CO_2/H_2 separation through poly(ionic liquid)–ionic liquid membranes: the effect of multicomponent gas mixtures, temperature and gas feed pressure

Andreia S.L. Gouveia, María Yá ñez, Vítor D. Alves, J. Palomar, C. Moya, Daniel Gorri, Liliana C. Tomé, Isabel M. Marrucho

113



Please cite this article as: A.S.L. Gouveia, M. Yáñez, V.D. Alves, J. Palomar, C. Moya, D. Gorri, L.C. Tomé, I.M. Marrucho, CO₂/H₂ separation through poly(ionic liquid)–ionic liquid membranes: the effect of multicomponent gas mixtures, temperature and gas feed pressure, *Separation and Purification Technology* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.118113

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.

 $^{\odot}$ 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

CO₂/H₂ separation through poly(ionic liquid)–ionic liquid membranes: the effect of multicomponent gas mixtures, temperature and gas feed pressure

Andreia S.L. Gouveia,^a María Yáñez,^b Vítor D. Alves,^c J. Palomar,^d C. Moya,^d Daniel Gorri,^b Liliana C. Tomé^e and Isabel M. Marrucho^{a,*}

^{*a*} Centro de Química Estrutural, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Avenida Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal.

^b Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Cantabria, Av. Los Castros 46, 39005 Santander, Spain

^c LEAF, Linking Landscape, Environment, Agriculture and Food, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal

^d Sección de Ingeniería Química. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

^e POLYMAT, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Joxe Mari Korta Center, Avda. Tolosa 72, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastian, Spain.

*Corresponding Author

isabel.marrucho@tecnico.ulisboa.pt. Fax: +351 21 8499242. Tel: +351 21 8413385

Abstract

This work presents mixed gas separation performance through PIL-IL membranes bearing pyrrolidinium-based PILs with $[NTf_2]^-$ and $[C(CN)_3]^-$ anions and different weight percentages of the corresponding ILs using a ternary mixture of H₂, CO₂ and N₂ and different feed pressures ranging from 1 to 4 bar and temperatures from 20 to 80 °C. COSMO-RS was successfully used to understand the separation behavior of the PIL-IL composites for the H₂+CO₂+N₂ mixture. The effect of temperature between 20°C and 80 °C and feed pressure between 1 bar and 4 bar was also studied and is here discussed. The increased of the mixed H₂, CO₂ and N₂ permeabilities with increasing temperature was shown to be due to dominant role of gas solubility at low temperature, and diffusivity at high temperature. The small pronounced differences between mixed and ideal CO₂/H₂ permselectivities through the prepared PIL-IL composites indicated that membrane separation efficiency can be maintained, despite the competition effect between gases in mixed gas experiments. Depending on the operating conditions, the best mixed separation performance was obtained for PIL C(CN)₃-60 $[C_2mim][C(CN)_3]$, with a CO₂ permeability of 324.7 Barrer and a CO₂/H₂ permselectivity of 11.4. The great potential of the studied PIL-IL membranes for biohydrogen separation is here clearly evidenced, since they revealed mixed CO₂/H₂ separation performances above the Robeson upper bound even at the highest temperature and feed pressure tested.

Keywords: Poly(ionic liquid)s; Ionic Liquids; PIL–IL composites; Mixed CO₂/H₂ separation; COSMO-RS analysis.

1. Introduction

Despite the enormous potential of hydrogen (H_2) as an energy solution, the fact that it is not a primary fuel source, since it needs to be produced or isolated prior to use [1], greatly hinders its full potential. In recent years, the promising and renewable characteristics of H₂ led to development of several biological routes to production. Biohydrogen (bioH₂) production is catalyzed by microorganisms in an aqueous environment, close to ambient temperature (30-35 °C) and atmospheric pressure conditions. This makes bioH₂ production less energy intensive and more environmentally friendly compared to conventional thermochemical and electrolysis H₂ production systems [2, 3]. Additionally, biological H₂ production can use several waste materials, facilitating waste recycling [4]. Biological systems for H₂ production can be divided in two main categories: light-dependent (direct or indirect biophotolysis and photo fermentation) and independent (dark fermentation) methods [4-7]. Despite its low hydrogen yields and production rates, the non-requirement of light energy and high rate of cell growth make dark or anaerobic fermentation, a promising cost-effective bioH₂ production method[4]. However, to get an enriched H₂ stream for efficient energy production, the elimination of other gases, mainly CO₂, N₂ and other impurities (H_2O and H_2S) is an important issue that needs to be solved [8].

Ionic liquids (ILs) have been shown to be a successful platform to design novel task-specific materials for gas separation due to their intrinsic properties such as negligible vapor pressure and tunable nature [9]. The simplest approach to use ILs as gas separation membranes is through supported ionic liquid membrane (SILM), in which IL is immobilized into the pores of an inert porous support [10]. In what concerns the use of IL–based membranes for gas separation, single gas permeability and ideal selectivity data are fundamental to determine the most suitable ILs for a specific gas separation. However, the gas permeation properties of one gas may be altered by the

presence of other gases in a gas mixture, eventually leading to different limitations phenomena as competitive sorption, penetrant induced plasticization or concentration polarization [11-13]. In this context, several works have been evaluated the performance of IL-based membranes for the separation of gas mixtures [14-20]. For instance, mixed gas selectivities for two different gas pairs (CO₂/CH₄ and CO₂/N₂) through [C₂mim][BF₄], [C₂mim][DCA] and [C₂mim][CF₃SO₃]-based SILMs were reported by Scovazzo et al.[16], and it was observed that they are similar to the ideal gas selectivities. The reported SILMs did not reduce their separation ability even under CO₂ partial pressure of 2 bar. On the other hand, Zarca et al.[15] studied both single and mixed gas permeation through the [C₆mim][Cl]-based SILM for CO₂, H₂, CO and N₂ and found that the mixed gas permeabilities decreased compared to the single gas permeabilities. Chen et al.[17] also reported the single and mixed H₂, N₂ and CO₂ permeation through PVDF-[C₂mim][B(CN)₄] composites at 35°C and 2 bar of feed pressure. In this work, the slight reduction in mixed CO₂ permeability and CO₂/N₂ and CO₂/H₂ selectivities compared to the single gas experiments was attributed to the competitive sorption in mixed gas separation systems [17]. More recently, Noble et al. demonstrated that IL-based epoxy-amine ion gel membranes can maintain or even increase their CO_2/CH_4 [19] and CO_2/N_2 [20] selectivities under humidified mixed gas streams.

Poly(ionic liquid)s (PILs) and their derived composite materials incorporating ionic liquids (PIL–IL) are a highly promising strategy to design membranes with improved CO₂ separation ability [9, 21-23]. However, only a small number of studies concerning mixed gas separation performance through PIL–based membranes have been published to date. Recently, Nikolaeva et al.[24] reported the performance of PIL-based thin-film composite membranes containing the same anion ([NTf₂]⁻) and three different polycations, namely poly(vinylbenzyl(2-hydroxyethyl)dimethylammonium (poly([VBHEDMA]⁺)), poly(vinylbenzyltrimethylammonium)

(poly([VBTMA]⁺)) and poly(vinylbenzylmethylpyrrolidinium) (poly([VBMP]⁺)) under mixed gas permeation conditions. The authors found that humidified feed gas enhanced membrane flux. The poly[VBHEDMA]-based membranes showed the highest mixed CO₂/N₂ selectivity, but also exhibited the lowest CO₂ permeance, due to the high affinity of CO₂ molecules for this specific polycation [24].

The present study steps forward in the evaluation of the mixed gas separation performance through the most promising PIL–IL composites comprising pyrrolidinium-based PILs with $[NTf_2]^-$ and $[C(CN)_3]^-$ anions and different weight percentages of the corresponding ILs, previously studied by us [23, 25, 26] under single gas permeation conditions. A specific multicomponent gas mixture of H₂, CO₂ and N₂ was selected as a simulated biohydrogen-containing mixture [27], and gas permeation measurements were performed at temperatures ranging from 20 to 80°C and different feed pressures (1–4 bar). All the PIL–IL membranes were characterized in terms of their mechanical properties to analyze the blending effect of IL. A computational approach based on COSMO-RS method was also applied to further understand the obtained experimental mixed gas permeation results.

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Materials

Poly(diallyldimethylammonium) chloride solution (average M_w 400,000 – 500,000, 20 wt% in water), acetone (99.8%), and acetonitrile (99.8%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (LiNTf₂, 99 wt% pure) and sodium tricyanomethanide (NaC(CN)₃, 98 wt% pure) were provided by IoLiTec GmbH (Heilbronn, Germany).

 $Poly([Pyr_{11}][C(CN)_3])$ and $poly([Pyr_{11}][NTf_2])$ PILs were synthesized by anion metathesis reactions from the commercially available precursor, $poly([Pyr_{11}][Cl])$, according to previously established 28]. 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium procedures [23]The ionic liquids, tricvanomethanide $([C_2 mim][C(CN)_3]],$ wt% 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium >98 pure), bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide >99 wt% $([C_2mim][NTf_2]]$ pure), 1-butyl-1methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([C4mpyr][NTf2], >99 wt% pure) were provided by IoLiTec GmbH. Carbon dioxide (CO_2) , nitrogen (N_2) , hydrogen (H_2) and helium (He)were used with no further purification.

2.2 Preparation of PIL-IL Membranes

Free-standing membranes composed of the synthesized PILs and specific quantities of ILs having similar anions were prepared by solvent casting. The detailed description of the preparation of similar PIL–IL membranes can be found elsewhere [25]. The composition and experimental conditions of the casting procedure used to prepare the PIL–IL composites are listed in Table 1. All the obtained membranes were dried in a vacuum oven at 318 K until a constant weight was achieved and their thicknesses (86–122 μ m) were measured using a digital micrometer (Mitutoyo, model MDE-25PJ, Kanagawa, Japan). Average thickness was calculated from six measurements taken at different locations of each PIL–IL membrane and used in the gas permeation properties calculations.

PIL–IL membrane	Polymer (PIL)	Ionic Liquid (IL)	wt% of IL	Solvent	<i>T</i> (K)	Evaporation time (days)
PIL C(CN) ₃ -40 [C ₂ mim][C(CN) ₃]	Poly([Pyr ₁₁][C(CN) ₃]) $\downarrow \qquad \qquad$	$[C_2 mim][C(CN)_3]$	40	Acetonitrile	298	3
PIL C(CN) ₃ –60 [C ₂ mim][C(CN) ₃]		N ^{™C} [™] N	60			
PIL NTf ₂ -40 [C ₄ mpyr][NTf ₂]	Poly([Pyr ₁₁][NTf ₂])	$[C_4mpyr][NTf_2]$	40	Acetone	298	2
PIL NTf ₂ –60 [C ₄ mpyr][NTf ₂]	$ \begin{array}{c} $	$ \begin{array}{c} F_3C-\overset{\mathsf{H}{\overset{H}}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}}}}}}}}}$	60	Accione	298	2
PIL NTf ₂ –40 [C ₂ mim][NTf ₂]	Poly([Pyr ₁₁][NTf ₂]) \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow	$[C_{2}mim][NTf_{2}]$ N^{+} N $F_{3}C-S-N-S-CF_{3}$ O	40	Acetone	298	2

Table 1. Composition and experimental conditions of the casting procedure used to prepare the PIL–IL composite membranes.

2.3 Mechanical Properties

Puncture tests were performed using a TA XT Plus texture analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, UK) to evaluate the stress and elongation upon puncture of the studied PIL–IL composites. The membranes were punctured through a hole (diameter of 10 mm) with a cylindrical probe of 2 mm of diameter, at a constant speed rate of 1 mm s⁻¹. At least, four replicates for each membrane were

performed and thus, the reported puncture stress and elongation upon puncture values are average results. The standard deviation was also determined for all the reported values.

The puncture stress was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{F}{A} \tag{1}$$

where σ is the puncture stress (Pa), *F* is the maximum force exerted by the probe (N) and *A* is the cross-sectional probe area (m²). In order to obtain a better comparison of the experimental results without the influence of membrane thickness, the puncture stress values were normalized as follows:

$$\sigma_n = \frac{\sigma}{\ell} \tag{2}$$

where σ_n is the normalized puncture stress (MPa mm⁻¹) and ℓ is the membrane thickness (mm).

The elongation upon puncture was also calculated as follows [29]:

Elongation upon puncture (%) =
$$\left[\frac{\sqrt{r^2 + d^2} - r}{r}\right] \times 100$$
 (3)

where r is the radius of the film exposed in the cylindrical hole of the sample holder (mm) and d is the displacement of the probe from the point of contact to the point of puncture (mm).

2.4 Mixed Gas Permeation Set-up

Mixed gas permeation properties through the prepared PIL–IL membranes were determined using an experimental set-up (Figure 1) detailed elsewhere [30]. The composition of permeate and retentate streams was real-time analyzed by gas chromatography (Tracera GC-2010) equipped with a Barrier Ionization Discharge (BID) detector of ppb quantity level [30]. The effective membrane area was 15.6 cm² and the experiments were carried out at different temperatures (20, 35, 50, 65 and 80 °C) and at feed pressures ranging from 1 to 4 bar, with a ternary mixture of 57.1

vol% H_2 , 40 vol% CO_2 and 2.9 vol% N_2 . This mixture composition was chosen as an example to simulate a hydrogen-containing gas mixture produced by a biological process [27]. All the other operational parameters were kept constant: feed gas flow rate at 70 cm³ (STP) min⁻¹ and sweep gas (helium) flow rate at 20 cm³ (STP) min⁻¹. The chosen flowrate conditions were established to guarantee that no significant concentration polarization phenomena occur in the permeate side of the membrane by setting a low stage cut (< 0.5 %), which is defined as the ratio of transmembrane gas flow to the feed flowrate[31]. Constant steady-state values of retentate and permeate flux and composition were reached in less than 2h. During this period the concentration of permeate side was analyzed every nine minutes and the retentate at least five times. Once constant steady-state is reached, the gas permeability for each measurement was assessed using at least the last three injections, whose relative standard deviation for peak area was less than 2.1%, showing high repeatability for the gas chromatographic method used.

The experimental permeabilities of each gas were determined according to Eq. (4):

$$P = \frac{Q_{perm,T} \cdot x_{perm,i} \cdot l}{A \cdot \Delta P} = \frac{Q_{perm,T} \cdot x_{perm,i} \cdot l}{A \cdot (p_{feed} \cdot x_{feed,i} - p_{perm} \cdot x_{perm,i})}$$
(4)

where $Q_{perm,T}$ is the total permeate flux through the membrane, $x_{perm,i}$ is the experimental concentration of component *i* in the permeate side of the membrane (vol%), *l* is the membrane thickness, *A* is the effective membrane area and ΔP is the difference in the partial pressure of the gas between both sides of the membrane. All the mixed gas permeation data reported in the results and discussion section are average values obtained from at least three experimental runs.

The mixed gas selectivity was defined as the ratio of permeability values as follows (Eq. (5)):

$$\alpha_{i/j} = \frac{P_i}{P_j} \tag{5}$$



Figure 1. Mixed gas permeation set-up. MFC, flow controller; C, check valve; 2V, 2-way valve; 3V, 3-way valve; TT, thermocouple; PI, pressure transducer; NV, Needle valve; GC-BID, gas analyzer. Adapted from ref. [30].

2.5 COSMO-RS Analysis

A computational approach based on COSMO-RS was applied to further understand the obtained experimental mixed gas permeation results through the PIL–IL composites. First, geometries of N_2 , H_2 , CO_2 and ILs were optimized at BP86/TZVP computational level, using solvent effect through COSMO method using Turbomole 7.0 software. After that, COSMOtherm program was used to compute the solubility of the different gases at the studied temperature conditions. The diffusion coefficient in ILs was estimated using a modified Wilke-Chang correlation for ILs [32]. Finally, the ideal permeability of a gas through a membrane can be calculated as the product of the diffusivity and the sorption equilibrium parameter (Henry's constant) as follows:

$$P_i = D_i \cdot K_H \tag{6}$$

where P_i is the permeability of the component *i*, D_i is the diffusion coefficient of the gas in the pure IL and K_H is the Henry's constant of the gas in the corresponding IL. The Henry's constant was defined in this work according to Eq. (7):

$$K_{H}(bar^{-1}) = \frac{x_{i}}{n_{i}}$$
(7)

where p_i is the partial pressure and x_i is the mole fraction of component *i*.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Mechanical Properties

The PIL–IL membranes were characterized in terms of their mechanical properties to analyze not only the effect of the amount of IL, but also the influence of the different IL structures. The normalized puncture stress (mPa mm⁻¹) as well as the elongation upon puncture (%) of the studied PIL–IL membranes are shown in Figure 2 and listed in Table S1 of Supporting Information. As expected, both puncture stress and elongation upon puncture decrease with increasing the amount of IL in the composite, within the same structural family of IL. This behavior was also reported in literature by several authors and has been attributed to the plasticizing effect of IL, which reduces the membranes mechanical resistance [17, 33-37].

From Figure 2, it can be seen that the PIL NTf₂–40 [C₂mim][NTf₂] membrane revealed the highest puncture stress, while the PIL NTf₂–40 [C₄mpyr][NTf₂] composite showed the highest elongation upon puncture, which clearly reveals the influence of having both PIL and IL components with similar cation structure (pyrrolidinium-based cation) or having different cations in both PIL and IL structures. In fact, the presence of [C₂mim]⁺ cation in the IL makes the corresponding PIL–IL membrane more rigid compared to [C₄mpyr]⁺ cation, that promotes an

increase of the elongation properties of the membrane. This behavior can be probably attributed to the to the chemical compatibility between the $[C_4mpyr]^+$ cation and the pyrrolidinium polycation $([Pyr_{11}]^+)$ or to a superior plasticizing effect of $[C_4mpyr][NTf_2]$ compared to $[C_2mim][NTf_2]$ IL. To evaluate the effect of anion's chemical structure, the composites containing 40 wt% of IL were used. It was observed that the membranes comprising the $[NTf_2]^-$ anion present the highest mechanical properties compared to those including the $[C(CN)_3]^-$ anion (Figure 2), meaning that the presence of $[NTf_2]^-$ anion also promotes an increase of the elongation properties of the membrane. In fact, the following order can be established for the normalized puncture stress: PIL $NTf_2-40 [C_2mim][NTf_2] > PIL NTf_2-40 [C_4mpyr][NTf_2] > PIL C(CN)_3-40 [C_2mim][C(CN)_3] and$ $for the elongation upon puncture: PIL <math>NTf_2-40 [C_4mpyr][NTf_2] > PIL NTf_2-40 [C_2mim][NTf_2] >$ $PIL C(CN)_3-40 [C_2mim][C(CN)_3]$. However, for the PIL–IL composites containing 60 wt% of IL, the reverse order is obtained for both the normalized puncture stress and elongation upon puncture: PIL $C(CN)_3-60 [C_2mim][C(CN)_3] > PIL <math>NTf_2-60 [C_4mpyr][NTf_2]$. This reverse behavior might be due to the combined effect of both the anion and the different IL cation.

It should also be noted that although the membranes mechanical resistance decreased with increasing IL content in polymer matrix, all the prepared PIL–IL composite membranes showed adequate mechanical stability to be tested in the mixed gas permeation apparatus at the different feed pressures.



Figure 2. Normalized puncture stress (mPa mm⁻¹) and elongation upon puncture (%) of the studied PIL–IL membranes.

3.2 Mixed Gas Experiments

3.2.1 Temperature Effect

The effect of temperature on mixed gas permeabilities through the studied PIL–IL composite membranes (Table 1) is plotted in Figure 3a) and listed in Table S2 of the Supporting Information. The measurements were performed at five different temperatures (20, 35, 50, 65 and 80 °C) and at a total feed pressure of 1 bar for the $CO_2+H_2+N_2$ gas mixture. These specific temperatures were selected considering the diverse dark fermentative H₂ production temperature conditions reported in literature, depending on the microorganism used: ambient (15–27 °C), mesophilic (30–45 °C), thermophilic (50–60 °C) and extremely thermophilic (>60 °C) [38]. The operating temperature is

a key factor during fermentative process, since it can modify not only the substrate microbial use and the specific growth rate but also the bioH₂ production and metabolic product formation [38].

From Figure 3a), it can be observed that mixed CO₂, H₂ and N₂ permeabilities increase with increasing of temperature from 20 to 80 °C. Although the H₂ composition (57.1 vol%) in the ternary mixture was higher than that of CO₂ (40 vol%) and N₂ (2.9 vol%), the same trend of gas permeabilities ($P_{CO2} > P_{H2} > P_{N2}$) was obtained for all the composites. This is in agreement to what was observed in our previous study for single gas permeabilities through similar PIL–IL membranes [25]. Moreover, enhanced mixed CO₂, H₂ and N₂ permeabilities were obtained by incorporating high amounts of IL into the membrane (Figure 3a) and Table S2).

In order to explore the temperature dependence of the mixed gas permeability, the experimental data was correlated using an Arrhenius type equation as follows (Eq. (8)):

$$P = P_{0e} - \frac{E_P}{RT} \tag{8}$$

where P_0 is the pre-exponential factor (cm³(STP) cm cm⁻² s⁻¹ cmHg⁻¹), E_P is the activation energy of permeation (kJ mol⁻¹), *T* is the temperature (K) and *R* is the ideal gas constant (kJ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹). The E_P values were determined for each gas from the slopes of the ln(P) vs 1000/T curves and are summarized in Table 2.



Figure 3. Experimental mixed a) CO_2 (green), H_2 (blue) and N_2 (orange) permeabilities and b) gas permselectivities as a function of temperature at total feed pressure of 1 bar, through the PIL–IL membranes studied in this work.

Mombrana sampla	E_P (kJ mol ⁻¹)			
	CO ₂	H_2	N_2	
PIL C(CN) ₃ – 40 [C ₂ mim][C(CN) ₃]	12.4	23.6	24.5	
PIL C(CN) ₃ – 60 [C ₂ mim][C(CN) ₃]	7.5	20.1	18.0	
$PIL \ NTf_2 - 40 \ [C_4 mpyr][NTf_2]$	12.3	21.4	21.8	
$PIL \ NTf_2 - 60 \ [C_4 mpyr][NTf_2]$	9.6	18.0	18.6	
PIL NTf ₂ – 40 [C ₂ mim][NTf ₂]	9.8	20.7	21.4	

Table 2. Activation energy, E_{P} , (kJ mol⁻¹) of gas permeation through the studied PIL–IL membranes at total $p_{\text{feed}} = 1$ bar, according to Eq. (8).

The obtained correlation coefficients ($R^2 > 0.991$) for all cases, indicate the validity of the Arrhenius type dependence with temperature. The activation energy values of gas permeation through the PIL–IL composites can be ordered as follows: $CO_2 < H_2 < N_2$, except for the case of PIL C(CN)₃–60 [C₂mim][C(CN)₃], in which the activation energy of N₂ is higher compared to that of H₂. Despite this exception, the order of permeation activation energies is in agreement to what has been reported in the literature for other polymeric membranes [31, 39-41]. For both PIL structures, the permeation activation energy values decrease with increasing IL content, meaning that PIL–40 IL membranes are more sensitive to changes in temperature compared to membranes with higher amounts of IL incorporated. Overall, the high values for the activation energy of permeation for all gases show that permeability is strongly dependent on temperature.

The effect of temperature on mixed gas selectivities was also calculated and is shown in Figure 3b) and listed in Table S2 of the Supporting Information. In the temperature range from 20 to 80 $^{\circ}$ C, the mixed CO₂/H₂ permselectivities decreased with increasing temperature, while mixed H₂/N₂ permselectivity remained approximately constant. Particularly, at bioH₂ production conditions

(*T*=35 °C and total p_{feed} =1 bar), the PIL C(CN)₃-60 [C₂mim][C(CN)₃] membrane presents the highest mixed CO₂/H₂ permselectivity (11.4), while PIL NTf₂-40 [C₄mpyr][NTf₂] shows the lowest mixed CO₂/H₂ permselectivity (5.0) among all composites. Comparing these results with those of the ideal permselectivities previously reported by us [25], slightly higher CO₂/H₂ permselectivities were obtained for similar membranes ($\alpha_{CO2/H2}$ PIL C(CN)₃-60 [C₂mim][C(CN)₃] = 12.5 and $\alpha_{CO2/H2}$ PIL NTf₂-40 [C₄mpyr][NTf₂] = 4.8) under single gas permeation conditions. However, the gas permeability and CO₂/H₂ permselectivity behaviors with temperature were similar to those observed for pure gases [25]. In sum, the reduction of mixed CO₂/H₂ permselectivities at high temperatures suggests that the most favorable performances are achieved at low temperatures.

To better understand the temperature effect on mixed gas permeabilities of the studied PIL–IL membranes, the COSMO-RS method was used. Figure S1 of the Supporting Information shows that the experimental permeabilities of pure gases (P_i^{Pure}) through similar PIL–40 IL membranes previously reported [25] present a linear relationship with the experimental mixed gas permeabilities for the CO₂+H₂+N₂ mixture ($P_i^{Mixture}$) measured in this work, at 1 bar of total feed pressure. This indicates that the permeability of these gases through PIL–IL composites behaves nearly ideal for the studied gas mixture. Thus, a theoretical model based on the properties of the pure gaseous solute + IL binary systems may be useful to understand the separation behavior of the prepared PIL–IL membranes. This can be seen in Figure S2 of the Supporting Information, where it is shown that the gas–IL isotherms calculated using COSMO-RS in the studied range of temperature and pressure comply the Henry's law. Figure 4 shows that, in fact, the experimental CO₂, H₂ and N₂ mixed gas permeability values through PIL–40 IL composites are generally well predicted by Eq. (6), in terms of Henry's equilibrium constant (K_H) and gas diffusivity coefficient

in IL (D_i), both estimated from COSMO-RS calculations (see Table S3 of the Supporting Information). As exception, the calculated P_{CO2} values for the membrane containing the $[C_2mim][C(CN)_3]$ IL strongly deviate from the general trend, but it is known that COSMO-RS method overestimates the CO₂ solubility in this IL [42]. Removing $[C_2mim][C(CN)_3]$ from our analysis, the mixed experimental versus calculated permeability values through the membranes containing $[C_2mim][NTf_2]$ and $[C_4mpyr][NTf_2]$ ILs present a linear relationship with R² > 0.96, slope close to 1 (0.9) and intercept close to 0 (1.2 Barrer). The mean absolute error for permeability predictions is relatively low (16.3 Barrer).



Figure 4. Mixed experimental *vs* calculated (Eq. (6)) CO₂, H₂ and N₂ permeabilities through PIL– 40 IL membranes, at the different studied temperatures and 1 bar of feed pressure.

In Figure 5, an analysis of the K_H and D_i , for each gas, calculated with COSMO-RS and used in Eq. (6) to obtain pure gas permeability shown in Figure 4, is presented. It can be concluded that the higher permeability of CO₂ in comparison to that of H₂ and N₂ is mainly due to the higher K_H values, i.e. the higher solubility of CO₂ in IL. On the other hand, the P_{H2} and P_{N2} values seem to

be determined by both the gas solubility (higher for N_2) and the diffusivity (higher for H_2), in good agreement with literature [43]. It can also be observed that the general effect of increasing the permeability with increasing temperature is ascribable to the increasing of diffusivity contribution. The high temperature leads to high D_i values, due to the lower viscosity of IL [42], and implies low gas solubility, except in the case of H_2 , which presents an "inverse" temperature effect, in good agreement with experimental evidences [44]. Attending to Figure 5a, at low temperatures, the CO₂ solubility determines its permeability behavior through the composite, whereas, at 80 °C, CO₂ permeability is controlled by the diffusivity. However, in any case, the changes on both K_H and D_i values, due to the IL nature and operating temperature, do not affect the CO₂ permeability order.



Figure 5. Henry's equilibrium constant (K_H) (filled symbols) and gas diffusivity coefficient in IL (D_i) (white symbols) from COSMO-RS calculations used in Eq. (6). (\diamondsuit) [C₂mim][NTf₂]; (\Box) [C₂mim][C(CN)₃]; (\bigtriangleup) [C₄mpyr][NTf₂]).

Regarding the effect of IL, the COSMO-RS excess enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs free energy of gas-solvent are presented in Figure 6. The thermodynamics of CO_2 +IL binary mixture is governed by the exothermic enthalpy (Figure 6a), mainly determined by the establishment of attractive van der Waals interactions (Figure 6b). The favorable entropic contribution plays a major role in the case of H₂+IL mixture (Figure 6a), explaining the observed "inverse" temperature effect of H₂



solubility in IL. Interesting, COSMO-RS predicts that the solubility of N_2 in IL can be decreased by promoting unfavorable electrostatic (misfit: MF) intermolecular solute-solvent interactions.

Figure 6. a) Calculated excess properties (enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs free energy) and b) intermolecular interaction contributions [electrostatic, H^E (MF); hydrogen-bond, H^E (HB); and van der Waals, H^E (vdW)] to the excess enthalpy of equimolar mixtures of gas solute and IL computed by COSMO-RS at 20 °C.

The current computational COSMO-RS analysis proved to be a very helpful tool to understand the performance of the PIL–IL membranes to separate CO_2 from H₂ and N₂ in a multicomponent gas mixture. The selection of new ILs with promising absorbent characteristics through the screening new cations and anions using COSMO-RS is a promising line of research to optimize the membrane gas separation behavior.

3.2.2 Feed Pressure Effect

The mixed CO₂, H₂ and N₂ permeabilities and respective permselectivities were also evaluated as a function of total feed pressure (Figures 7a) and b) and Table S4 of the Supporting Information). The experiments were performed at T=35 °C and at $p_{feed}=1-4$ bar. It should be noted that the operating feed pressure effect on mixed gas permeability cannot be analyzed by the computational approach based on COSMO-RS method, since Eq. (6) does not depend on pressure.

From Figure 7a), it can be observed that mixed N₂ permeability slightly decreases with increasing total feed pressure for all the studied PIL–IL membranes. As for mixed CO₂ and H₂ permeabilities, both remained approximately constant with increasing feed pressure, for the membranes containing up to 40 wt% of IL. However, for PIL NTf₂–60 [C₄mpyr][NTf₂] membrane, mixed CO₂ and H₂ permeabilities decreased by around 20% and 16%, respectively, with increasing feed pressure, while for the membrane containing 60 wt% of [C₂mim][C(CN)₃] IL, the reduction was approximately 13% (P_{CO2}) and 4% (P_{H2}).



Figure 7. Experimental mixed gas a) permeabilities and b) permselectivities as a function of total feed pressure at T=35 °C, through the PIL–IL membranes studied in this work.

A parameter that influences the mixed gas separation performance of a polymeric membrane is competitive sorption, which is related to the intrinsic material properties. In multicomponent gas mixtures, the transport behavior of one gas specie through the membrane is affected by the presence of other gas components, and thus it deviates from that of the pure gas. The competitive sorption effect between gas species can be an explanation for the reduction of gas permeability

with increasing feed pressure, which is more pronounced in the case of N_2 , probably due to its low content in the mixture (only 2.9 vol%). Moreover, the slight decrease of CO₂ permeability with increasing total feed pressure was specifically observed in the case of membranes containing the highest amount of IL (60 wt%), and more pronounced in the PIL NTf₂–60 [C₄mpyr][NTf₂] membrane. This behavior has been observed when CO₂ facilitated transport occurs, at low feed pressures, as reported by Shimoyama et al.[14] for SILMs containing the [NTf₂]⁻ anion in the separation of CO₂ from a CO₂+N₂ binary mixture, due to specific interactions of CO₂ and IL' anions comprising fluorine atoms, such as the case of [NTf₂]⁻anion.

Figure 7b) displays the experimental mixed gas permselectivities as a function of total feed pressure at T=35 °C through the studied PIL–IL membranes. It can be observed that the mixed H₂/N₂ permselectivities increase with increasing feed pressure. This is probably linked to the mixed gas permeabilities behavior, where the mixed gas permeability for N₂ decreases with feed pressure, while that of H₂ remains approximately constant. In what concerns the mixed CO₂/H₂ permselectivities, they remain approximately constant with increasing total p_{feed} for all the studied composites. This can also be related to the mixed gas permeability behavior of both gases, which remain approximately constant for feed pressures between 1 and 4 bar. The PIL–IL composite membranes containing 60 wt% of IL are the only exception, in which the mixed CO₂/H₂ permselectivities slightly decrease with increasing feed pressure, for instance from 11.5 at $p_{feed}=1$ bar to 10.3 at $p_{feed}=4$ bar, in the case of PIL C(CN)₃–60 IL C(CN)₃.

Overall, the studied PIL–IL membranes under mixed gas conditions for CO_2/H_2 separation did not lose their separation efficiency up to p=4 bar, since no significant changes in both mixed gas permeabilities and CO_2/H_2 selectivities were observed with increasing feed pressure.

3.3 Separation Performance

3.3.1 Mixed CO_2/H_2 separation performance

The mixed CO₂/H₂ separation performances of the tested PIL–IL membranes are depicted in Figure 8, where the CO₂/H₂ permselectivity is plotted against the permeability of the more permeable gas specie (CO₂). The upper bound developed by Rowe et al. [45] for the CO₂/H₂ gas pair at 300 K is also represented in Figure 8. This upper bound was used to evaluate the performance of the studied PIL–IL membranes at the different temperature and total feed pressure conditions (20 < T (°C) < 80; $1 < p_{feed}$ (bar) < 4).

All membranes showed mixed CO_2/H_2 separation performances above the upper bound in the whole range of temperatures and pressures studied in this work. From Figure 8, it can be also clearly seen that mixed CO_2/H_2 separation performances of all the PIL–IL membranes are highly affected by the increase in temperature from 20 to 80 °C. As previously observed, the mixed CO_2 permeabilities through all studied membranes increase with increasing temperature, while their mixed CO_2/H_2 permselectivities decrease with increasing of temperature. However, a good balance between these two parameters was obtained at biohydrogen production temperature conditions (\approx 35 °C) in all cases.

In the case of the PIL–IL composites with less amount of IL incorporated (40 wt%), the effect of feed pressure on mixed gas permeabilities and CO_2/H_2 permselectivity is almost nonexistent, meaning that the mentioned membranes maintain their separation efficiency at the highest feed pressure tested (p_{feed} =4 bar) and biohydrogen temperature conditions (T=35 °C). Regarding the membranes prepared with 60 wt% of IL, the slightly decreasing of both mixed CO_2 permeability and CO_2/H_2 permselectivity with increasing total feed pressure is evidenced in Figure 7. Nevertheless, the PIL C(CN)₃–60 IL C(CN)₃ composite membrane presents the best mixed CO_2/H_2 separation performances even at the highest temperature (T=80 °C) or feed pressure ($p_{feed}=4$ bar) conditions.



Figure 8. Mixed CO_2/H_2 separation performance of the PIL–IL membranes studied. The experimental error is within the data points. Data are plotted on a log–log scale and the upper bound at 300 K was adapted from Rowe et al. [45]. Pressure effect on CO_2/H_2 separation performance is represented by squares and highlighted with red circles while temperature effect is represented by circles.

3.3.2 Comparison with Single Gas Experiments

The mixed gas permeabilities as well as CO_2/H_2 permselectivities were compared to those previously reported by us for pure gases [25] through similar PIL–IL composite membranes (Table 3). In multicomponent gas mixture tests, the competitive sorption effect between CO_2 , H_2 and N_2 led to a significant reduction of both CO_2 and H_2 permeabilities compared to those obtained in single gas experiments, as it can be seen from Table 3. This behavior is in agreement to what has

been reported in literature for other similar gas mixtures [17, 40, 46, 47]. Concerning the CO_2/H_2 permselectivities, the PIL $C(CN)_3$ –60 IL $C(CN)_3$ membrane shows slightly lower mixed permselectivity (11.4) compared to that obtained in single gas experiments (12.2). However, the remaining composites revealed slightly higher mixed permselectivities compared to the reported ideal CO_2/H_2 permselectivities, whereas in some cases this difference is not very pronounced, leading to the conclusion that their separation efficiency can be maintained despite the competition effect between gases in mixed gas experiments.

Table 3. Mixed and single CO₂ and H₂ permeabilities (P^a) as well as mixed and ideal CO₂/H₂ permselectivities (α) of the studied PIL–IL membranes at *T*=35 °C and p_{feed} =1 bar.^b

	Mixed Gas Experiments			Single Gas Experiments ^c			
Membrane sample	$\frac{PCO_2 \pm \sigma}{(Barrer)}$	$PH_2 \pm \sigma$ (Barrer)	α CO ₂ /H ₂	$PCO_2 \pm \sigma$ (Barrer)	$PH_2 \pm \sigma$ (Barrer)	α CO ₂ /H ₂	
PIL C(CN) ₃ - 40 [C ₂ mim][C(CN) ₃]	129.7 ± 1.4	15.7 ± 0.02	8.2	209 ± 0.9	25.9 ± 0.1	8.1	
PIL C(CN) ₃ - 60 [C ₂ mim][C(CN) ₃]	324.7 ± 4.2	28.3 ± 0.03	11.4	505 ± 0.3	41.3 ± 0.8	12.2	
PIL NTf ₂ - 40 [C ₂ mim][NTf ₂]	201.6 ± 1.0	29.0 ± 0.09	6.9	287 ± 2.4	43.9 ± 0.1	6.5	
PIL NTf ₂ - 40 [C ₄ mpyr][NTf ₂]	118.9 ± 0.4	23.6 ± 0.03	5.0	164 ± 1.6	34.2 ± 0.3	4.8	
PIL NTf ₂ - 60 [C ₄ mpyr][NTf ₂]	254.2 ± 1.2	38.3 ± 0.05	6.6	288 ± 1.6	45.8 ± 0.1	6.3	

^a Barrer (1 Barrer = 10^{-10} cm(STP)³·cm·cm⁻²·s⁻¹·cm·Hg⁻¹).

^b The listed uncertainties represent the standard deviations (σ) based on three experiments.

^c The single gas experiments were performed using a time-lag equipment and were taken from Gouveia et al.[25]

4. Conclusions

The mixed gas separation performance through PIL–IL membranes bearing pyrrolidiniumbased PILs with $[NTf_2]^-$ and $[C(CN)_3]^-$ anions and different weight percentages of the corresponding ILs was measured in this study. Multicomponent gas mixture tests were performed

using a ternary mixture of H₂, CO₂ and N₂ and different feed pressures ranging from 1 to 4 bar and temperatures from 20 to 80°C. The mechanical properties of the selected PIL-IL membranes were assessed using puncture tests and all PIL-IL composites showed sufficient mechanical stability to be tested in the mixed gas permeation equipment at the different feed pressures, although their mechanical resistance under puncture tests decrease with increasing IL content in polymer matrix. A computational approach based on COSMO-RS method was applied to further understand the experimental mixed gas permeation results. Overall, COSMO-RS predictions revealed that the higher permeability of CO₂ compared to H₂ and N₂ is mainly due to the higher solubility of CO₂ in the IL, while H₂ and N₂ permeabilities seem to be determined by both gas solubility (higher for N₂) and diffusivity (higher for H₂) effects. From multicomponent gas mixture tests, the membranes showed mixed CO₂/H₂ separation performances above the upper bound, in the whole range of temperatures and pressures tested. Also, no significant changes in both mixed gas permeabilities and CO2/H2 permselectivities were observed with increasing feed pressure, meaning that the prepared composites did not lose their separation efficiency up to p=4 bar. The competitive sorption effect between CO₂ and H₂ in mixed gas experiments led to a significant reduction in gas permeabilities compared to single gas measurements. However, CO₂/H₂ permselectivities remained practically unchanged, meaning that the separation efficiency of the studied membranes can be maintained. The PIL C(CN)₃-60 IL C(CN)₃ membrane revealed the best mixed CO₂/H₂ separation performance, even at the highest temperature (T=80 °C) or feed pressure ($p_{\text{feed}}=4$ bar) conditions.

Supporting Information

 Normalized puncture stress and elongation upon puncture of the prepared PIL–IL membranes. Mixed-gas permeabilities and permselectivities of the studied PIL–IL membranes at different temperature and pressure conditions. Predicted gas permeability values and gas–IL isotherms calculated by COSMO-RS.

Author Information

*Corresponding Authors

isabel.marrucho@tecnico.ulisboa.pt. Fax: +351 21 8499242. Tel: +351 21 8413385

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgments

Andreia S. L. Gouveia is grateful to FCT (Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia) for her Doctoral (SFRH/BD/116600/2016) research grant. Liliana C. Tomé has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 745734. Centro de Química Estrutural and Instituto Superior de Agronomia acknowledge the financial support of Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (UIDB/00100/2020 and UID/AGR/04129/2020, respectively). Financial support from the Spanish AEI under projects CTQ2016-75158-R and PID2019-104369RB-I00 (AEI/FEDER, UE) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] C. Casado Coterillo, B. Zornoza, A. Navajas, Chapter 11. Advances in Hydrogen Separation and Purification with Membrane Technology, in, 2013, pp. 245-268.

[2] D. Das, T.N. Veziroğlu, Hydrogen production by biological processes: a survey of literature, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 26 (2001) 13-28.

[3] S. Meher Kotay, D. Das, Biohydrogen as a renewable energy resource—Prospects and potentials, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 33 (2008) 258-263.

[4] L. Singh, Z.A. Wahid, Methods for enhancing bio-hydrogen production from biological process: A review, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 21 (2015) 70-80.

[5] H.J. Alves, C. Bley Junior, R.R. Niklevicz, E.P. Frigo, M.S. Frigo, C.H. Coimbra-Araújo, Overview of hydrogen production technologies from biogas and the applications in fuel cells, Int.
J. Hydrogen Energy, 38 (2013) 5215-5225.

[6] D. Das, T.N. Veziroglu, Advances in biological hydrogen production processes, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 33 (2008) 6046-6057.

[7] S. Manish, R. Banerjee, Comparison of biohydrogen production processes, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 33 (2008) 279-286.

[8] T.C. Merkel, M. Zhou, R.W. Baker, Carbon dioxide capture with membranes at an IGCC power plant, J. Membr. Sci., 389 (2012) 441-450.

[9] L.C. Tomé, I.M. Marrucho, Ionic liquid-based materials: a platform to design engineered CO2 separation membranes, Chem. Soc. Rev., 45 (2016) 2785-2824.

[10] P. Scovazzo, Determination of the upper limits, benchmarks, and critical properties for gas separations using stabilized room temperature ionic liquid membranes (SILMs) for the purpose of guiding future research, J. Membr. Sci., 343 (2009) 199-211.

[11] S.R. Reijerkerk, K. Nijmeijer, C.P. Ribeiro, B.D. Freeman, M. Wessling, On the effects of plasticization in CO2/light gas separation using polymeric solubility selective membranes, J. Membr. Sci., 367 (2011) 33-44.

[12] G.Q. Chen, C.A. Scholes, G.G. Qiao, S.E. Kentish, Water vapor permeation in polyimide membranes, J. Membr. Sci., 379 (2011) 479-487.

[13] P. Bakonyi, N. Nemestóthy, K. Bélafi-Bakó, Biohydrogen purification by membranes: An overview on the operational conditions affecting the performance of non-porous, polymeric and ionic liquid based gas separation membranes, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 38 (2013) 9673-9687.

[14] P. Jindaratsamee, A. Ito, S. Komuro, Y. Shimoyama, Separation of CO2 from the CO2/N2 mixed gas through ionic liquid membranes at the high feed concentration, J. Membr. Sci., s 423–424 (2012) 27–32.

[15] G. Zarca, I. Ortiz, A. Urtiaga, Behaviour of 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride-supported ionic liquid membranes in the permeation of CO 2, H 2, CO and N 2 single and mixed gases, Desalin. Water Treat., 56 (2014) 1-7.

[16] P. Scovazzo, D. Havard, M. McShea, S. Mixon, D. Morgan, Long-term, continuous mixedgas dry fed CO2/CH4 and CO2/N2 separation performance and selectivities for room temperature ionic liquid membranes, J. Membr. Sci., 327 (2009) 41-48.

[17] H.Z. Chen, P. Li, T.-S. Chung, PVDF/ionic liquid polymer blends with superior separation performance for removing CO2 from hydrogen and flue gas, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 37 (2012) 11796-11804.

[18] P. Li, D.R. Paul, T.-S. Chung, High performance membranes based on ionic liquid polymers for CO2 separation from the flue gas, Green Chem., 14 (2012) 1052-1063.

[19] K. Friess, M. Lanč, K. Pilnáček, V. Fíla, O. Vopička, Z. Sedláková, M.G. Cowan, W.M. McDanel, R.D. Noble, D.L. Gin, P. Izak, CO2/CH4 separation performance of ionic-liquid-based epoxy-amine ion gel membranes under mixed feed conditions relevant to biogas processing, J. Membr. Sci., 528 (2017) 64-71.

[20] W.M. McDanel, M.G. Cowan, N.O. Chisholm, D.L. Gin, R.D. Noble, Fixed-site-carrier facilitated transport of carbon dioxide through ionic-liquid-based epoxy-amine ion gel membranes, J. Membr. Sci., 492 (2015) 303-311.

[21] J. Bara, E. Hatakeyama, D. L. Gin, R. D. Noble, Improving CO2 permeability in polymerized room-temperature ionic liquid gas separation membranes through the formation of a solid composite with a room-temperature ionic liquid, Polym. Advan. Technol., 19 (2008) 1415-1420.

[22] L.C. Tomé, A.S.L. Gouveia, C.S.R. Freire, D. Mecerreyes, I.M. Marrucho, Polymeric ionic liquid-based membranes: Influence of polycation variation on gas transport and CO2 selectivity properties, J. Membr. Sci., 486 (2015) 40-48.

[23] L.C. Tomé, M. Isik, C.S.R. Freire, D. Mecerreyes, I.M. Marrucho, Novel pyrrolidinium-based polymeric ionic liquids with cyano counter-anions: High performance membrane materials for post-combustion CO2 separation, J. Membr. Sci., 483 (2015) 155-165.

[24] D. Nikolaeva, I. Azcune, E. Sheridan, M. Sandru, A. Genua, M. Tanczyk, M. Jaschik, K. Warmuzinski, J.C. Jansen, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Poly(vinylbenzyl chloride)-based poly(ionic liquids) as membranes for CO2 capture from flue gas, J. Mater. Chem. A, 5 (2017) 19808-19818.
[25] A.S.L. Gouveia, L. Ventaja, L.C. Tomé, I.M. Marrucho, Towards Biohydrogen Separation Using Poly(Ionic Liquid)/Ionic Liquid Composite Membranes, Membranes, 8 (2018) 124.

[26] L.C. Tomé, D. Mecerreyes, C.S.R. Freire, L.P.N. Rebelo, I.M. Marrucho, Pyrrolidiniumbased polymeric ionic liquid materials: New perspectives for CO2 separation membranes, J. Membr. Sci., 428 (2013) 260-266.

[27] M.G. Shalygin, S.M. Abramov, A.I. Netrusov, V.V. Teplyakov, Membrane recovery of hydrogen from gaseous mixtures of biogenic and technogenic origin, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 40 (2015) 3438-3451.

[28] A.-L. Pont, R. Marcilla, I. De Meatza, H. Grande, D. Mecerreyes, Pyrrolidinium-based polymeric ionic liquids as mechanically and electrochemically stable polymer electrolytes, J. Power Sources, 188 (2009) 558-563.

[29] G.W. Radebaugh, J.L. Murtha, T.N. Julian, J.N. Bondi, Methods for evaluating the puncture and shear properties of pharmaceutical polymeric films, Int. J. Pharm., 45 (1988) 39-46.

[30] M. Yáñez, A. Ortiz, D. Gorri, I. Ortiz, Comparative performance of commercial polymeric membranes in the recovery of industrial hydrogen waste gas streams, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, (2020).

[31] T.C. Merkel, R.P. Gupta, B.S. Turk, B.D. Freeman, Mixed-gas permeation of syngas components in poly(dimethylsiloxane) and poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne) at elevated temperatures, J. Membr. Sci., 191 (2001) 85-94.

[32] C. Moya, J. Palomar, M. Gonzalez-Miquel, J. Bedia, F. Rodriguez, Diffusion Coefficients of CO2 in Ionic Liquids Estimated by Gravimetry, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 53 (2014) 13782-13789.

[33] M. Fallanza, A. Ortiz, D. Gorri, I. Ortiz, Polymer–ionic liquid composite membranes for propane/propylene separation by facilitated transport, J. Membr. Sci., 444 (2013) 164-172.

[34] H. Rabiee, A. Ghadimi, T. Mohammadi, Gas transport properties of reverse-selective poly(ether-b-amide6)/[Emim][BF4] gel membranes for CO2/light gases separation, J. Membr. Sci., 476 (2015) 286-302.

[35] J.C. Jansen, G. Clarizia, P. Bernardo, F. Bazzarelli, K. Friess, A. Randová, J. Schauer, D. Kubicka, M. Kacirková, P. Izak, Gas transport properties and pervaporation performance of

fluoropolymer gel membranes based on pure and mixed ionic liquids, Sep. Purif. Technol., 109 (2013) 87-97.

[36] K. Friess, J.C. Jansen, F. Bazzarelli, P. Izák, V. Jarmarová, M. Kačírková, J. Schauer, G. Clarizia, P. Bernardo, High ionic liquid content polymeric gel membranes: Correlation of membrane structure with gas and vapour transport properties, J. Membr. Sci., 415-416 (2012) 801-809.

[37] H. Mannan, D. Mohshim, H. Mukhtar, M. Thanapalan, Z. Man, M. Bustam, Synthesis, characterization, and CO 2 separation performance of polyether sulfone/[EMIM][Tf 2 N] ionic liquid-polymeric membranes (ILPMs), J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 54 (2017).

[38] K. Chandrasekhar, Y.-J. Lee, D.-W. Lee, Biohydrogen Production: Strategies to Improve Process Efficiency through Microbial Routes, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 16 (2015).

[39] L. El-Azzami, E. Grulke, Dual mode model for mixed gas permeation of CO2, H2, and N2 through a dry chitosan membrane, J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys., 45 (2007) 2620-2631.

[40] O.C. David, D. Gorri, A. Urtiaga, I. Ortiz, Mixed gas separation study for the hydrogen recovery from H2/CO/N2/CO2 post combustion mixtures using a Matrimid membrane, J. Membr. Sci., 378 (2011) 359-368.

[41] W. Fam, J. Mansouri, H. Li, V. Chen, Improving CO2 separation performance of thin film composite hollow fiber with Pebax®1657/ionic liquid gel membranes, J. Membr. Sci., 537 (2017) 54-68.

[42] J. Palomar, M. Larriba, J. Lemus, D. Moreno, R. Santiago, C. Moya, J. de Riva, G. Pedrosa, Demonstrating the key role of kinetics over thermodynamics in the selection of ionic liquids for CO2 physical absorption, Sep. Purif. Technol., 213 (2019) 578-586.

[43] Z. Lei, C. Dai, B. Chen, Gas Solubility in Ionic Liquids, Chem. Rev., 114 (2014) 1289-1326.

[44] S. Raeissi, C.J. Peters, Understanding temperature dependency of hydrogen solubility in ionic liquids, including experimental data in [bmim][Tf2N], AIChE J., 58 (2012) 3553-3559.

[45] B.W. Rowe, L.M. Robeson, B.D. Freeman, D.R. Paul, Influence of temperature on the upper bound: Theoretical considerations and comparison with experimental results, J. Membr. Sci., 360 (2010) 58-69.

[46] A. Farjoo, S.M. Kuznicki, M. Sadrzadeh, Hydrogen Separation by Natural Zeolite Composite Membranes: Single and Multicomponent Gas Transport, Materials, 10 (2017). [47] R.T. Chern, W.J. Koros, E.S. Sanders, R. Yui, "Second component" effects in sorption and permeation of gases in glassy polymers, J. Membr. Sci., 15 (1983) 157-169.



CO₂/H₂ separation through poly(ionic liquid)–ionic liquid membranes: the effect of multicomponent gas mixtures, temperature and gas feed pressure

Andreia S.L. Gouveia,^a María Yáñez,^b Vítor D. Alves,^c J. Palomar,^d C. Moya,^d Daniel Gorri,^b Liliana C. Tomé^e and Isabel M. Marrucho^{a,*}

Highlights

- Mixed gas separation performance of poly(ionic liquid)-ionic liquid membranes were explored.
- A COSMO-RS approach was applied to analyze the mixed separation behavior of the composites.
- Membrane separation efficiency can be maintained despite the competition effect between gases.
- All membranes revealed mixed CO₂/H₂ separation performances above the Robeson upper bound.

CrediT authorship contribution statement

Andreia S.L. Gouveia: Investigation, Methodology, Writing-Original draft preparation, Writing-Review & Editing; María Yáñez: Investigation; Vítor D. Alves: Resources; Writing-Review & Editing, Supervision; J. Palomar: Formal analysis, Writing-Review & Editing; C. Moya: Formal analysis; Daniel Gorri: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing-Review & Editing; Liliana C. Tomé: Conceptualization, Writing-Review & Editing; Isabel M. Marrucho: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing-Review & Editing, Supervision.

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: