A Place in the Sun? Healthcare rights of retired UK citizens in Spain post-Brexit

Joaquin Cayon-De Las Cuevas, University of Cantabria, Spain and Tamara Hervey, University

of Sheffield, UK

Abstract

At least 100,000 retired UK citizens currently live in Spain. Under EU law, they are entitled to access the Spanish NHS with minimum administrative difficulty. What will their legal position be under a 'no-deal Brexit'? This is a question of Spanish law. The worst case scenario is that they will have to reapply for their residence permits under the Spanish legislation applicable to non EU/EEA citizens, with all the administrative inconvenience and cost entailed. If they successfully reapply, their personal healthcare costs will be considerably higher than at present, should they choose to remain in Spain. Very obvious questions of capacity planning arise. The Spanish system will potentially need to gear up for a significant administrative effort. Given the distinct possibility of a 'no-deal Brexit', the UK NHS should prepare to welcome significant numbers of pensioners home.

Introduction

Available data suggests some 1.2 million UK citizens live in other EU countries (R-EU) (House of Commons, 2017). Official figures from Eurostat and the UN suggest 309,000 of those are in Spain (House of Commons, 2017; UN, 2015; Migration Watch, 2016). Local estimates suggest it could be double that number, or more. Just over one third of UK citizens in Spain are aged over 65 (ONS, 2017:5). Retired UK citizens in Spain currently rely on EU law to secure residence, pensions, and, crucially, access to healthcare, with minimum

administrative formality and no extra cost to themselves. This freedom is organised on the basis of a reciprocal system dating back to the 1960s, according to which people are entitled to migrate within the EU without detriment to their social security positions. Data from February 2016 shows that Spain is the EU country to which the UK makes the largest reimbursement (some £220 million annually) for healthcare given to its citizens.¹

Evidence presented to the House of Lords EU Select Committee shows significant uncertainty about the position of retired UK citizens in Spain following the June 2016 EU referendum and the UK government's resolve to trigger Article 50 and leave the EU (House of Lords, 2016). The official report uses the word "distress", and we do not think this is an over-statement or that people feel this way unreasonably. A significant group of potentially vulnerable individuals simply do not have any authoritative or reliable information about their legal position in terms of access to healthcare in the near future.² Healthcare is not an optional luxury, nor is it cheap. Thus far, the UK government has not provided any specific information or advice,³ preferring to make assertions about future negotiated deals with the R-EU and to claim that to give more detail compromises its negotiating position.

Nor is such sought-after legal clarity easy to determine. Of course, we cannot know the details of the future UK/R-EU relationship: we do not yet even know the terms of the agreement by which the UK will leave the EU. It *could be* that such an agreement secures the position of UK citizens in the rest of the EU, including the access of retired UK citizens to the Spanish healthcare system on the same basis as current terms. But given the significance of migration to the UK government's Brexit narrative, the UK Prime Minister's position that 'no deal ... is better than a bad deal' (May, 2017), and the uncomfortable fact that negotiation is a two-way process in which both parties must reach a mutually

compatible agreement, we think it is appropriate to plan on the basis of something less than this.

The reasonable questions to ask are these: If the UK leaves the EU *without a negotiated deal* (a 'no-deal' Brexit), what will be the position of these retired UK citizens in Spain seeking healthcare? This is a question of Spanish law. How does Spanish law treat resident retired people from non-EU/EEA countries with which there is no treaty on reciprocal healthcare? Are there any countries in that category? Does Spanish law include enforceable 'acquired rights' to healthcare? Do Spanish courts interpret any obligations in international law (from the UN or Council of Europe) as requiring Spain to provide healthcare to non-nationals, and, if so, in which situations? What procedures or mechanisms underpin any rights that exist? And, because healthcare is a devolved responsibility in Spain, we need to ask the following question also: Are the answers to any of these questions different in different Spanish autonomous regions?

None of this legal analysis is straightforward. What follows is our interpretation of the position, based on the available legal texts. We should make explicit that we are not offering formal legal advice. It goes without saying that the position is different for other R-EU countries.

The relevant Spanish law

According to Article 3 and Article 3-bis of the Cohesion and Quality of the National Health System Act 2003 (significantly amended in 2012)⁴, as a general rule, an insured status is

required for access to the Spanish public healthcare system. As there are no official translations of this text,⁵ we set it out in full here.

