

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 

# $Tgf\beta 2$ and 3 are coexpressed with their extracellular regulator Ltbp1 in the early limb bud and modulate mesodermal outgrowth and BMP signaling in chicken embryos

Carlos I Lorda-Diez<sup>†</sup>, Juan A Montero<sup>†</sup>, Juan A Garcia-Porrero and Juan M Hurle\*

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Transforming growth factor  $\beta$  proteins (Tqf $\beta$ s) are secreted cytokines with well-defined functions in the differentiation of the musculoskeletal system of the developing limb. Here we have studied in chicken embryos, whether these cytokines are implicated in the development of the embryonic limb bud at stages preceding tissue differentiation.

Results: Immunohistochemical detection of phosphorylated Smad2 and Smad3 indicates that signaling by this pathway is active in the undifferentiated mesoderm and AER. Gene expression analysis shows that transcripts of tafß2 and  $tqf\beta3$  but not  $tqf\beta1$  are abundant in the growing undifferentiated limb mesoderm. Transcripts of  $tqf\beta2$  are also found in the AER, which is the signaling center responsible for limb outgrowth. Furthermore, we show that Latent Tgfß Binding protein 1 (LTBP1), which is a key extracellular modulator of Tgfβ ligand bioavailability, is coexpressed with Tgfβs in the early limb bud. Administration of exogenous Tqf\u00dfs to limb buds growing in explant cultures provides evidence of these cytokines playing a role in the regulation of mesodermal limb proliferation. In addition, analysis of gene regulation in these experiments revealed that Tqf $\beta$  signaling has no effect on the expression of master genes of musculoskeletal tissue differentiation but negatively regulates the expression of the BMP-antagonist Gremlin.

**Conclusion:** We propose the occurrence of an interplay between  $Tgf\beta$  and BMP signaling functionally associated with the regulation of early limb outgrowth by modulating limb mesenchymal cell proliferation.

#### **Background**

Tgf\u00bbs constitute a subfamily formed in birds and mammals by 3 isoforms of secreted cytokines (Tgfβ1; Tgfβ2; Tgfβ3), which gives the name to the large Tgfβ superfamily made up of more than 30 structurally related proteins that comprises Activins, BMPs and GDFs. Tgfßs are multifunctional factors with important regulatory roles in adult and embryonic systems. During development Tgfβs are able to regulate almost all basic cellular processes including migration, proliferation, apoptosis and differentiation (reviewed by [1]). Their effects are mediated by binding to specific cell surface transmembrane receptors

with serine/threonine kinase activity that trigger an intracellular cascade which regulates the expression of target genes and the biogenesis of specific microRNAs (reviewed by [2]). This basic signaling pathway is finely modulated by a large number of cofactors acting both at extracellular or intracellular levels which results in a variety of different responses depending on the lineage or the context of the target cells (reviewed by [3,4]). As a relevant example of this regulation, Tgfβs are secreted as latent precursor molecules covalently bound to latent Tgfβ-binding proteins (LTBP), which are components of the extracellular matrix. LTBPs act as a store for the cytokine but are also required for its activation [5].

Modulation of Tgfβs activity is also finely tuned at intracellular level. In the canonical signaling pathway, the activation of receptors results in phosporilation of Smad

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: hurlej@unican.es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Departamento de Anatomía y Biología Celular. Universidad de Cantabria/ IFIMAV. Santander 39011. Spain

<sup>†</sup> Contributed equally

2 and Smad 3 proteins which, upon binding with the adaptor Smad 4, translocate to the nucleus functioning as transcriptional regulators [3]. However, there are alternative and/or complementary intracellular pathways, like MAP kinases, activated in a cell-context dependent fashion. Furthermore, many intracellular regulators modify the pattern of gene activation/inhibition evoked by phosphorylated Smad proteins [6]. Hence, an appropriate functional characterization of these cytokines in the different systems will be improved by the identification of cofactors associated with the signaling pathway.

During limb development Tgfβs has been characterized as important regulators of the differentiation of the musculoskeletal system including cartilage, joint and tendon differentiation and morphogenesis [7-12] and myogenesis [13,14]. Possible roles in angiogenesis [15] and programmed cell death [16] have also been proposed. However, since the phenotype in mice with targeted alterations of this signaling pathway reflects large alterations of tissue differentiation and organogenesis of the musculoskeletal system [9-11], the possible implications of these cytokines during the initial stages of limb development have been largely neglected. Here we show that prior to the stages of tissue differentiation, Tgf\u03bb2 and  $Tgf\beta 3$  are coexpressed with Ltbp1 in the undifferentiated mesoderm and in the AER of the early limb bud. In addition, we provide evidence of a function of these factors in the regulation of mesodermal limb proliferation and in the modulation of the activity of *Gremlin*, a gene that is involved in the control of BMP signaling, a pathway of major importance in limb outgrowth and patterning.

#### Results

### **Phospho-Smad immunolabeling**

To explore the spatial distribution of  $Tgf\beta$ -signaling, we examined the distribution of phospho-Smad 2 (p-Smad 2) and phospho-Smad 3 (p-Smad 3) by immunolabeling in embryonic limb tissue sections. As shown in Fig 1 nuclear labeling for both phospho-smads was intense in the AER and in the undifferentiated cells subjacent to the ectoderm and almost negative in the central chondrogenic region of the limb bud. Positive labeling of the undifferentiated mesoderm was highest in the distal mesoderm underlying the AER and more reduced at proximal levels of the bud, in the mesoderm located under the dorsal and ventral ectoderm. Importantly, the undifferentiated mesoderm underlying the AER, which is termed the "progress zone mesoderm" is responsible for outgrowth of limb along the proximo-distal axis.

