. The $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ process was used as a normalization channel, canceling many of the systematic uncertainties. Excluding the known $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ resonances, no significant excess above the background was observed for X_b masses between 10 and 11 GeV. The expected sensitivity of the analysis was greater than five standard deviations for the explored X_b mass range, if the relative signal strength is comparable to the corresponding value for the $X(3872)$ of 6.56%. The resulting 95% confidence level upper limit on the ratio $\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ is in the range 0.9–5.4%, depending on the assumed X_b mass. These are the first upper limits on the production of a possible X_b at a hadron collider.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and

personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MEYS (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MOST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, SF0690030s09 and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NKTH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MSI (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, Ukraine, Uzbekistan); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); NSC (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); the HOMING PLUS programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced by EU, Regional Development Fund; and the Thalís and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF.

Open access

This article is published Open Access at [sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com). It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.

References

- [1] S.K. Choi, et al., Belle Collaboration, Observation of a narrow charmonium-like state in exclusive $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 262001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.262001>, arXiv:hep-ex/0309032.
- [2] B. Aubert, et al., BaBar Collaboration, Observation of a broad structure in the $\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ mass spectrum around 4.26 GeV/c², Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 142001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.142001>, arXiv:hep-ex/0506081.
- [3] B. Aubert, et al., BaBar Collaboration, Study of the $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay and measurement of the $B \rightarrow X(3872) K^-$ branching fraction, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 071103, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.071103>, arXiv:hep-ex/0406022.
- [4] C.Z. Yuan, et al., Belle Collaboration, Measurement of $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ cross-section via initial state radiation at Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 182004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.182004>, arXiv:0707.2541.
- [5] D. Acosta, et al., CDF Collaboration, Observation of the narrow state $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 072001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.072001>, arXiv:hep-ex/0312021.
- [6] V.M. Abazov, et al., D0 Collaboration, Observation and properties of the $X(3872)$ decaying to $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 162002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.162002>, arXiv:hep-ex/0405004.
- [7] LHCb Collaboration, Observation of $X(3872)$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1972, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1972-7>, arXiv:1112.5310.
- [8] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the $X(3872)$ production cross section via decays to $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 1304 (2013) 154, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2013\)154](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)154), arXiv:1302.3968.
- [9] M.T. Alifky, F. Gabbiani, A.A. Petrov, $X(3872)$: Hadronic molecules in effective field theory, Phys. Lett. B 640 (2006) 238, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.07.069>, arXiv:hep-ph/0506141.
- [10] D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin, Masses of heavy tetraquarks in the relativistic quark model, Phys. Lett. B 634 (2006) 214, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.01.026>, arXiv:hep-ph/0512230.
- [11] D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin, Relativistic model of hidden bottom tetraquarks, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 24 (2009) 567, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732309030357>, arXiv:0812.3477.
- [12] R.D. Matheus, S. Narison, M. Nielsen, J.M. Richard, Can the $X(3872)$ be a 1^{++} four-quark state?, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 014005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.014005>, arXiv:hep-ph/0608297.
- [13] W.-S. Hou, Searching for the bottom counterparts of $X(3872)$ and $Y(4260)$ via $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 17504, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.017504>, arXiv:hep-ph/0606016.
- [14] F.-K. Guo, C. Hidalgo-Duque, J. Nieves, M.P. Valderrama, Consequences of heavy quark symmetries for hadronic molecules, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 054007, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.054007>, arXiv:1303.6608.
- [15] Particle Data Group, J. Beringer, et al., Review of Particle Physics, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 010001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001>.
- [16] K.F. Chen, et al., Belle Collaboration, Observation of anomalous $\gamma(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\gamma(2S) \pi^+ \pi^-$ production near the $\gamma(5S)$ resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 112001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.112001>, arXiv:0710.2577.
- [17] K.F. Chen, et al., Belle Collaboration, Observation of an enhancement in $e^+ e^-$ to $\gamma(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\gamma(2S) \pi^+ \pi^-$, and $\gamma(3S) \pi^+ \pi^-$ production around $\sqrt{s} = 10.89$ GeV at Belle, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 091106, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.091106>, arXiv:0808.2445.
- [18] P. del Amo Sanchez, et al., BaBar Collaboration, Observation of the $\gamma(1^3D_J)$ bottomonium state through decays to $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma(1S)$, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 111102, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.111102>, arXiv:1004.0175.
- [19] A. Ali, W. Wang, Production of the Exotic 1^{--} Hadrons $\phi(2170)$, $X(4260)$ and $Y_b(10890)$ at the LHC and Tevatron via the Drell–Yan mechanism, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 192001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.192001>, arXiv:1103.4587.
- [20] N. Brambilla, et al., Heavy quarkonium: progress, puzzles, and opportunities, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1534, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1534-9>, arXiv:1010.5827.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [22] CMS Collaboration, The CMS high level trigger, Eur. Phys. J. C 46 (2006) 605, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2006-02495-8>, arXiv:hep-ex/0512077.
- [23] A. Hoecker, P. Speckmayer, J. Stelzer, J. Therhaag, E. von Toerne, H. Voss, TMVA: Toolkit for Multivariate Data Analysis, arXiv:physics/0703039, 2007.
- [24] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, JHEP 0605 (2006) 026, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026>, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [25] D.J. Lange, The EVTGEN particle decay simulation package, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 462 (2001) 152, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(01\)00089-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4).
- [26] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the $\gamma(1S)$, $\gamma(2S)$ and $\gamma(3S)$ polarizations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 081802, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.081802>, arXiv:1209.2922.
- [27] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4 Collaboration, GEANT4—a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 506 (2003) 250, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [28] D. Cronin-Hennessy, et al., CLEO Collaboration, Study of di-pion transitions among $\gamma(3S)$, $\gamma(2S)$, and $\gamma(1S)$ states, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 072001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.072001>, arXiv:0706.2317.
- [29] P. Faccioli, J. Seixas, Observation of χ_c and χ_b nuclear suppression via dilepton polarization measurements, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 074005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.074005>, arXiv:1203.2033.
- [30] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1554, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0>, arXiv:1007.1727.
- [31] E. Gross, O. Vitells, Trial factors or the look elsewhere effect in high energy physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 525, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1470-8>, arXiv:1005.1891.
- [32] A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique, J. Phys. G 28 (2002) 2693, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313>.
- [33] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 434 (1999) 435, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(99\)00498-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2), arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.

CMS Collaboration

S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan¹, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer¹, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady², B. Rahbaran, C. Rohringer, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

S. Alderweireldt, M. Bansal, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, S. Luyckx, L. Mucibello, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, Z. Staykova, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, A. Kalogeropoulos, J. Keaveney, S. Lowette, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Villella

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, L. Favart, A.P.R. Gay, T. Hreus, A. Léonard, P.E. Marage, A. Mohammadi, L. Perniè, T. Reis, T. Seva, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, S. Dildick, G. Garcia, B. Klein, J. Lellouch, A. Marinov, J. McCartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, M. Sigamani, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, S. Walsh, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi³, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, G.G. Da Silveira, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco⁴, J. Hollar, P. Jez, V. Lemaître, J. Liao, O. Militaru, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, A. Popov⁵, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

N. Beliy, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

G.A. Alves, M. Correa Martins Junior, T. Martins, M.E. Pol, M.H.G. Souza

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁶, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, M. Malek, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁶, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^b, F.A. Dias^{a,7}, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, C. Lagana^a, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a

^a Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

^b Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

V. Genchev², P. Iaydjiev², S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, X. Meng, J. Tao, X. Wang, Z. Wang

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Y. Guo, Q. Li, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Zou

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

C. Avila, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, R. Plestina⁸, D. Polic, I. Puljak

Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

University of Split, Split, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, S. Morovic, L. Tikvica

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Y. Assran⁹, S. Elgammal¹⁰, A. Ellithi Kamel¹¹, A.M. Kuotb Awad¹², M.A. Mahmoud¹², A. Radi^{13,14}

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

M. Kadastik, M. Müntel, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, L. Rebane, A. Tiko

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

T. Tuuva

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, L. Millischer, A. Nayak, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, L. Benhabib, M. Bluj¹⁵, P. Busson, C. Charlot, N. Daci, T. Dahms, M. Dalchenko, L. Dobrzynski, A. Florent, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Haguenaue, P. Miné, C. Mironov, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, A. Zabi

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁶, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁶, F. Drouhin¹⁶, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁶, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, P. Juillot, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

S. Gadrat

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, G. Boudoul, S. Brochet, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret, H. Xiao

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁷

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, M. Bontenackels, B. Calpas, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, N. Heracleous, O. Hindrichs, K. Klein, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov⁵

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, J. Caudron, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, H. Pieta, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, J. Steggemann, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer, M. Weber

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, J. Lingemann², A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

I. Asin, N. Bartosik, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, A.J. Bell, M. Bergholz¹⁸, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, S. Choudhury, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, G. Flucke, A. Geiser, I. Glushkov, A. Grebenyuk, P. Gunnellini, S. Habib, J. Hauk, G. Hellwig, D. Horton, H. Jung, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, C. Kleinwort, H. Kluge, M. Krämer, D. Krücker, E. Kuznetsova, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann¹⁸, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, O. Novgorodova, F. Nowak, J. Olzem, H. Perrey, A. Petrukhin, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, C. Riedl, E. Ron, M.Ö. Sahin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, R. Schmidt¹⁸, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, N. Sen, M. Stein, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, V. Blobel, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, U. Gebbert, M. Görner, M. Gosselink, J. Haller, K. Heine, R.S. Höing, G. Kaussen, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, J. Lange, I. Marchesini, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt,

M. Schröder, T. Schum, M. Seidel, J. Sibille¹⁹, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, J. Thomsen, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, M. Guthoff², F. Hartmann², T. Hauth², H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, U. Husemann, I. Katkov⁵, J.R. Komaragiri, A. Kornmayer², P. Lobelle Pardo, D. Martschei, M.U. Mozer, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, A. Nürnberg, O. Oberst, J. Ott, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, S. Röcker, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, M. Zeise

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Markou, C. Markou, E. Ntomari, I. Topsis-giotis

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

L. Gouskos, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris

University of Athens, Athens, Greece

X. Aslanoglou, I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath²⁰, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi²¹, A.J. Zsigmond

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

J. Karacsi, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

S.K. Swain²²

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, M. Kaur, M.Z. Mehta, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, A. Sharma, J.B. Singh

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, P. Saxena, V. Sharma, R.K. Shivpuri

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, A. Modak, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, A.P. Singh

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty², L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, R.M. Chatterjee, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait²³, A. Gurtu²⁴, G. Kole, S. Kumar, M. Maity²⁵, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage²⁶

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – EHEP, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Dugad

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – HECR, Mumbai, India

H. Arfaei, H. Bakhshiansohi, S.M. Etesami²⁷, A. Fahim²⁸, A. Jafari, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁹, M. Zeinali

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Grunewald

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, L. Barbone^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, B. Marangelli^{a,b}, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, N. Pacifico^a, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, G. Singh^{a,b}, R. Venditti^{a,b}, P. Verwilligen^a, G. Zito^a

^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, M. Meneghelli^{a,b}, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, F. Odorici^a, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b}, G. Cappello^{a,b}, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, F. Giordano^{a,2}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, S. Frosali^{a,b}, E. Gallo^a, S. Gonzi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, A. Tropiano^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

P. Fabbriatore^a, R. Ferretti^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, M. Lo Vetere^{a,b}, R. Musenich^a, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

A. Benaglia^a, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, M.T. Lucchini^{a,b,2}, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b,2}, A. Martelli^{a,b,2}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, A. De Cosa^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, L. Lista^a, S. Meola^{a,d,2}, M. Merola^a, P. Paolucci^{a,2}

^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

^c Università della Basilicata (Potenza), Napoli, Italy

^d Università G. Marconi (Roma), Napoli, Italy

P. Azzi ^a, N. Bacchetta ^a, D. Bisello ^{a,b}, A. Branca ^{a,b}, R. Carlin ^{a,b}, P. Checchia ^a, T. Dorigo ^a, S. Fantinel ^a, M. Galanti ^{a,b,2}, F. Gasparini ^{a,b}, U. Gasparini ^{a,b}, P. Giubilato ^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino ^a, M. Gulmini ^{a,30}, K. Kanishchev ^{a,c}, S. Lacaprara ^a, I. Lazzizzera ^{a,c}, M. Margoni ^{a,b}, G. Maron ^{a,30}, A.T. Meneguzzo ^{a,b}, J. Pazzini ^{a,b}, N. Pozzobon ^{a,b}, P. Ronchese ^{a,b}, F. Simonetto ^{a,b}, E. Torassa ^a, M. Tosi ^{a,b}, S. Vanini ^{a,b}, P. Zotto ^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta ^{a,b}, G. Zumerle ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^c Università di Trento (Trento), Padova, Italy

M. Gabusi ^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti ^{a,b}, C. Riccardi ^{a,b}, P. Vitulo ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

M. Biasini ^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei ^a, L. Fanò ^{a,b}, P. Lariccia ^{a,b}, G. Mantovani ^{a,b}, M. Menichelli ^a, A. Nappi ^{a,b,†}, F. Romeo ^{a,b}, A. Saha ^a, A. Santocchia ^{a,b}, A. Spiezia ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

K. Androsov ^{a,31}, P. Azzurri ^a, G. Bagliesi ^a, J. Bernardini ^a, T. Boccali ^a, G. Broccolo ^{a,c}, R. Castaldi ^a, M.A. Ciocci ^a, R.T. D'Agnolo ^{a,c,2}, R. Dell'Orso ^a, F. Fiori ^{a,c}, L. Foà ^{a,c}, A. Giassi ^a, M.T. Grippo ^{a,31}, A. Kraan ^a, F. Ligabue ^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze ^a, L. Martini ^{a,31}, A. Messineo ^{a,b}, C.S. Moon ^{a,32}, F. Palla ^a, A. Rizzi ^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro ^{a,33}, A.T. Serban ^a, P. Spagnolo ^a, P. Squillacioti ^a, R. Tenchini ^a, G. Tonelli ^{a,b}, A. Venturi ^a, P.G. Verdini ^a, C. Vernieri ^{a,c}

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

L. Barone ^{a,b}, F. Cavallari ^a, D. Del Re ^{a,b}, M. Diemoz ^a, M. Grassi ^{a,b}, E. Longo ^{a,b}, F. Margaroli ^{a,b}, P. Meridiani ^a, F. Micheli ^{a,b}, S. Nourbakhsh ^{a,b}, G. Organtini ^{a,b}, R. Paramatti ^a, S. Rahatlou ^{a,b}, C. Rovelli ^a, L. Soffi ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy

^b Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono ^{a,c}, S. Argiro ^{a,b}, M. Arneodo ^{a,c}, R. Bellan ^{a,b}, C. Biino ^a, N. Cartiglia ^a, S. Casasso ^{a,b}, M. Costa ^{a,b}, A. Degano ^{a,b}, N. Demaria ^a, C. Mariotti ^a, S. Maselli ^a, E. Migliore ^{a,b}, V. Monaco ^{a,b}, M. Musich ^a, M.M. Obertino ^{a,c}, N. Pastrone ^a, M. Pelliccioni ^{a,2}, A. Potenza ^{a,b}, A. Romero ^{a,b}, M. Ruspa ^{a,c}, R. Sacchi ^{a,b}, A. Solano ^{a,b}, A. Staiano ^a, U. Tamponi ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy

^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

^c Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara), Torino, Italy

S. Belforte ^a, V. Candelise ^{a,b}, M. Casarsa ^a, F. Cossutti ^{a,2}, G. Della Ricca ^{a,b}, B. Gobbo ^a, C. La Licata ^{a,b}, M. Marone ^{a,b}, D. Montanino ^{a,b}, A. Penzo ^a, A. Schizzi ^{a,b}, A. Zanetti ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

S. Chang, T.Y. Kim, S.K. Nam

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Republic of Korea

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J.E. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, H. Park, D.C. Son

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea

J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Republic of Korea

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, T.J. Kim, K.S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

M. Choi, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, M.S. Kim, E. Kwon, B. Lee, J. Lee, S. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea

I. Grigelionis, A. Juodagalvis

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz³⁴, R. Lopez-Fernandez, J. Martínez-Ortega, A. Sanchez-Hernandez, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda, M.A. Reyes-Santos

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

D. Krofcheck

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, S. Reucroft, H. Silverwood

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

M. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, J. Butt, H.R. Hoorani, S. Khalid, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

H. Bialkowska, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, W. Wolszczak

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

N. Almeida, P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas², J. Varela, P. Vischia

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev, P. Moisezenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, A. Zarubin

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Evstyukhin, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, M. Erofeeva, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, A. Spiridonov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin⁷, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, A. Markina, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

P. Adzic³⁵, M. Djordjevic, M. Ekmedzic, D. Krpic³⁵, J. Milosevic

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas², N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, E. Navarro De Martino, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, M.S. Soares, C. Willmott

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias, J. Piedra Gomez

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, S.H. Chuang, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, A. Graziano, C. Jorda, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, J.F. Benitez, C. Bernet⁸, G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, O. Bondu, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, S. Colafranceschi³⁶, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, S. Di Guida, M. Dobson, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, J. Eugster, G. Franzoni, W. Funk, G. Georgiou, M. Giffels, D. Gigi,

K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, M. Giunta, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, S. Gowdy, R. Guida, J. Hammer, M. Hansen, P. Harris, C. Hartl, A. Hinzmann, V. Innocente, P. Janot, E. Karavakis, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, Y.-J. Lee, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, R. Moser, M. Mulders, P. Musella, E. Nesvold, L. Orsini, E. Palencia Cortezon, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, M. Plagge, L. Quertenmont, A. Racz, W. Reece, G. Rolandi³⁷, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, F. Santanastasio, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, S. Sekmen, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas³⁸, D. Spiga, B. Stieger, M. Stoye, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres²¹, J.R. Vlimant, H.K. Wöhri, S.D. Worm³⁹, W.D. Zeuner

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, K. Gabathuler, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, P. Eller, K. Freudenreich, C. Grab, D. Hits, P. Lecomte, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, D. Meister, N. Mohr, F. Moortgat, C. Nägeli⁴⁰, P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, L. Pape, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, M. Quittnat, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, L. Sala, A.K. Sanchez, A. Starodumov⁴¹, M. Takahashi, L. Tauscher[†], A. Thea, K. Theofilatos, D. Treille, C. Urscheler, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁴², V. Chiochia, C. Favaro, M. Iova Rikova, B. Kilminster, B. Millan Mejias, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, S. Taroni, M. Verzetti, Y. Yang

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, C. Ferro, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, X. Shi, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, M. Wang, P.-H. Chen, C.-Y. Tao, T.-W. Wang

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

B. Asavapibhop, N. Suwonjandee

Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci⁴³, S. Cerci⁴⁴, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut⁴⁵, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk⁴³, A. Polatoz, K. Sogut⁴⁶, D. Sunar Cerci⁴⁴, B. Tali⁴⁴, H. Topakli⁴³, M. Vergili

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, G. Karapinar⁴⁷, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

E. Gülmez, B. Isildak⁴⁸, M. Kaya⁴⁹, O. Kaya⁴⁹, S. Ozkorucuklu⁵⁰, N. Sonmez⁵¹

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

H. Bahtiyar⁵², E. Barlas, K. Cankocak, Y.O. Günaydin⁵³, F.I. Vardarli, M. Yücel

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, Z. Meng, S. Metson, D.M. Newbold³⁹, K. Nirunpong, S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁵⁴, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Ilic, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, B.C. Radburn-Smith, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, M. Kenzie, R. Lane, R. Lucas³⁹, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, B. Mathias, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko⁴¹, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi⁵⁵, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, C. Seez, P. Sharp[†], A. Sparrow, A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, N. Wardle

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

M. Chadwick, J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A. Kasmi, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

Baylor University, Waco, USA

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, J. St. John, L. Sulak

Boston University, Boston, USA

J. Alimena, S. Bhattacharya, G. Christopher, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Spear

Brown University, Providence, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, R. Houtz, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander, T. Miceli, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, B. Rutherford, M. Searle, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

V. Andreev, D. Cline, R. Cousins, S. Erhan, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, M. Felcini, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, G. Rakness, P. Schlein[†], E. Takasugi, P. Traczyk, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

J. Babb, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, P. Jandir, H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, M. Malberti, H. Nguyen, A. Shrinivas, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, R. Wilken, S. Wimpenny

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, D. Evans, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, G. Petrucciani, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech⁵⁶, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

D. Barge, C. Campagnari, T. Danielson, K. Flowers, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, J. Incandela, C. Justus, D. Kovalskyi, V. Krutelyov, R. Magaña Villalba, N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, D. Kcira, Y. Ma, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, C. Rogan, M. Spiropulu, V. Timciuc, J. Veverka, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, Y.F. Liu, M. Paulini, J. Russ, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J.P. Cumalat, B.R. Drell, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, N. Eggert, L.K. Gibbons, W. Hopkins, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

D. Winn

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, O. Gutsche, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, K. Kaadze, B. Klima, S. Kunori, S. Kwan, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko⁵⁷, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev, N. Ratnikova, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang, J.C. Yun

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, M. Chen, T. Cheng, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, D. Dobur, A. Drozdetskiy, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Hugon, B. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenovic⁵⁸, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, R. Remington, A. Rinkevicius, N. Skhirtladze, M. Snowball, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

V. Gaultney, S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida International University, Miami, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, J. Callner, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, P. Kurt, F. Lacroix, D.H. Moon, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, D. Strom, P. Turner, N. Varelas

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak⁵², B. Bilki⁵⁹, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, F. Duru, S. Griffiths, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya⁶⁰, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, C.R. Newsom, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁵², S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin⁶¹, K. Yi

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, G. Giurgiu, A.V. Gritsan, G. Hu, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Swartz, A. Whitbeck

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, R.P. Kenny III, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, J.S. Wood

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

A.F. Barfuss, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, L.K. Saini, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

A. Baden, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Peterman, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Apyan, G. Bauer, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, L. Di Matteo, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, Y. Kim, M. Klute, Y.S. Lai, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, S. Nahn, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, D. Velicanu, R. Wolf, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, Y. Yilmaz, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti, V. Zhukova

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, A. Gude, J. Haupt, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, M. Sasseville, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

J.G. Acosta, L.M. Cremaldi, R. Kroeger, S. Oliveros, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders, D. Summers

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, M. Eads, R. Gonzalez Suarez, J. Keller, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, S. Malik, F. Meier, G.R. Snow

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, S. Jain, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio, Z. Wan

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, A. Massironi, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

A. Anastassov, K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, L. Lusito, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Velasco, S. Won

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

D. Berry, A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, J. Kolb, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

L. Antonelli, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, G. Smith, C. Vuosalo, B.L. Winer, H. Wolfe

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

E. Berry, P. Elmer, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, J. Hegeman, A. Hunt, P. Jindal, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, A. Raval, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, S.C. Zenz, A. Zuranski

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

E. Brownson, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

E. Alagoz, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, A. Everett, Z. Hu, M. Jones, K. Jung, O. Koybasi, M. Kress, N. Leonardo, D. Lopes Pegna, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

N. Parashar

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B. Michlin, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

Rice University, Houston, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, G. Petrillo, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, V. Rekovic, J. Robles, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, C. Seitz, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

G. Cerizza, M. Hollingsworth, K. Rose, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

O. Bouhali⁶², R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon⁶³, V. Khotilovich, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Duder, K. Kovitanggoon, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, I. Volobouev

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

M.W. Arenton, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, A. Sakharov

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

D.A. Belknap, L. Borrello, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, S. Duric, E. Friis, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, I. Ross, T. Sarangi, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, J. Swanson

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

[†] Deceased.

¹ Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.

² Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

³ Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France.

⁴ Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia.

⁵ Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

⁶ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

⁷ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.

⁸ Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France.

⁹ Also at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt.

¹⁰ Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.

¹¹ Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹² Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.

¹³ Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁴ Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁵ Also at National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland.

¹⁶ Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

¹⁷ Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.

¹⁸ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

¹⁹ Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA.

²⁰ Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

²¹ Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.

²² Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – EHEP, Mumbai, India.

²³ Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – HEPR, Mumbai, India.

²⁴ Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

²⁵ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

²⁶ Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.

²⁷ Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

²⁸ Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.

²⁹ Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

³⁰ Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell'INFN, Legnaro, Italy.

³¹ Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.

³² Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) – IN2P3, Paris, France.

³³ Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.

- ³⁴ Also at Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico.
- ³⁵ Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ³⁶ Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.
- ³⁷ Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- ³⁸ Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ³⁹ Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- ⁴⁰ Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland.
- ⁴¹ Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- ⁴² Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.
- ⁴³ Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ⁴⁴ Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ⁴⁵ Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ⁴⁶ Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ⁴⁷ Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁴⁸ Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁴⁹ Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁵⁰ Also at Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey.
- ⁵¹ Also at Ege University, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁵² Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁵³ Also at Kahramanmaraş Sütçü Imam University, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey.
- ⁵⁴ Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁵⁵ Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy.
- ⁵⁶ Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- ⁵⁷ Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ⁵⁸ Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ⁵⁹ Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.
- ⁶⁰ Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ⁶¹ Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶² Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ⁶³ Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea.