9

1	New perspectives into bacterial DNA transfer to human cells
2	Matxalen Llosa ¹ , Gunnar Schröder ^{2, 3} , and Christoph Dehio ² *
3	
4	¹ Departamento de Biología Molecular, Universidad de Cantabria (UC), and IBBTEC (UC-
5	CSIC-SODERCAN), Santander, Spain; ² Research Area Infection Biology, Biozentrum of
6	the University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ³ Present address: European Patent Office,
7	Berlin, Germany
8	
9	*Corresponding author: Dehio, C. (<u>christoph.dehio@unibas.ch</u>)

- 1 -

1 Abstract

2	The type IV secretion system (T4SS) VirB/D4 of the facultative intracellular pathogen
3	Bartonella henselae is known to translocate bacterial effector proteins into human
4	cells. Two recent reports on DNA transfer into human cells have demonstrated the
5	versatility of this bacterial secretion system for macromolecular substrate transfer.
6	Moreover, these findings have opened the possibility for developing new tools for DNA
7	delivery into specific human cell types. DNA can be introduced in these cells covalently
8	attached to a site-specific integrase with potential target sequences in the human
9	genome. This novel DNA delivery system is discussed in the context of existing
10	methods for genetic modification of human cells.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Keywords:
22	Type IV secretion / bacterial conjugation / Bartonella / R388 / gene therapy / DNA
23	delivery

1 Genetic modification of human cells: pending issues

2 DNA-based therapeutic strategies such as DNA vaccination and gene therapy aim to 3 alter the genetic content of human cells by the introduction and expression of exogenous DNA. Although it is possible to perform genetic modifications of human 4 5 cells ex vivo followed by reintroduction of the modified cells, the in vivo delivery of 6 DNA has multiple advantages, since it avoids the process of extraction and 7 reintroduction of cells, thus saving time and minimizing intervention on the patient. 8 Most significantly, in vivo modification could be addressed in theory to any cellular 9 type, while many cell types, such as neurons, cannot be isolated, manipulated in vitro and subsequently reimplanted to their original location. To accomplish in vivo genetic 10 11 modification, one of the biggest challenges is to access specifically certain cell types 12 which requires the use of vectors with defined cellular tropism. Currently, a panoply of methods for the introduction of DNA into mammalian cells are available (Figure 1), 13 among which viral vectors are the most effective ¹. However, induction of unintended 14 15 immune responses, the inherent risks associated with random DNA insertion and the 16 limited size of the DNA that can be cloned into viral vectors represent critical issues for 17 their safe use and limit their clinical application. Gene delivery methods based on synthetic vectors bear less risks, although they are also less effective due to the 18 transient nature of transgene expression 2,3 . 19

Bacteria-mediated transfer of plasmid DNA into mammalian cells has been assayed for more than a decade ⁴⁻⁶. Delivery of genetic material is achieved through entry of the entire bacterium into target cells. Once inside, bacteria lyse and the DNA liberated to the cytoplasm can transfer to the nucleus, probably during open mitosis, facilitating its expression. However, the complex processes underlying this gene

- 3 -

1 delivery process known as 'bactofection' remain elusive in many aspects. The 2 efficiency of bactofection may vary depending on the ability of the bacterial strain to 3 replicate inside host cells, or its location in intracellular vacuoles and the capacity to exit into the cytoplasm. Bacterial DNA delivery to human cells by bactofection has 4 5 been accomplished mainly with invasive enterobacteria such as the intra-vacuolar pathogen Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium⁷. However, the intra-cytoplasmic 6 pathogen Listeria monocytogenes has also been employed to specifically target DNA 7 into tumor cells⁸. Once inside host cells, *Listeria* escapes from the vacuole into the 8 9 cytoplasm, and has the potential for cell-to-cell spread. An alternative approach for bactofection with bacterial pathogens has been the use of lactic acid bacteria, which as 10 11 commensal bacteria pose less safety issues, but also need further engineering to become invasive and deliver their cargo inside target cells⁹. Pre-clinical studies have 12 already demonstrated the potential of bactofection for vaccination against infectious 13 diseases. In oncology, bactofection has potential in immunotherapy and tumor 14 15 targeting; and in gastroenterology, where this gene delivery method can be employed for the topical synthesis of immunomodulatory cytokines ¹⁰. A key advantage of 16 17 bacteria as DNA delivery vectors is their ability to transfer large DNA molecules. One of 18 the problems encountered in transfection of mammalian cells is the possibility of 19 mechanical breakage of these large molecules during the purification process. Bactofection via invasive bacterial vectors allows transfer of intact bacterial artificial 20 chromosomes (BACs) containing therapeutic genes ¹¹. Another approach is the 21 construction of 'bacterial ghosts' ¹²: empty cell envelopes of Gram-negative bacteria, 22 23 loaded with protein or DNA content, which retain the intact surface and are easily 24 recognized by professional antigen presenting cells.

- 4 -

1	To accomplish stable expression, DNA delivery should be followed by
2	integration of incoming DNA. Integrative viruses can randomly integrate into the
3	genome, however, random integration bears the inherent risk of activating oncogenes
4	or respectively inactivating tumor suppressor genes, as it has been reported previously
5	¹³ . The preferable alternative is site-specific integration. Gene targeting, intended to
6	integrate foreign DNA in place of the endogenous counterpart, has advanced steadily
7	in recent years mainly due to the use of zinc-finger nucleases ¹⁴ , but these are hard to
8	build, and may not be targeted to any desired DNA sequence 15 . Application of site
9	specific recombinases, which direct integration of the foreign DNA into a specific site in
10	the genome is limited in that target sites are rare or must be previously engineered ¹⁶ .
11	Also, toxicity of recombinases of viral origin has been reported ¹⁷ . Novel approaches
12	are required to overcome the limitations of the existing methodologies for DNA
13	delivery and site-specific integration in the human genome.
13 14	delivery and site-specific integration in the human genome.
	delivery and site-specific integration in the human genome. DNA delivery through bacterial type IV secretion systems (T4SSs)
14	
14 15	DNA delivery through bacterial type IV secretion systems (T4SSs)
14 15 16	DNA delivery through bacterial type IV secretion systems (T4SSs) Some of the described problems for conventional gene delivery methods may
14 15 16 17	DNA delivery through bacterial type IV secretion systems (T4SSs) Some of the described problems for conventional gene delivery methods may be overcome by a recently reported means to deliver DNA into human cells that
14 15 16 17 18	DNA delivery through bacterial type IV secretion systems (T4SSs) Some of the described problems for conventional gene delivery methods may be overcome by a recently reported means to deliver DNA into human cells that exploits a T4SS of the facultative intracellular human pathogen <i>Bartonella henselae</i> ^{18,}
14 15 16 17 18 19	DNA delivery through bacterial type IV secretion systems (T4SSs) Some of the described problems for conventional gene delivery methods may be overcome by a recently reported means to deliver DNA into human cells that exploits a T4SS of the facultative intracellular human pathogen <i>Bartonella henselae</i> ^{18,} ¹⁹ . Bacterial T4SS show a remarkable plasticity in terms of the nature of the substrate
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DNA delivery through bacterial type IV secretion systems (T4SSs) Some of the described problems for conventional gene delivery methods may be overcome by a recently reported means to deliver DNA into human cells that exploits a T4SS of the facultative intracellular human pathogen <i>Bartonella henselae</i> ^{18,} ¹⁹ . Bacterial T4SS show a remarkable plasticity in terms of the nature of the substrate to be secreted, since both protein and DNA molecules are transferred. The final
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DNA delivery through bacterial type IV secretion systems (T4SSs) Some of the described problems for conventional gene delivery methods may be overcome by a recently reported means to deliver DNA into human cells that exploits a T4SS of the facultative intracellular human pathogen <i>Bartonella henselae</i> ^{18,} ¹⁹ . Bacterial T4SS show a remarkable plasticity in terms of the nature of the substrate to be secreted, since both protein and DNA molecules are transferred. The final destination for that cargo is also quite variable since Type IV substrates can be

- 5 -

conjugation ²¹, or effector protein translocation into human cells ²². *Bartonella* spp. 1 2 infect vascular endothelial cells and erythrocytes with the help of two distinct T4SSs, 3 VirB/D4 and Trw, respectively. During the invasion and subsequent intracellular colonization of vascular endothelial cells the VirB/D4 T4SS translocates bacterial 4 effector proteins into the host cell cytoplasm and these proteins play a role in 5 subverting cellular functions to the benefit of the pathogen ^{23, 24}. In bacterial 6 conjugation, a plasmid containing a recognition sequence (origin of transfer, oriT) is 7 8 transferred in the form of a linear single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) from a donor to a recipient bacterium. This allows DNA of large size (up to several megabases) and varied 9 sequence to be transferred in vivo. A current model of the transfer mechanism 10 11 postulates that a single strand of DNA is piloted out of the bacterium through the T4SS 12 by a conjugative protein known as relaxase. In the recipient bacterial cell the relaxase recircularizes the transferred DNA strand ²⁵. 13 14 In the recent reports on T4SS-mediated DNA transfer into human cells, the authors observed translocation of the substrate of a bacterial conjugation system 15 16 (ssDNA covalently linked to the relaxase) mediated by the VirB/D4 T4SS of *B. henselae*. 17 Efficient DNA transfer into vascular endothelial cells was detected by expression of an eGFP cassette encoded on a bacterial plasmid. The plasmid further contained the oriT 18 and conjugative proteins from a cryptic *Bartonella* plasmid ¹⁹ or from the broad-host 19 range conjugative plasmid R388¹⁸, respectively. In both cases, the conjugative relaxase 20

22 TrwC was also required for transfer of R388¹⁸, suggesting that the relaxase-DNA

21

23 complex is translocated by a conjugation-like mechanism. DNA molecules of different

was essential for DNA transfer; moreover, DNA helicase activity from the relaxase

- 6 -

1 lengths were transferred with equal efficiency, as expected for a processive

2 mechanism such as conjugative DNA transfer.

3 No manipulation of the T4SS or conjugative proteins was required to accomplish DNA transfer. Thus, T4SS involved in bacterial virulence are able to mediate 4 transfer of heterologous substrates, including DNA molecules; a result that 5 6 underscores the versatility of these bacterial secretion systems. In conjugation, it is 7 believed that a specific protein, called coupling protein (CP), pumps out the DNA 8 molecule, using energy derived from its ATPase activity. A CP, named VirD4, belongs to the VirB/D4 T4SS of *B. henselae* and was shown to be essential for DNA transfer ¹⁸. 9 Since DNA transfer, in contrast to protein transfer, has so far not been reported to play 10 11 a role in the T4SS-dependent host cell subversion by B. henselae, it was unexpected 12 that VirD4 may pump out DNA. It remains possible that DNA is naturally transferred through the *B. henselae* VirB/D4 T4SS during infection. Transfer of bacterial DNA would 13 allow pathogens to genetically modify the infected cell to their benefit, similar to 14 15 Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated plant transformation, which is accomplished by 16 secretion of transfer DNA (T-DNA) through its T4SS. A bioinformatic search for 17 eukaryotic regulation signals, which could reflect genes which are expressed in the 18 eukaryotic host, could give clues of possible transferred bacterial genes. These findings 19 also imply that plasmids which can naturally exist in *Bartonella* may be naturally 20 transferred into human cells, contributing to possible trans-kingdom DNA transfer 21 events.

22

23 The ubiquity and versatility of T4SSs provide multiple possibilities

- 7 -

In the report by Schröder et al.¹⁹, addition of the defined VirB/D4 translocation 1 domain (BID domain plus charged tail sequence, BID⁺) to the conjugative relaxase 2 3 MobA led to an increase in DNA transfer efficiency by two orders of magnitude (100fold), confirming the role of the translocation signal and opening the way for 4 5 translocation of other proteins or DNA-transfer complexes by addition of this signal. In contrast, in the report by Fernández-González et al. ¹⁸ addition of BID⁺ to the relaxase 6 7 TrwC did not improve DNA transfer efficiency, which was already as efficient as MobA-BID⁺ mediated transfer. The additional requirement for the R388 CP TrwB for efficient 8 9 DNA transfer argues for a TrwB-mediated recruitment of TrwC which may overcome the requirement for a VirB-specific translocation signal. Future experiments addressing 10 11 requirements for substrate recruitment by T4SS will render valuable information in 12 order to address heterologous DNA transfer by T4SS of other human pathogens. As a 13 DNA delivery tool, the interest of this pathway would increase if the T4SS found in many human pathogens could be used in a similar way. Since each pathogen targets 14 15 different cellular types, tropism could be acquired by selecting the appropriate T4SS-16 encoding bacterium depending on the tissue to be targeted – e.g. B. henselae to cure 17 vascular deficiencies, Helicobacter pylori to combat gastric tumors, or Burkholderia cenocepacia to treat cystic fibrosis. 18

A key feature of T4SS-mediated DNA delivery for genomic modification purposes is the fact that DNA is introduced as a protein-ssDNA complex. We speculate that ssDNA may increase integration rates; ssDNA with a free 3'end may induce generation of nucleoprotein-filaments initiating homologous recombination, thus promoting gene targeting. Complementary strand synthesis is assumed to be carried out by replication factors of the host cell without requirement of hairpin structures or

- 8 -

special recruitment factors ^{26, 27}. Single stranded DNA binding proteins (SSB), a primase
and a polymerase should be involved to form a primosome and to convert the ssDNA
into dsDNA. Resulting dsDNA will be hemimethylated and thus more resistant to DNA
endonucleases recognizing foreign methylation patterns.

The possibility of introducing DNA covalently linked to a protein raises other 5 interesting possibilities. Schröder et al.¹⁹ analyzed the fate of the transferred DNA 6 upon selection of stable transformants, and found that incoming DNA integrated 7 8 randomly in the human genome. In one out of eight investigated transformants, the 9 5'end of the transferred DNA was preserved, presumably by covalent attachment of the relaxase, as it happens in the case of *A. tumefaciens* T-DNA transfer to plant cells. 10 11 So, incoming DNA will integrate randomly as reported for other DNA-delivery systems, but in this case, one of the ends of the DNA molecule is more likely to maintain its 12 integrity. Other catalytic domains could be added to the transferred relaxase, 13 providing for instance zinc-finger nuclease or transposase activity. There is an 14 additional advantage for the R388 DNA transfer system employed by Fernández-15 González et al.¹⁸. In bacterial conjugation, the relaxase TrwC of R388 can catalyze site-16 17 specific integration of the transferred DNA strand covalently attached to the protein into the recipient genome ²⁸. TrwC has been shown to catalyze integration into DNA 18 19 sequences present in the human genome, which are highly homologous to its natural target ²⁹. In addition, a TrwC domain with integrase activity is able to target the protein 20 to the nucleus of eukaryotic cells ³⁰. Future research is required to test if TrwC can 21 22 integrate the transferred DNA into the human genome with site-specificity. If this is 23 the case, TrwC mutants could be selected which recognize different target sequences, as already reported ³¹, in order to address integration to selected sequences of the 24

- 9 -

genome; in this way, the number of possible natural targets for integration present in
 the human genome could be increased.

3 In addition to the covalently linked relaxase or fusion protein, T4SS delivery allows for co-delivery of other proteins, provided they carry the T4SS secretion signal. 4 5 Co-transfer of DNA together with other effector proteins could have multiple 6 applications, e.g. to assist nuclear targeting of the transferred DNA and/or to protect it 7 from degradation, to concomitantly knock-out a gene at a different chromosomal locus 8 (mediated by zinc-finger nucleases), or to induce cellular pathways. We speculate that 9 zinc-finger nuclease delivery by T4SS with concomitant ssDNA delivery may represent a particularly effective tool for gene targeting ^{32, 33}. Delivery of heterologous proteins, or 10 11 relaxase fusion proteins, will require a case-by-case study to determine if the substrate 12 can be delivered and is active in the recipient cell; although many relaxases and 13 heterologous proteins have been shown to be active upon T4SS secretion (e.g. relaxase TrwC, or the recombinase Cre fused to a variety of effectors; Draper et al, 2005; 14 15 Schulein et al, 2005), it cannot be guaranteed that other substrates will be properly folded upon translocation. 16

- 10 -

1 Concluding remarks and future directions

2	In summary, the finding that DNA can be introduced into human cells through the T4SS
3	of bacterial pathogens constitutes an additional example of the surprising versatility of
4	these secretion systems, and it provides the foundation for new DNA delivery tools
5	with exciting possibilities. In the long term, different T4SS-containing pathogens could
6	be used to secrete a nucleoprotein complex accompanied by helper proteins,
7	consisting of the DNA of choice covalently linked to a protein with integrase activity,
8	directly into the cytoplasm of their target human cells. The helper proteins will then
9	direct the DNA into the nucleus and catalyze its integration into specific target
10	sequences already present in the human host genome (Figure 1).
11	Before reaching this point, further research is needed to characterize this DNA
12	transfer proccess and its possibilities (Box 1). Right now, it is not known if Bartonella
13	delivers the DNA from the surface upon contact with the eukaryotic host cell, or if it
14	does so from an intracellular compartment. If DNA is delivered from the surface, non-
15	invasive Bartonella mutants could be used as delivery vectors and then removed with
16	antibiotics, without any further perturbation of the eukaryotic cell. The use of
17	pathogens with tropisms for specific cell types may allow cell and tissue-specific gene
18	therapies, but this awaits proof that DNA delivery can also occur through other
19	virulence-associated T4SSs. A possible role of ssDNA in promoting gene targeting
20	would also have to be confirmed. Co-transfer of effector proteins or relaxases with
21	fused active domains opens many possibilities, but this requires specifically designed
22	assays to determine not only efficient protein transport, but measurement of the
23	desired activity in human cells. Finally, the introduction of a site-specific integrase
24	covalently attached to the transferred DNA strand may prove to be a decisive

- 11 -

1 advantage for genetic modification purposes, but the activity of TrwC on its possible 2 target human sequences remains to be tested in human cells. Analysis of human 3 integration sites in stable TrwC-mediated DNA transfer events from Bartonella will allow the frequency of site-specific insertions to be determined. 4 5 In spite of the work ahead, the potential advantages of DNA delivery by 6 bacterial T4SSs as a tool for human genetic modification are outstanding. Conjugative 7 DNA transfer allows the transfer of hundreds or even thousands of kilobases, allowing 8 transfer of native genes with their regulatory sequences into specific cellular types. 9 DNA could be transferred with a variety of proteins contributing to efficient chromosomal integration. The fact that assisting proteins can be transferred with the 10 11 DNA from the bacteria, in place of expressing them in the recipient cell, minimizes toxicity problems. Future work will determine how far T4SS-mediated DNA delivery 12 13 can get. 14 15 Acknowledgements Work in our labs is supported by grants 3100-061777 from the Swiss National Science 16

Foundation and grant 51RT 0 126008 (InfectX) in the frame of SystemsX.ch, the Swiss

18 Initiative for Systems Biology to CD and BIO2010-11623-E from the Spanish Ministry of

19 Science and Innovation to ML.

17

1 References

2	1.	Waehler, R., et al. (2007) Engineering targeted viral vectors for gene therapy. Nat Rev
3		Genet. 8, 573-587.
4	2.	Read, M.L., et al. (2005) Barriers to Gene Delivery Using Synthetic Vectors. Adv Genet.
5		53, 19-46.
6	3.	Elsabahy, M., et al. (2011) Non-viral nucleic acid delivery: key challenges and future
7		directions. Curr Drug Deliv. 8, 235-244.
8	4.	Grillot-Courvalin, C., et al. (1998) Functional gene transfer from intracellular bacteria
9		to mammalian cells. Nat Biotechnol. 16, 862-866.
10	5.	Palffy, R., et al. (2006) Bacteria in gene therapy: bactofection versus alternative gene
11		therapy. Gene Ther. 13, 101-105.
12	6.	Pilgrim, S., et al. (2003) Bactofection of mammalian cells by Listeria monocytogenes:
13		improvement and mechanism of DNA delivery. Gene Ther. 10, 2036-2045.
14	7.	Moreno, M., et al. (2010) Salmonella as live trojan horse for vaccine development and
15		cancer gene therapy. Curr Gene Ther. 10, 56-76.
16	8.	Tangney, M., et al. (2010) The use of Listeria monocytogenes as a DNA delivery vector
17		for cancer gene therapy. <i>Bioeng Bugs</i> . 1, 284-287.
18	9.	Pontes, D.S., et al. (2011) Lactococcus lactis as a live vector: heterologous protein
19		production and DNA delivery systems. Protein Expr Purif. 79, 165-175.
20	10.	Vassaux, G., et al. (2006) Bacterial gene therapy strategies. J Pathol. 208, 290-298.
21	11.	Cheung, W., et al. (2012) Bacterial delivery of large intact genomic-DNA-containing
22		BACs into mammalian cells. <i>Bioeng Bugs</i> . 3.
23	12.	Muhammad, A., et al. (2012) Bacterial ghosts as carriers of protein subunit and DNA-
24		encoded antigens for vaccine applications. Expert Rev Vaccines. 11, 97-116.

1	13.	McCormack, M.P. and T.H. Rabbitts (2004) Activation of the T-cell oncogene LMO2
2		after gene therapy for X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med.
3		350, 913-922.
4	14.	Urnov, F.D., et al. (2005) Highly efficient endogenous human gene correction using
5		designed zinc-finger nucleases. Nature. 435, 646-651.
6	15.	Isalan, M. (2011) Zinc-finger nucleases: how to play two good hands. Nat Methods. 9,
7		32-34.
8	16.	Sorrell, D.A. and A.F. Kolb (2005) Targeted modification of mammalian genomes.
9		Biotechnol Adv. 23, 431-469.
10	17.	Recchia, A. and F. Mavilio (2006) Site-specific integration into the human genome:
11		ready for clinical application? Rejuvenation Res. 9, 446-449.
12	18.	Fernández-González, E., et al. (2011) Transfer of R388 Derivatives by a Pathogenesis-
13		Associated Type IV Secretion System into both Bacteria and Human Cells. J Bacteriol.
14		193, 6257-6265.
15	19.	Schröder, G., et al. (2011) Conjugative DNA transfer into human cells by the
16		VirB/VirD4 type IV secretion system of the bacterial pathogen Bartonella henselae.
17		Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
18	20.	Alvarez-Martínez, C.E. and P.J. Christie (2009) Biological diversity of prokaryotic type
19		IV secretion systems. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 73, 775-808.
20	21.	Schröder, G. and E. Lanka (2005) The mating pair formation system of conjugative
21		plasmids-A versatile secretion machinery for transfer of proteins and DNA. Plasmid.
22		54, 1-25.
23	22.	Llosa, M., et al. (2009) Bacterial type IV secretion systems in human disease. Mol
24		Microbiol. 73, 141-151.
25	23.	Dehio, C. (2008) Infection-associated type IV secretion systems of Bartonella and their
26		diverse roles in host cell interaction. Cell Microbiol. 10, 1591-1598.

- 14 -

1	24.	Pulliainen, A.T. and C. Dehio (2012) Persistence of Bartonella spp. stealth pathogens:
2		from subclinical infections to vasoproliferative tumor formation. FEMS Microbiol Rev.
3		36, 563-599.
4	25.	Llosa, M., et al. (2002) Bacterial conjugation: a two-step mechanism for DNA
5		transport. Mol Microbiol. 45, 1-8.
6	26.	Madzak, C., et al. (1989) Analysis of single-stranded DNA stability and damage-
7		induced strand loss in mammalian cells using SV40-based shuttle vectors. J Mol Biol.
8		205, 501-509.
9	27.	Mechali, M. (2010) Eukaryotic DNA replication origins: many choices for appropriate
10		answers. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 11, 728-738.
11	28.	Draper, O., et al. (2005) Site-specific recombinase and integrase activities of a
12		conjugative relaxase in recipient cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 102, 16385-16390.
13	29.	Agúndez, L., et al. (2012) Site-specific integration of foreign DNA into minimal
14		bacterial and human target sequences mediated by a conjugative relaxase. PLoS One.
15		7, e31047.
16	30.	Agúndez, L., et al. (2011) Nuclear targeting of a bacterial integrase that mediates site-
17		specific recombination between bacterial and human target sequences. Appl Environ
18		Microbiol. 77, 201-210.
19	31.	Gonzalez-Perez, B., et al. (2009) Changing the recognition site of a conjugative
20		relaxase by rational design. Biotechnol J. 4, 554-557.
21	32.	Le Provost, F., et al. (2010) Zinc finger nuclease technology heralds a new era in
22		mammalian transgenesis. Trends Biotechnol. 28, 134-141.
23	33.	Ellis, B.L., et al. (2012) Zinc-finger nuclease-mediated gene correction using single AAV
24		vector transduction and enhancement by Food and Drug Administration-approved
25		drugs. Gene Ther. doi: 10.1038/gt.2011.211.

- 15 -

- 1 34. Jafari, M., *et al.* (2012) Nonviral approach for targeted nucleic acid delivery. *Curr Med*
- 2 *Chem.* 19, 197-208.

3

1 Figures and Boxes:

2	Figure 1. Schematic representation of main DNA delivery routes into mammalian cells.
3	Not shown are mechanical DNA delivery methods such as microinjection, particle
4	bombardment, pressure-mediated injection, and electroporation ³⁴ . 1. Viral delivery
5	involves the steps of: (a) binding to receptors, (b) endocytosis where the virus particle
6	ends up contained in intracellular endosomes, (c) liberation and intracellular trafficking
7	of the virus particle, (d) entry of the viral DNA (and proteins, e.g. a viral integrase) into
8	the nucleus (before or after uncoating of the virus particle) (see 1). The scenarios for
9	integration of the DNA are described further below. 2. Synthetic vectors, such as
10	liposomes or nanoplexes containing DNA, or polymers (e.g. polylethyleneimine) or
11	polypeptides complexed with DNA, follow the route: (a) receptor-mediated
12	endocytosis, (b) liberation of the vector, (c) vector disassembly and (d) entry into the
13	nucleus (see ^{3, 34}). 3. Bactofection: (a, b) bacteria enter the cell via receptor binding
14	and endocytosis, (c) the endosomal compartment is lysed, e.g. mediated by
15	listeriolysin O of Listeria monocytogenes, thereby liberating the bacteria, (d) bacteria
16	(optionally after replication) liberate DNA upon lysis (e.g. self-lysis induced in the
17	cytosol), (e) DNA enters the nucleus (see ^{5, 10}). 4. Bacterial type IV secretion (T4SS): (a)
18	bacteria bind to receptors, (b) formation of the 'invasome' structure, where replicating
19	bacteria are engulfed by the cell membrane, and secretion via the T4SS of a plasmid-
20	derived single stranded DNA covalently bound to a relaxase or integrase protein (red
21	spheres) and protein substrates (green and blue spheres) into the cytosol, (c) entry of
22	the bacterial DNA and proteins into the nucleus. Solid black arrows designate active
23	nuclear targeting (1d and 4c); dashed black arrows designate passive nuclear entry of
24	DNA (2d, 3e). Once inside the nucleus, delivered DNA (in red colour) can be processed

- 17 -

1	in different ways: (i) transcription into mRNA (in green colour), export of mRNA into
2	the cytosol, and translation into a target protein; (ii) site-specific integration of DNA
3	mediated by a site-specific recombinase, integrase, nuclease or transposase (red
4	arrow); (iii) random integration (e.g. unspecific recombination) (blue arrow). (iv) Zinc-
5	finger nucleases (ZFN, green spheres), either co-transferred with the vector or
6	expressed from delivered DNA, may be involved in site-specific DNA integration (see
7	(ii)) or, alternatively may serve to knock out genes (green arrow) (see ^{29, 30}).

1 Box 1. Outstanding questions

2	•	Does DNA delivery through the T4SS occur from bacteria residing outside of the
3		human cell or from a vacuolar intracellular compartment?
4	•	Is DNA a natural substrate for VirB/D4 T4SS? Is there DNA naturally transferred
5		during Bartonella infection?
6	•	Is DNA transfer to human cells a unique capability of the VirB/D4 T4SS of <i>B</i> .
7		henselae, or could virulence-associated T4SS of other bacterial pathogens also be
8		used to deliver DNA to specific cell types according to the specific cellular tropisms
9		of the respective pathogens?
10	•	Is TrwC a naturally occurring VirB/D4 substrate, and are other conjugative systems
11		also used by virulence-associated T4SSs for DNA export?
12	•	Does TrwC catalyze site-specific integration of transferred DNA in human cells?
13	•	Will it be possible to broaden the number of possible natural targets for integration
14		present in the genomes that we want to modify, through mutagenesis or
15		engineering of conjugative relaxases?
16	•	Will co-transfer of proteins with the T4SS secretion signal of VirB/D4 T4SS provide
17		activities which will help integration of the incoming DNA?
18	•	Does ssDNA delivery increase the rate of random integration compared to dsDNA,
19		and/or does it promote targeted integration?