## Expression of $Tgf\beta s$ is coincident with regions of Smad 2, and Smad 3 activation

Initial studies of Tgf $\beta$  gene expression by Q-PCR showed that Tgf $\beta$ 2 and Tgf $\beta$ 3 are the main components of this

family expressed in the early developing limb and the number of transcripts increases as development proceeds (Figure 2A). In contrast Tgf $\beta$ 1 (formerly Tgf $\beta$ 4 in chicken) is expressed at very low levels. Expression levels of *Activins* and *Nodal* were also analyzed because these factors also activate Smad 2 and Smad 3 signaling. However, transcripts of *Activin beta* A and B subunits were almost undetectable (Figure 2B) and *Nodal* was absent (not shown). The pattern and intensity of gene expression of  $Tgf\beta$  genes obtained by QPCR was similar in the early wing and leg buds (not shown), but domains of expression were better detected by in situ hybridization in the former.


Transcripts of  $Tgf\beta 2$  are first detected in the somatic mesoderm associated with the limb region at stage HH17-18 (Figure 3A). Next in development (stages HH19-22), transcripts are widespread through the limb mesoderm with domains of increased expression in the posterior and ventral regions of the bud (Figure 3B-E). By stages HH23 and HH24 expression is concentrated in the dorsal and ventral regions of the limb bud (Figure 3F-G). At these stages a mild but significant labeling is also observed in the AER (Figure 3H). From stage HH26 onwards labeling is associated with the developing digits and its expression pattern has been previously reported [12,17]. Tgf\beta3 is expressed in the undifferentiated mesoderm of the early limb bud without specific domains until stage HH24, when transcripts accumulated in the dorsal and ventral mesoderm in the region occupied by the premuscular masses (Figure 3I).

Tgf $\beta$ 1 expression by in situ hybridization is almost absent from the limb bud and lacks specific domains of expression.

#### Expression and regulation of LTBP genes

To better characterize the signaling pathway activated by Tgf $\beta$ s in the early limb bud we decided to study the expression of *LTBP*s as potential players of active Tgf $\beta$  delivery (Figure 4). In vertebrates there are 3 LTBP isoforms, namely LTBP-1, -3 and -4, which are able to bind and deliver Tgf $\beta$ s [18] while the function of LTBP-2 remains uncertain [19]. We have studied by in situ hybridization the pattern of expression in the developing chicken limb of *Ltbp1*, 2 and 3 and all of them showed specific expression domains.

In the early limb bud, Ltbp1 is highly expressed in the AER (Figure 4A-B) and with much reduced intensity in the non-ridge ectoderm. By stage HH24 transcripts were also abundant in the dorsal mesoderm (Figure 4C). At later stages, expression of Ltbp1 was very intense in the condensing prechondrogenic aggregates of the developing digits (Figure 4F) where Tgf $\beta$ s exert an important role in tissue differentiation [12]. Analysis of local gene regulation following local application of beads bearing BMP7,



**Figure 1 pSmad 2 and 3 distribution during early limb development.** (**A**) Immunolabeling for p-Smad2 in limb buds at stage HH24 showing negative labeling of the central chondrogenic mesenchyme (c) in contrast with the subectodermal mesoderm and the intense positivity of the AER. (B) Detailed view of the distal tip of the limb bud at stage HH24 showing positive nuclear p-smad 3 immunolabeling (green) in the AER and distal mesenchyme contrasted with cytoplasmic phalloidin-TRITC labeling (red). B' shows only the green channel in B to see the positive nuclear labeling. (C) Detailed view of pSMAD3 nuclear labeling (green) counterstained with phalloidin (red). C' shows only green channel in C.

another well characterized regulator of limb development, showed an intense negative influence of BMPs on *Ltbp* gene expression in the AER (Figure 4D). This negative expression regulation was more intense than that induced in the markers of the AER, like *Fgf8* (Figure 4E).

Prior to stage HH25, *Ltbp2* was coexpressed with *Ltbp1* in the AER (Figure 4G). At more advanced stages of development, *Ltbp2* was expressed in the developing digits marking the zones of joint formation (Figure 4H-I).

Ltbp3 was not detected by in situ hybridization in the early limb bud. At more advanced stages Ltbp3 was expressed at very low levels in the differentiating phalangeal perichondrium and interphalangeal joints (not shown).

In view of the spatial distribution of the *Ltbp1* transcripts described above, we next analyzed by immunohistochemical approaches whether its protein distribution corresponded with regions of high Smad signaling. As shown in Figure 5 at initial stages of limb development, LTBP1 immunolabeling showed positive labeling in the ectodermal cells, with higher intensity in the AER (Figure 5A-A"). In addition a dotted labeling pattern was also appreciated in the mesodermal extracellular matrix (Figure 4B-B"), which correlated with the zones of intense p-Smad2 and 3 immunolabeling (see Figure 1). Neither the cellular nor the extracellular labeling was present in control sections unexposed to the primary antibody (Figure 5C-C").




Figure 2 Expression levels of different members of transforming growth factor β subfamily in the limb bud. (A-B) Charts in A and B show the relative level of expression for the members of the Tgfβ subfamily (A) and for *Activin* subunits βa and βb (B). Note that tgfβ1 gene expression is the lowest of the three members of the family either at early limb bud stages (HH20; bars on the left in A) or at intermediate stages of limb development (HH24; bars on the right in A). (B) *Activin* βa and *Activin* βb displayed almost undetectable levels of expression by QPCR at the same stages of limb development. *Nodal* expression was totally absent and is not shown on the chart. All data was analyzed by real time QPCR and tgfβ1 expression at HH20 was used as calibrator. (\*) p-value ≤ 0,05 or (\*\*\*) p-value ≤ 0.01 or (\*\*\*) p-value ≤ 0.001 using HH20 tgfβ1 expression level as calibrator.




Figure 3  $Tgf\beta$  gene expression in the developing limb. (A-H) Gene expression of  $tqf\beta 2$  analyzed by in situ hybridization. (A) Whole mount in situ hybridization of an embryo at stage HH18 showing transcripts of  $tgf\beta 2$  at the level of the limb field in the somatic mesoderm on the flank of the embryos (arrow). (B) Transverse vibratome section at the level of the wing bud (W) of an embryo at stage HH19 showing intense labeling in the somatopleura and associated limb mesenchyme (black arrow). Note also taf\( \beta 2 \) transcripts in the floor plate of the neural tube (NT) and notochord (white arrow). (C-E) Detailed views of the wing bud at stages HH20 (C), HH21 (D), and HH22 (E) showing the expression domain of  $tgf\beta 2$  in the mesenchyme of the posterior margin of the bud (arrows). (F-H) Tqf\u03bb2 expression domains at stage HH24 are present in the dorsal and ventral mesoderm and in the AER. (F) Longitudinal vibratome section of the wing bud showing the intense accumulation of transcripts in the dorsal and ventral mesoderm associated with the premuscle masses, and a more reduced expression in the distal undiferentiated mesoderm (arrows). (G and H) are whole mount specimens illustrating the dorsal expression domain (G) and the AER domain (H). (I) Longitudinal section of the embryonic wing bud at stage HH24 showing the expression of  $tgf\beta3$  in the dorsal and ventral regions outlining the territory that is occupied by the premuscle masses. Note lower levels of expression in the undifferentiated distal limb mesoderm (arrow).

#### Exogenous Tgfβs inhibit proliferation in limb bud explants

Taking into account that Tgf $\beta$  signaling is active in the AER and progress zone mesenchyme prior to the onset of tissue differentiation we decided to explore the possible influence Tgf $\beta$ -signaling in the control of mesodermal





Figure 4 Expression of Ltbps in the developing limb. (A-F) Expression and regulation of Ltbp-1. (A) Whole mount in situ hybridization of Ltbp-1 gene showing intense labeling in the apical ectodermal ridge of the developing leg bud at stage HH20. (B-C) Whole-mount in situ hybridizations showing the expression of Ltbp-1 at stage HH24. Note that in addition to the AER domain, expression is also observed in the dorsal surface of the limb bud (arrows). (D) Image shows a dramatic downregulation of Ltbp1 in the AER eight hours after the application of a BMP7- bead in the progress zone mesoderm (arrow). Compare the absence of labeling in the treated left limb with respect to the contralateral untreated control. (E) Whole mount in situ hybridization showing a more moderate downregulation of Fqf8 in the AER in a limb bud subjected to the same treatment to that shown in D (arrow shows the position of the BMP-bead). (F) Whole mount in situ hybridization showing the expression of Ltbp1 in the developing digits at stage HH26. (G-I) Expression of Ltbp2 in early (stage HH20; G) and late stages of limb development (stages HH30 and HH32; H and I). Note the expression domain in the AER of early limb buds (arrow in G) and the domains of the developing interphalangeal joints at the stages of digit morphogenesis (arrowheads in H and I).

cell proliferation. To check this potential function we set up cultures of the whole leg buds at stages HH20 or HH21 and mesodermal cell proliferation was evaluated by flow cytometry in untreated control explants and in explants cultured for 18 hr in presence of 0.033  $\mu g/ml$  TGF $\beta 1$ . As shown in Fig 6, cell proliferation in the treated explants was seen to undergo a 35% reduction in comparison with untreated cultures.

## Tgf $\beta$ s regulate *Gremlin* gene expression in the early growing limb bud

In view of the reduced proliferation observed in limb explants cultured in presence of  $Tgf\beta$  we explored by QPCR changes in the expression of genes implicated in the control of limb outgrowth. In previous experiments



**Figure 5 LTBP1 immunolabeling in the early limb bud.** (A-B") LTBP1 immunolabeling (green) counterstained with cytoplasmic phalloidin-TRITC labeling (red) of an early limb bud section (stage HH22) at the level of the AER. In all cases the merge images (A and B); the green channel for LTBP1 immunolabeling (A' and B'); and the red channel for actin labeling with phalloidin-TRITC (A" and B") are shown. (A-A") Note the strong labeling of the cells of the AER and the positive extracellular dotted labeling pattern in the underlying mesenchyme. (B-B") detailed view of the region outlined by an square in A', showing the positive labeling of the matrix. Note the absence of overlapping between the cytoplasmic red labeling and the green spots indicative of its location in the pericellular space. (C-C") Control section of a similar sample unexposed to the primary antibody for LTBP1.

we observed that neither *Scleraxis* nor *Sox 9* or *MyoD* were regulated after 18 hr of culture in presence of TGFβs (Figure 7A), thus ruling out these cytokines having an effect inducing a precocious differentiation of the limb mesenchyme (see below). As markers for factors involved in the control of limb outgrowth we chose *Fgf8*, *Fgf10* and the BMP antagonist *Gremlin*. Neither *Fgf8* nor *Fgf10* expression were significantly modified in the 18 hr timeperiod of culture chosen for these experiments, although the levels of expression of *Fgf10* were always lower in the treated limbs (Figure 7A). In contrast, during the same time period *Gremlin* was intensely down-regulated (Figure 7A). This in vitro regulation of gene expression was

also confirmed in vivo by in situ hybridization following the implantation of beads bearing TGF $\beta$ 1 or TGF $\beta$ 2 into the limb primordium (4 out of 5 experiments; Figure 7B).

Since Gremlin, by neutralizing BMPs, contributes towards maintaining the expression of Fgf8 in the AER [20], we next explored, by in situ hybridization, changes in the expression of Fgf8 in explants, or in the limb buds in vivo, exposed to TGF $\beta1$  for longer time periods. Differences were not appreciated in explants during the first 24 hr of culture (Fig 7C-D) but a moderate downregulation of Fgf8 in the AER was appreciated in limbs 30 hr after the implantation of heparin beads bearing TGF $\beta1$  (2 out of 5 experimental embryos; Figure 7E-F)




Figure 6 Effect of Tgf $\beta$  treatments on mesodermal proliferation in early limb buds. (A) Representative flow cytometry histograms to evaluate proliferation in limb bud explants of stage HH20/21 cultured for 18 hours in control media or in a medium supplemented with 0.033  $\mu$ g/ml Tgf $\beta$ 1 after labeling with Edu. (Left): control unlabeled sample; (middle): control sample untreated with Tgf $\beta$ ; (right) Tgf $\beta$  treated sample. The percentage of labeled cells is indicated in the histogram. (B) Bars graph summarizing the rate of cell proliferation in control and treated limb explants.




Figure 7 Gremlin expression is modulated by Tgfβ signaling in the early limb bud. (A) Charts shows QPCR results for different genes from limb explants of stage HH20/21 cultured for 18 hours in control media (grey bars) or supplemented with 0.033 μg/ml Tgfβ1 (white bars). From left to right we show results for gremlin, fqf8, fqf10, scleraxis, sox9 and myoD. Each pair of bars shows control sample on the left and experimental situation on the right. The level of expression in controls was used as calibrator for each gene. Only Gremlin expression shows significantly lower levels of expression after Tgfβ treatments. (\*) p-value ≤ 0,05 using control expression level as calibrator. (B) In situ hybridization showing down-regulation of *Gremlin* 8 hr after the implantation of a Tgf $\beta$ 1-loaded bead (\*) in the right wing bud. (C-D) expression of Fgf8 in control (C) and Tgfβ treated limb explant (D) after 20 hr of culture. Note that expression is similar in control and experimental explants. (E-F) expression of Fgf8 in the AER of control (E) and experimental limb bud (F) 30 hr after the implantation of a Tgfβ-bead in the mesoderm subjacent to the AER (arrow). Note the irregularity of the expression domain in the experimental limb in comparison with the control untreated limb.

#### **Discussion**

Our study provides evidence of the implication of Tgfß signaling in early stages of limb development. The distribution of phosphorylated Smad 2 and 3 in the limb bud indicates that the AER, the distal undifferentiated mesoderm, and the dorsal and ventral mesoderm are zones of remarkable signaling activity, while the differentiating central core mesoderm at these stages is almost negative. According to our expression analysis Tgf\(\beta\)2 and Tgf\(\beta\)3 are the two members of this family accounting at these stages for active signaling in the developing limb, while *Tgfβ1* and other members of the Tgfß superfamily signaling through Smad 2/3 (Activins and Nodal) are almost absent. We have also observed that the zones of active signaling correlated closely with the domains of expression of *Ltbp1*, which is an important extracellular regulator of the signaling pathway. In physiological conditions, Tgfβs are secreted as inactive complexes consisting of Tgfβ cytokine, a N-terminal latency associated peptide (LAP) and Latent Tgfβ binding protein (LTBP; [5]). Studies in a variety of systems have shown that LTBP regulates the bioavailability of Tgf\u00bbs facilitating its secretion, storing the inactive  $Tgf\beta$  within the extracellular matrix, and, regulating its activation in the pericellular space (see [21,22]). Accordingly, Tgf\( \beta \) activity appears decreased in mice harboring mutations of Ltbp genes (see [23]). Consistent with these functions we have observed that domains of expression of Ltbp1 correlate closely with the zones of high p-Smad 2 and 3 immunolabeling.

A considerable number of studies have previously addressed the role of Tgf\betas in limb development. From these studies  $Tgf\beta$  signaling is currently associated with regulation of chondrogenesis, tenogenesis, myogenesis and joint formation [9-14]. Here we observed that mesodermal proliferation is significantly reduced in limb bud explants cultured in presence of Tgfβs. These findings are consistent with the recognized antiproliferative effects of Tgf $\beta$  in other systems [24], but the precise mechanism responsible for such reduced mesodermal cell proliferation following treatments with Tgfβs remains elusive. The absence of up-regulation in the expression of Scleraxis, Sox 9 and MyoD, which are major regulators of tendon, cartilage and muscle differentiation respectively, contrast with the intense regulation of these factors observed at more advanced stages of limb development [11,12,25]. This finding rules out the posibility that inhibition of proliferation was secondary to the initiation of mesodermal cell differentiation and reveals a different stage-dependent response of the limb mesoderm to this signaling pathway. We have also observed that expression of Fgf8 and Fgf10, which are key factors in the control of limb

outgrowth [26,27], is not modified in short term treatments with Tgfβs. Consistent with our findings, up-regulation of Tgfβ signaling has been previously proposed as being responsible for reduced limb outgrowth in different experimental approaches [28,29], however, mutants with defective Tgf\u00e8 signaling tend to exhibit limbs of reduced rather than elongated size [10]. In the case of Tgfβ2-deficient mice, which is the predominant member of the family expressed at early stages of limb development, the limbs appear grossly deformed and abnormally rotated accompanied by a reduced size of the zeugopodial skeleton of the forelimb [30]. Hence, the effect on cell proliferation detected in our study does not appear to be reflected in the phenotype of mice with defective Tgfβsignaling. It is likely that this influence in proliferation might be obscured in the course of development as Tgfβs at more advanced stages of development are abundantly expressed in the growth plate of long bones and participate in the control of chondrocyte differentiation, proliferation, matrix synthesis, and mineralization [31].

Studies on a variety of developing systems have provided evidence of a functional interplay between Tgfβand BMP-signaling. A good example of these interactions is observed in the growth plate of postnatal mice. In this system chondrocyte maturation involves a coordination between negative and positive effects of Tgf\u00bbs and BMPs respectively [31]. In the developing limb the formation of the cartilaginous primordia of the digits appears to also be regulated by the local interplay between both signaling pathways [32]. Here we have shown that Tgfβ-signaling has a negative influence in the expression of Gremlin, a secreted BMP antagonist that has a key function in the maintenance of limb outgrowth [20,33,34]. The physiological function of Gremlin is to counteract the negative effects of BMPs on the AER [35,36] and its downregulation at the end of limb morphogenesis causes the termination of limb outgrowth [37]. Altogether these findings suggest the occurrence of a negative interactive loop between Tgfβs and BMPs implicated in the regulation of limb outgrowth. However, additional and/or alternative growth regulatory mechanisms cannot be ruled out, as the decreased mesodermal limb proliferation caused by Tgfβ treatments was detected prior to the down-regulation of *Fgf*8 in the AER. Another possibility, which cannot be ruled out, is that the interaction between Tgf\betas and BMPs was also associated with the recent demonstrated function of Tgfβs modulating the response of early limb mesodermal cells to BMP-signaling (see [38]).

### **Conclusions**

The possible role of  $Tgf\beta s$  in early limb bud development has been poorly studied to date. Here we have characterized the expression of the different  $Tgf\beta s$  and the  $Tgf\beta$  extracellular regulators Ltbps in this model. The expres-

sion in the Apical Ectodermal ridge and undifferentiated mesoderm, together with the pattern of activation of the intracellular Tgf $\beta$  canonical pathway mediated by Smads transcription factors, indicate a possible role of these cytokines modulating limb bud outgrowth. Indeed we further show that Tgf $\beta$ s reduce cell proliferation in the undifferentiated limb mesenchyme. Concomitant with this role we find that BMP and TGF $\beta$  signaling pathways establish a cross-regulation of their modulators Ltbp1 and Gremlin respectively during early limb bud development

#### **Methods**

In this work, we employed Rhode Island chicken embryos ranging from 2,5 to 5 days of incubation corresponding to stages 18 to 32 of Hamburger and Hamilton (HH).

#### In vivo experimental manipulation of the limb

Eggs were windowed at the desired stages and experimental manipulations of the limb were performed in the right leg bud using forceps to handle the embryo and membranes. Local treatments were performed by application at the desired regions of heparin (Sigma) or Affi-Gel blue (BioRad) beads incubated for 1 hour in 5  $\mu$ g/ml Tgf $\beta$ 1, 5  $\mu$ g/ml Tgf $\beta$ 2, (R&D Systems) or 0,5 mg/ml BMP7 (a gift of Creative Biomolecules, Hopkinton, MA). After manipulation, the eggs were sealed and changes in gene expression were analyzed by in situ hybridization

#### **Explant cultures**

Limbs of stages HH20 or HH21 embryos were sectioned using iridotome and placed on 0.4  $\mu m$  Culture Plate Insert Millicell (Millipore) for further culture in DMEM (100 units/ml penicillin and 100  $\mu g/ml$  streptomycin). In experimental explants 0.033  $\mu g/ml$  Tgf $\beta 1$  were added to the medium. After 18-20 hr of culture samples were processed for mRNA extraction and QPCR analysis.

#### Flow cytometry

Limb explants of stage HH20/21 cultured for 18 hours in control media or in a medium supplemented with 0.033  $\mu g/ml$  Tgf $\beta 1$  were dissociated to single-cell level in order to perform flow cytometry analysis based on direct DNA labeling of proliferating mesodermal cells using 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) chemistry [39]. The EdU labeling was performed using the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 488 Flow Cytometry Assay Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each sample consisted of 8 limb buds.

#### Antibodies, immunolabeling and Confocal Microscopy

The following primary polyclonal rabbit antibodies were used: phospho-Smad2 (Ser465/467; Cell Signaling); phospho-Smad3 (Cell Signaling); and LTBP1 (Santa Cruz signaling). Actin staining using 1% or Phalloidin-TRITC

(Sigma) was performed to mark cytoplasm and enhance nuclear or extracellular labeling. For immunolabeling samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and sectioned  $100~\mu m$  thick in a vibratome. Samples were examined with a laser confocal microscope (LEICA LSM 510) by using a Plan-Neofluar  $10\times$ ,  $20\times$  or Plan-Apochromat  $63\times$  objectives, and an argon ion laser (488 nm) to excite FITC fluorescence and a HeNe laser (543 nm) to excite TRITC.

#### Probes and in situ hybridization

In this study we used probes for  $tgf\beta2$  [8],  $tgf\beta3$  [8], grem-lin [40], fgf8 and fgf10, (kindly provided by Cliff Tabin). In addition, fragments of chicken  $tgf\beta1$ , ltbp1, ltbp2 and ltbp3 genes were obtained by RT-PCR. First-strand cDNA was synthesized with random hexamers and M-MulV reverse transcriptase (Fermentas) and 1 mg of total RNA from day 7 autopods. The following primers were used for subsequent PCR amplification: for  $tgf\beta1$  5'-tct-tcgtgttcaacgtgtcc-3' and 5'-cgcagcagttcttctcatcc-3'; for ltbp-1 5'-tgcatcaacctaactgtgca-3' and 5'-tcggaagttagtggctgtca-3'; for ltbp-2 5'-agatcacctggatgtctgc-3' and 5'-ctcacagccattgagaatgc-3' and for ltbp-3 5'-attcggaggagcagagcagagcand 5'-tggcagtggcagttgtagg-3'.

The PCR conditions were 94°C, 4 min and then 35 cycles of 94°C, 20 s; 60°C, 30 s; 72°C, 60 s; and final extension at 72°C, 10 min. PCR products were subcloned into pGEM T-easy (Promega). Sequencing of the probes was performed to verify specificity. Digoxygenin-labeled sense and antisense RNA probes were generated for in situ hybridization analysis.

In situ hybridization of control and treated limbs was performed in 100  $\mu$ m vibratome sectioned specimens. Samples were treated with 10  $\mu$ g/ml of proteinase K for 20-30 minutes at 20°C. Hybridization with fluorescein or digoxigenin labeled antisense RNA probes was performed at 68°C. Alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody (dilution 1:2000) was used (Roche). Reactions were developed with BCIP/NBT substrate as the chromogene (Roche).

## Real time quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) for gene expression analysis

In each experiment total RNA was extracted and cleaned from specimens using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA samples were quantified using a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies ND-1000). First-strand cDNA was synthesized by RT-PCR using random hexamers, the M-MulV reverse transcriptase (Fermentas). The cDNA concentration was measured in a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies ND-1000) and adjusted to 0.5  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l. Q-PCR was performed using the Mx3000P system (Stratagene) with automation attachment. In this work, we have used SYBRGreen based QPCR. *Gapdh* had no

significant variation in expression across the sample set and therefore was chosen as the normalizer in our experiments. Mean values for fold changes were calculated for each gene. Expression level was calculated relative to a calibrator according to the  $2^{-(\Delta \Delta Ct)}$  equation [41]. Each value in this work represents the mean values and SEM of at least three independent samples obtained under the same conditions. Each sample consisted of 4 limb buds. Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni tests for post-hoc comparisons between expression levels of Tgfβs, and Student-t-test for gene expression levels in the treated developing limbs. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. All the analyses were done using SPSS for Windows version 15.0. Primers for QPCR were: for sox9 5'-gaggaagtcggtgaagaacg -3' and 5'gatgctggaggatgactgc -3'; for scleraxis 5'-caccaacagcgtcaacacc -3' and 5'-cgtctcgatcttggacagc -3'; for fgf8 5'-cgtgttcatgcacttgttcg -3' and 5'-gatctgtcaccaggctctgc -3'; for fgf10 5'-atcgagaagaacggcaagg -3' 5'-ggacttaactgccacaactcc -3'; for tgfβ1 5'-acctegacacegactactge -3'and 5'-ettecactgcagatcettge -3'; for tgfβ2 5'-tgcactgetateteetgage -3' and 5'-gcatgaactgatccatgtcg -3'; for *tgfβ3* 5'-ctcagtggcaggaatgtgc -3'and 5'-cgaggttggactctctgtgc-3'; and for gremlin 5'agtcgcaccattatcaacagg -3'and 5'-ttgcagaaggaacaagactgg -3'; for nodal 5'-ccaagaagtacaacgcctacc -3'and 5'-gcatgtacgcgtgattgc -3'; for activin βA 5'-gctgactgtccatcatgtgc -3'and 5'-actgcttccaccatctcagg -3'; for activin βB 5'-tggatcatagcaccatcagg -3'and 5'-gcattcggtacttgattcacg -3'; myoD 5'-acggcatgatggagtacagc -3'and 5'-tccgtgtagtagctgctgtcg -3'.

#### Authors' contributions

CILD and JAM performed the largest part of the experiments and participated in the design of the study, documentation, evaluation and interpretation of data and in the writing of the manuscript. JAGP collaborated in some experiments and in the design of the study. JMH participated in the design of the study, evaluation of results and interpretation of data, and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Montse Fernandez Calderon and Sonia Perez Mantecon for excellent technical assistance. This work was supported by a grant from the Spanish Education and Sciences Ministry to JMH (BFU2008-03930). CILD is a recipient of a predoctoral fellowship from the Spanish Education and Sciences Ministry.

#### **Author Details**

Departamento de Anatomía y Biología Celular. Universidad de Cantabria/ IFIMAV. Santander 39011. Spain

Received: 12 April 2010 Accepted: 21 June 2010 Published: 21 June 2010

#### References

- Wu MY, Hill CS: Tgf-beta superfamily signaling in embryonic development and homeostasis. Dev Cell 2009, 16(3):329-343.
- Hata A, Davis BN: Control of microRNA biogenesis by TGFbeta signaling pathway-A novel role of Smads in the nucleus. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2009, 20(5-6):517-521.
- Schmierer B, Hill CS: TGFbeta-SMAD signal transduction: molecular specificity and functional flexibility. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2007, 8(12):970-982.

- Ikushima H, Miyazono K: Cellular context-dependent "colors" of transforming growth factor-beta signaling. Cancer Sci 2010, 101(2):306-312.
- Rifkin DB: Latent transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) binding proteins: orchestrators of TGF-beta availability. J Biol Chem 2005, 280(9):7409-7412.
- Moustakas A, Heldin CH: The regulation of TGFbeta signal transduction. Development 2009, 136(22):3699-3714.
- Millan FA, Denhez F, Kondaiah P, Akhurst RJ: Embryonic gene expression patterns of TGF beta 1, beta 2 and beta 3 suggest different developmental functions in vivo. Development 1991, 111(1):131-143.
- Merino R, Ganan Y, Macias D, Economides AN, Sampath KT, Hurle JM: Morphogenesis of digits in the avian limb is controlled by FGFs, TGFbetas, and noggin through BMP signaling. *Dev Biol* 1998, 200(1):35-45.
- Spagnoli A, O'Rear L, Chandler RL, Granero-Molto F, Mortlock DP, Gorska AE, Weis JA, Longobardi L, Chytil A, Shimer K, Moses HL: TGF-beta signaling is essential for joint morphogenesis. J Cell Biol 2007, 177(6):1105-1117.
- Seo HS, Serra R: Deletion of Tgfbr2 in Prx1-cre expressing mesenchyme results in defects in development of the long bones and joints. *Dev Biol* 2007, 310(2):304-316.
- Pryce BA, Watson SS, Murchison ND, Staverosky JA, Dunker N, Schweitzer R: Recruitment and maintenance of tendon progenitors by TGF{beta} signaling are essential for tendon formation. *Development* 2009, 136(8):1351-1361.
- Lorda-Diez Cl, Montero JA, Martinez-Cue C, Garcia-Porrero JA, Hurle JM: Transforming growth factors beta coordinate cartilage and tendon differentiation in the developing limb mesenchyme. J Biol Chem 2009, 284(43):29988-29996.
- Cusella-De Angelis MG, Molinari S, Le Donne A, Coletta M, Vivarelli E, Bouche M, Molinaro M, Ferrari S, Cossu G: Differential response of embryonic and fetal myoblasts to TGF beta: a possible regulatory mechanism of skeletal muscle histogenesis. Development 1994, 120(4):975-933
- Filvaroff EH, Ebner R, Derynck R: Inhibition of myogenic differentiation in myoblasts expressing a truncated type II TGF-beta receptor. Development 1994, 120(5):1085-1095.
- 15. Vargesson N: Vascularization of the developing chick limb bud: role of the TGFbeta signalling pathway. *J Anat* 2003, **202(1)**:93-103.
- Dunker N, Schmitt K, Krieglstein K: TGF-beta is required for programmed cell death in interdigital webs of the developing mouse limb. *Mech Dev* 2002, 113(2):111-120.
- Ganan Y, Macias D, Duterque-Coquillaud M, Ros MA, Hurle JM: Role of TGF beta s and BMPs as signals controlling the position of the digits and the areas of interdigital cell death in the developing chick limb autopod. *Development* 1996, 122(8):2349-2357.
- Saharinen J, Keski-Oja J: Specific sequence motif of 8-Cys repeats of TGF-beta binding proteins, LTBPs, creates a hydrophobic interaction surface for binding of small latent TGF-beta. Mol Biol Cell 2000, 11(8):2691-2704.
- Hirani R, Hanssen E, Gibson MA: LTBP-2 specifically interacts with the amino-terminal region of fibrillin-1 and competes with LTBP-1 for binding to this microfibrillar protein. Matrix Biol 2007, 26(4):213-223.
- Merino R, Rodriguez-Leon J, Macias D, Ganan Y, Economides AN, Hurle JM: The BMP antagonist Gremlin regulates outgrowth, chondrogenesis and programmed cell death in the developing limb. *Development* 1999, 126(23):5515-5522.
- Todorovic V, Frendewey D, Gutstein DE, Chen Y, Freyer L, Finnegan E, Liu F, Murphy A, Valenzuela D, Yancopoulos G, Rifkin DB: Long form of latent TGF-beta binding protein 1 (Ltbp1L) is essential for cardiac outflow tract septation and remodeling. *Development* 2007, 134(20):3723-3732.
- Koli K, Saharinen J, Hyytiainen M, Penttinen C, Keski-Oja J: Latency, activation, and binding proteins of TGF-beta. Microsc Res Tech 2001, 52(4):354-362.
- Yoshinaga K, Obata H, Jurukovski V, Mazzieri R, Chen Y, Zilberberg L, Huso D, Melamed J, Prijatelj P, Todorovic V, Dabovic B, Rifkin DB: Perturbation of transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta1 association with latent TGFbeta binding protein yields inflammation and tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105(48):18758-18763.

- Combs SE, Krieglstein K, Unsicker K: Reduction of endogenous TGF-beta increases proliferation of developing adrenal chromaffin cells in vivo. J Neurosci Res 2000, 59(3):379-383.
- Chimal-Monroy J, Rodriguez-Leon J, Montero JA, Ganan Y, Macias D, Merino R, Hurle JM: Analysis of the molecular cascade responsible for mesodermal limb chondrogenesis: Sox genes and BMP signaling. *Dev Biol* 2003, 257(2):292-301.
- Boulet AM, Moon AM, Arenkiel BR, Capecchi MR: The roles of Fgf4 and Fgf8 in limb bud initiation and outgrowth. *Dev Biol* 2004, 273(2):361-372.
- Mao J, McGlinn E, Huang P, Tabin CJ, McMahon AP: Fgf-dependent Etv4/ 5 activity is required for posterior restriction of Sonic Hedgehog and promoting outgrowth of the vertebrate limb. Dev Cell 2009, 16(4):600-606.
- 28. Tsuiki H, Kishi K: Retinoid-induced limb defects 2: involvement of TGF-beta 2 in retinoid-induced inhibition of limb bud development. *Reprod Toxicol* 1999, **13(2)**:113-122.
- Ferguson CM, Schwarz EM, Puzas JE, Zuscik MJ, Drissi H, O'Keefe RJ: Transforming growth factor-beta1 induced alteration of skeletal morphogenesis in vivo. J Orthop Res 2004, 22(4):687-696.
- Sanford LP, Ormsby I, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Sariola H, Friedman R, Boivin GP, Cardell EL, Doetschman T: TGFbeta2 knockout mice have multiple developmental defects that are non-overlapping with other TGFbeta knockout phenotypes. Development 1997, 124(13):2659-2670.
- Li TF, Darowish M, Zuscik MJ, Chen D, Schwarz EM, Rosier RN, Drissi H,
  O'Keefe RJ: Smad3-deficient chondrocytes have enhanced BMP
  signaling and accelerated differentiation. J Bone Miner Res 2006,
  21(1):4-16
- Montero JA, Lorda-Diez CI, Ganan Y, Macias D, Hurle JM: Activin/TGFbeta and BMP crosstalk determines digit chondrogenesis. Dev Biol 2008, 321(2):343-356.
- Capdevila J, Tsukui T, Rodriquez Esteban C, Zappavigna V, Izpisua Belmonte JC: Control of vertebrate limb outgrowth by the proximal factor Meis2 and distal antagonism of BMPs by Gremlin. Mol Cell 1999, 4(5):839-849.
- 34. Zuniga A, Haramis AP, McMahon AP, Zeller R: Signal relay by BMP antagonism controls the SHH/FGF4 feedback loop in vertebrate limb buds. *Nature* 1999, 401(6753):598-602.
- Ganan Y, Macias D, Basco RD, Merino R, Hurle JM: Morphological diversity of the avian foot is related with the pattern of msx gene expression in the developing autopod. Dev Biol 1998, 196(1):33-41.
- Pizette S, Niswander L: BMPs negatively regulate structure and function of the limb apical ectodermal ridge. Development 1999, 126(5):883-894.
- 37. Verheyden JM, Sun X: An Fgf/Gremlin inhibitory feedback loop triggers termination of limb bud outgrowth. *Nature* 2008, **454(7204)**:638-641.
- Karamboulas K, Dranse HJ, Underhill TM: Regulation of BMP-dependent chondrogenesis in early limb mesenchyme by TGF{beta} signals. J Cell Sci 2010, 123(Pt 12):2068-2076.
- Warren M, Puskarczyk K, Chapman SC: Chick embryo proliferation studies using EdU labeling. Dev Dyn 2009, 238(4):944-949.
- Merino R, Rodriguez-Leon J, Macias D, Ganan Y, Economides AN, Hurle JM: The BMP antagonist Gremlin regulates outgrowth, chondrogenesis and programmed cell death in the developing limb. *Development* 1999, 126(23):5515-5522.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 2001, 25(4):402-408.

#### doi: 10.1186/1471-213X-10-69

Cite this article as: Lorda-Diez et al., Tgf?2 and 3 are coexpressed with their extracellular regulator Ltbp1 in the early limb bud and modulate mesodermal outgrowth and BMP signaling in chicken embryos BMC Developmental Biology 2010, 10:69