[Table 1: Spanish Cohesion and Quality of the NHS Act 2003, as amended about here]

Insured status under the 2003 Act

UK citizens who are living in Spain with a permanent residence permit may have 'insured status' under Article 3. For instance, UK citizens who worked in Spain as employees or were self-employed and are affiliated to the Spanish social security system,⁶ and their families as defined in Spanish law, will be entitled, under Spanish law, even following a 'no-deal Brexit', to access public healthcare free of charge, on the same basis as insured Spanish citizens. Pensioners with annual incomes of over €100,000 pay 60 per cent of prescription charges, capped at €60 a month, with lower co-payments and lower caps for less wealthy pensioners (UK Government, 2014). Their healthcare is funded through their contribution to the Spanish social security system. Provided their residence entitlements continue, their position will not change. It may be, however, that they have to reapply for a Spanish residence permit, as the basis for their current residence (nationality of an EU country) will no longer apply.

These entitlements extend also to their resident spouse, former spouse and children under 26 or older with a disability. Currently, the 'members of their family', as defined in EU law,⁷ and 'survivors' of such insured UK pensioners are entitled to access the

Spanish NHS under EU Regulation 883/2004. But some family members, such as dependent parents, do not fall within the 2003 Act, so will cease to be covered.

Retired British people living in Spain, who have not worked in Spain, and are not affiliated to the Spanish social security system, but who have worked and paid national insurance contributions in the UK are also currently entitled to access the Spanish healthcare system under Regulation 883/2004, as if they were insured Spanish citizens. The Regulation applies to all EU nationals resident in the EU who are or have been covered by one or more national social security systems of EU countries, to 'members of their family' and their 'survivors'.⁸ In order to show that they fall within the Regulation, and satisfy administrative practices, UK citizens must have a permanent Spanish residence permit. For those individuals, the Regulation currently gives access to healthcare to those within its scope – including pensioners and their families⁹ – as if they were socially insured in Spain.¹⁰ Under a 'no-deal Brexit', Regulation 883/2004/EC will cease to apply to British citizens. Their national insurance contributions will no longer lawfully earn them the right to access healthcare in Spain. Equally it is possible that their residence entitlements will no longer be secure.

Under the Spanish Cohesion and Quality of the National Health System Act 2003, the Regulation 883/2004 regime is also applied to citizens who have contributed to social security schemes of an EEA country or Switzerland. In the now extremely unlikely event that the UK/R-EU future agreement includes EEA membership, the position of retired UK citizens resident in Spain would also not change in terms of access to healthcare. Citizens of other countries, if they are authorised to reside in Spanish territory, 'may be insured as long as they prove that they do not exceed a statutory income limit'.¹¹ In this case, they fall within the scope of the 2003 Act, and are entitled to Spanish healthcare. This is the position UK nationals will be in following a 'no-deal Brexit'. The statutory income limit was set at €100,000 in 2012.¹² This is already quite high (the *average* income of a UK pensioner under age 75 is just over £18,000 (DWP 2016), (c €21,000) though pensioners who retire outside the UK are probably wealthier than those who remain in the UK¹³). And moreover, the Spanish Constitutional Court has recently declared the limit to be unconstitutional.¹⁴ If no income limit applies, the *de jure* position of EU/EEA citizens and non EU/EEA citizens under the 2003 Act is the same, suggesting that the entitlements of access to healthcare would also remain the same under a 'no-deal Brexit', under which UK nationals will be non EU/EEA citizens.

Nonetheless, there are significant *de facto* differences in their positions. These concern the administrative formalities with which citizens must comply in order to access the Spanish healthcare system.

In order to fall within the scope of the 2003 Act, it is necessary to provide evidence of permanent residence in Spain. EU/EEA citizens are entitled to a permanent residence permit on proof only that they have sufficient economic resources to meet the costs of living in Spain and that they enjoy coverage under a public or private health insurance system (with a 'basket of services' equivalent to those of the Spanish NHS). At the moment, that latter requirement is satisfied by reference to the UK NHS and the Regulation 883/2204 system, relying on the S1 (previously E-106, E-109, E-120 and E-121) form. But it is much more difficult for non EU/EEA citizens to obtain a permanent residence permit in Spain,

since they must also acquire a work permit at the same time. In the event of a 'no-deal Brexit', save perhaps a tiny minority, acquiring a work permit will simply be impossible for the ordinary retired UK citizens who live in Spain.

Moreover, under the administrative formalities surrounding the 2003 Act, non EU/EEA citizens must also prove that they enjoy health coverage by their affiliation to the social security system of a state with a bilateral reciprocal agreement with Spain. Currently Spain has approximately 20 international treaties on social security issues. However, the 'right to export' national health coverage, so that patients are entitled to receive healthcare according to the Spanish NHS 'basket', is explicitly recognised in only seven cases (treaties with Andorra, Brazil, Chile, Ecuador, Morocco, Peru and Tunisia) (Spanish Court of Auditors, 2012). Otherwise, foreign nationals will receive only the healthcare benefits allowed by their home country (generally less than the Spanish 'basket'). In any event, in the absence of such an agreement with the UK, non-EU/EEA citizens would be required to be covered by private health insurance, at their own expense. The administrative formalities necessary to secure rights under the 2003 Act, Article 3 (3) will in practice exclude UK nationals from access to the Spanish healthcare system following a 'no-deal Brexit'.

The residual provision of the 2003 Act, Article 3 (5), permits those who are not insured under Article 3 (2) and are not beneficiaries under Article 3 (3) to access Spanish healthcare 'by paying the corresponding fee derived from the signing of a special agreement'. This is an individual agreement between the Spanish social security system and the retired person. It is administered through the Spanish autonomous communities and only covers the 'basic basket of services' so that patients must pay 100 per cent of the cost of their prescriptions. In addition to this, current rates for those who are older than 65 are

€1884 per annum (€157 a month). There is a much lower fee for people under 65 (€ 720 per year, €60 a month).¹⁵ The difference is perhaps intended to have a disincentive effect for retired people.

Again, de facto administrative requirements are important. To enter into these special agreements, Spanish law requires:¹⁶ i) accreditation of effective residence in Spain for a continuous period of at least one year immediately prior to the date of the request of the special agreement; ii) registration, at the time of submitting the application to enter into the special agreement, in a municipality belonging to the territorial scope of the corresponding autonomous community with which the agreement is reached.

We have been unable to find data on how many of these agreements are already in place. Even though standard models of such agreements are available to all interested individuals, it cannot be ruled out that following a 'no-deal Brexit', accessing the Spanish NHS will only be feasible at considerable expense and administrative inconvenience, with all the uncertainty associated.

Exceptional cases under the 2003 Act (emergency care)

Non-insured non-nationals, even those without a temporary or permanent residence permit, are entitled to receive healthcare from the Spanish NHS in three exceptional cases: health emergencies, minors and pregnant women.¹⁷ Retired people are by definition not minors, though may have dependents living with them who are. For the narrow category of health emergencies, even under a 'no-deal Brexit', British pensioners in Spain will be

covered, so some of the worst fears of a disorderly Brexit concerning healthcare – to wit, that access to critical care in the event of a health emergency will be unavailable – are misplaced.

'Acquired rights'?

The question of whether and if so how the concept of 'acquired rights' in international law will apply following Brexit has been 'beset by confusion and misinformation' (Douglas-Scott, 2016). The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties 1969 gives 'acquired rights' only to states, not to individuals. Individuals may enjoy 'acquired rights' under 'customary international law'. But even if the 'right to access public healthcare' were such a right (and it is not at all obvious that it is), the enforcement of such 'acquired rights' by UK pensioners in Spain would rely on Spanish legal processes. These are unlikely to recognise any such rights in Spanish law.

The very recent Spanish experience, following the significant amendment of the Cohesion and Quality of the NHS Act 2003, undertaken to respond to the economic crisis, is illustrative. Before 2012, all immigrants, both legal and illegal, were entitled to healthcare in Spain. After the 2012 reform of the 2003 Act, no 'acquired rights' were recognised for those people who were living in Spain before 2012. The reform only contained a specific clause that postponed for four months the entry into force of such a provision.¹⁸ In the case of a 'no-deal Brexit', it is possible that the Spanish authorities will recognise the need for a short transitional period, not as a legal entitlement, but as a principle of administrative

convenience or even kindness. But there will be no enforceable 'acquired' legal rights to healthcare except as outlined above under the 2003 Act.

The 'right to healthcare' in Council of Europe or international law?

The UN Social and Economic Rights Covenant¹⁹ and the Council of Europe's European Social Charter²⁰, to which Spain is a signatory, recognise the right to basic healthcare of every human being. In common with many countries, including the UK, however, Spain does not recognise even this minimum guarantee ('basic healthcare') as an enforceable right. The Spanish Constitutional Court²¹ held that these UN-ESC provisions are non-binding rules and should be interpreted as mere aspirations.

Equally, reliance on the European Convention of Human Rights, Protocol 1, Article 1 on the right to 'peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions' is highly unlikely to be successful. In some circumstances, social security entitlements can be 'possessions' in this context.²² But in *Ramaer and van Willigen*,²³ the European Court of Human Rights found that the expectation, following changes to the Netherlands health system in 2006, that a Netherlands national resident in Spain would continue to enjoy health insurance under the Netherlands system, does not constitute a 'possession'. The Strasbourg court distinguished other cases concerning social security benefits, noting that the applicant's expectation was based not on a legal provision or act, but a hope. The Spanish courts have not explicitly ruled on whether a Spanish refusal of healthcare to non-EU nationals falls within the ECHR, but if they are faced with such a claim, there is no reason to expect a difference of approach to that of the Strasbourg court. The view that there might be a legal entitlement to access the Spanish healthcare system outside of the requirements of the 2003 Act, in a post-'nodeal' Brexit position, cannot be described as anything stronger than a 'hope': it is unlikely to be deemed to be a 'possession'.

Differences in the autonomous communities?

The Cohesion and Quality of the NHS Act 2003 is a national law which contains common legal standards for all the Spanish autonomous communities. Nonetheless, in general, health policy is a devolved power in Spain, so it is possible that different autonomous communities may adopt different approaches. Indeed, some regions, such as Cantabria, Valencia, the Balearic Islands, Aragon, Navarra, Catalonia and Euskadi, have adopted their own regional laws in order to assure access to healthcare regardless of the administrative situation of patients, including foreign patients. However, the national government has appealed these regional rules, arguing that healthcare policy concerning foreigners is an exclusive national power. It is likely that the Constitutional Court will uphold the appeals.

Other UK citizens in Spain

Finally, to be clear, we note that if the UK leaves the EU without a negotiated deal, UK citizens who are *temporarily* in Spain (travelling for work or leisure) will no longer be able to access Spanish healthcare under the 'Patients' Rights Directive',²⁴ or Regulation 883/2004 (through the EHIC scheme). Private health insurance will be necessary, even for a short trip.

Conclusions

Under the legal framework explained above, it is extremely difficult for non-EU/EEA nationals to access the Spanish NHS, unless they are either working or have individually subscribed to an expensive special agreement with the Spanish social security authorities. That is why not many retired people from non EU/EEA countries live permanently in Spain.

Without a specific UK/R-EU agreement which deals with the entitlements to healthcare of retired UK citizens in Spain, this is the position in which at least 100,000 UK pensioners who have retired to a Spanish 'place in the sun' will find themselves. It is impossible to see anything other than negative effects of Brexit on those citizens. Their future legal position is complex, and in some ways uncertain. Much depends on whether their existing residence status is maintained, or whether they must apply for permanent residence anew: this is not something under the control of the UK government. It is legally debatable whether Spain may lawfully enter into a bilateral agreement with the UK on the position of pensioners in Spain, or whether this must be a UK/R-EU agreement under 'shared EU competence'. We are unable to explain the detail here, but the matter depends in part on whether Regulation 883/2004 and the arrangements under it constitute an 'exercise' of EU competence.²⁵ Whether such an agreement would be welcome to Spain (or the R-EU) depends on how the future (lack of) solidarity between people in the UK and people elsewhere in the EU is accommodated, given the UK government's position on controlling migration. Prospects do not look propitious.

But ultimately some things are relatively clear: unless they are already insured in the Spanish system, retired UK citizens in Spain must either pay for a special agreement with the Spanish security authorities, at an extra cost of some €1800 per annum, plus the cost of 100 per cent of their prescription charges, and undergo all the administrative elements entailed, or they will need private health insurance, which is likely to cost at least that, or considerably more (Spain Adviser, 2016).

Nonetheless, misinformation abounds. Some have claimed that UK citizens resident in R-EU countries would, on Brexit, have 'acquired rights' under the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties 1969: this rosy 'best case scenario' is just wrong. The worst case scenario is that UK citizens resident in Spain will have to reapply for their residence permits under the Spanish legislation applicable to non EU/EEA citizens, with all the administrative inconvenience and cost entailed, both for the Spanish system and for the British citizens themselves. If they do, even once they have successfully reapplied, their personal healthcare costs will be considerably higher than they are at present, should they choose to remain in Spain.

Very obvious questions of capacity planning arise. The Spanish system will potentially need to gear up for a significant administrative effort. It would be prudent, given the worst case scenario of a 'no-deal Brexit', for the NHS in the UK to prepare to welcome significant numbers of pensioners home from their place in the sun.

References

Department for Work and Pensions. (2016), *Pensioners' Incomes Series: An analysis of trends in Pensioner Incomes: 1994/95-2014/15*, June 2016

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/563425/p ensioners-incomes-series-2014-15-report.pdf

Douglas-Scott, S. (2016), Evidence to the House of Lords EU Select Committee, *Brexit: Acquired Rights*, 10th Report of Session 2016-17 - 14 December 2016 - HL Paper 82, chapter 6,

https://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201617/ldselect/ldeucom/82/8209.htm# idT extAnchor051

House of Commons. (2017), Briefing Paper Migration Statistics January 2017

http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN06077#fullreport

House of Lords. (2016), EU Select Committee, *10th Report of Session 2016-17*, 14 December 2016, HL Paper 82

https://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201617/ldselect/ldeucom/82/8208.htm#foot note-109

May, T. (2017) A Global Britain Speech Lancaster House 17 January 2017

http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/full-text-theresa-may-brexit-speech-

global-britain-eu-european-union-latest-a7531361.html

Migration Watch. (2016), UK Briefing Paper *The British in Europe – and Viceversa*, 23 March 2016 <u>https://www.migrationwatchuk.org/briefing-paper/354</u>

Office for National Statistics. (2017), What Information is there on British migrants living in *Europe?* January 2017

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/interna tionalmigration/articles/whatinformationisthereonbritishmigrantslivingineurope/jan2017

Spain Adviser. (2016), <u>http://www.sidsnet.org/moving-to-spain/healthcare-insurance-</u>

spain/#Cost of Private Health Insurance

Spanish Court of Auditors. (2012), Report on application of EU regulations and international treatments regarding health care in Spain, 29 March 2012.

UK Government. (2014), Guidance: Healthcare in Spain

https://www.gov.uk/guidance/healthcare-in-spain

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2015), *Trends in International Migrant Stock: Migrants by Destination and Origin*,

http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/data/estimates2/estimate

Table 1: Spanish Cohesion and Quality of the NHS Act 2003, as amended

Article 3. Insured status.
1. Healthcare in Spain, through public funds of the National Health System, will be
guaranteed to those persons who have an insured status.
2. For these purposes, persons who are in any of the following cases shall have an
insured status:
A) those who are a worker or self-employed and are affiliated to the Social Security
system by an updated registration
B) those who have the status of pensioner under the Social Security system
C) those who are the recipient of any other periodic Social Security benefits,
including unemployment subsidy
D) those who have exhausted the unemployment subsidy or other benefits of
similar nature and remain unemployed, not having an insured status, and are
resident in Spain.
For the purposes of the provisions of this Article only, the performance of
employment or self-employment, for a period of less than six months, when no new
unemployment benefit or allowance is granted, will not prevent the recovery of the
status of unemployed or the unemployment subsidy.
3. In those cases in which none of the previously established assumptions are
observed, persons of Spanish nationality or of a Member State of the European
Union, European Economic Area or of Switzerland, resident in Spain, and foreigners
holding an authorisation to reside in Spanish territory, may be insured as long as
they prove that they do not exceed a statutory income limit.

4. For the purposes of the provisions of this article, the beneficiary of an insured person, if residing in Spain, can be:

- the spouse or person with similar affective relationship, who must prove the corresponding official registration

- the former spouse of the insured person

- the descendants and assimilated persons in charge thereof who are under 26 years or who have a disability equal to or greater than 65%.

5. Those persons who do not have an insured or beneficiary status can obtain the provision of healthcare by paying the corresponding fee derived from the signing of a special agreement.

[6. Covers health care for civil servants, the judiciary and members of the armed forces]

Article 3-bis. Healthcare in special situations.

Foreigners neither registered nor authorised as residents in Spain, will receive healthcare in the following modalities:

A) Emergencies due to serious illness or accident, whatever its cause, until the medical discharge.

B) Assistance to pregnancy, childbirth and postpartum.

In any case, foreigners under the age of eighteen will receive healthcare under the same conditions as Spaniards.

¹ A reimbursement of £223,290,021 pa UK-Spain, with a mere £3,412,338 reimbursement Spain-UK. Ireland is a close second, with figures of £215,313,962 and £19,214,031 respectively. Figures from the Resource Accounting and Budgeting (RAB) exercise, introduced in UK government departments in 2001 as part of the "New Public Management" agenda. <u>http://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/written-questions-</u> <u>answers-statements/written-question/Commons/2016-02-19/27364/</u>.

² The UK government will trigger Article 50 by the end of March 2017. After two years, unless there is agreement to extend this period, EU law will automatically cease to apply to the UK and it will essentially be deemed to have left the EU.

³ The contrast with the Foreign and Commonwealth Office's website, giving detailed advice on retiring abroad, could not be more stark, see <u>https://www.gov.uk/browse/abroad/living-</u> <u>abroad</u> and <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/healthcare-in-spain</u>.

⁴ These two articles were amended by Royal Decree-Law on Urgent Measures to Guarantee the Sustainability of the NHS and Improve the Quality and Security of Health Benefits (20 April 2012), ratified by the Spanish parliament (the `*Congress of Deputies'*) on 19 July 2012.

⁵ All translations the authors' own.

⁶ Cohesion and Quality of the National Health System Act 2003, Article 3 (1) and (2) (A).

⁷ Regulation 883/2004/EC, OJ 2004 L 166/1, Article 2, 'members of their family and their survivors'.

⁸ Regulation 883/2004/EC, Article 2.

⁹ Regulation 883/2004/EC, Articles 23 and 24.

¹⁰ Regulation 883/2004/EC, Article 17.

¹¹ Cohesion and Quality of the National Health System Act 2003, Article 3 (3).

¹² Royal Decree 192/2012, 3 August 2012.

¹³ We could not source any data on this.

¹⁴ Judgment 139/2016, 21 July 2016.

¹⁵ Royal Decree 76/2013, 26 July 2013, Article 6.

¹⁶ Royal Decree 76/2013, 26 July 2013, Article 3.

¹⁷ Cohesion and Quality of the National Health System Act 2003, Article 3-bis.

¹⁸ Cohesion and Quality of the National Health System Act 2003, 1st Transitory Provision.

¹⁹ Article 12(1): `The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize the right of *everyone* to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health'.

²⁰ Article 13(4): `With a view to ensuring the effective exercise of the right to social and medical assistance, the Parties undertake: [...] to apply the provisions referred to in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this article on an *equal footing with their nationals to nationals of other Parties lawfully within their territories,* in accordance with their obligations under the European Convention on Social and Medical Assistance, signed at Paris on 11 December 1953'. ²¹ Judgment 139/2016 of 21 July 2016.

²² See, eg, Gaygusuz v Austria, 16 September 1996; Kjartan Ásmundsson v Iceland, 12
October 2004; Stec and Others v United Kingdom, 6 July 2005; Solodyuk v. Russia 12 July
2005, Wieczorek v. Poland, 8 December 2009.

²³ Application no 34880/12, November 2012.

²⁴ Directive 2011/24/EU, OJ 2011 L L88/45.

²⁵ See, for a recent, authoritative discussion of EU external competence, the Opinion of AG Sharpston in Opinion 2/15 concerning the EU-Singapore FTA.