2

# Application of landscape mosaics for the biological quality assessment of subtidal macroalgae communities using the CFR index.

Guinda, X. <sup>(1)\*</sup>, A. Gracia <sup>(1)</sup>, A. Puente <sup>(1)</sup>, J.A. Juanes <sup>(1)</sup>, Y. Rzhanov <sup>(2)</sup>, L.A. Mayer <sup>(2)</sup>

(1) Institute of Environmental Hydraulics (IH Cantabria), Universidad de Cantabria, PCTCAN, C/Isabel Torres nº 15, 39011, Santander, SPAIN.

(2) Center for Coastal & Ocean Mapping - Joint Hydrographic Center, University of New Hampshire, Jere A. Chase Ocean Engineering Lab, 24 Colovos Road, Durham, NH 03824, USA.

#### 13 Abstract

14

10

11 12

15 The assessment of anthropogenic impacts on coastal waters is an important task to accomplish 16 under the European Water Framework Directive (WFD 2000/60/EEC). Macroalgae are one of 17 the biological quality elements that must be considered, but their assessment has been generally 18 limited to intertidal areas due to the difficulties and costs associated with working in subtidal 19 areas. In this work, the suitability of using landscape mosaicing techniques is analyzed for the 20 application of the "Quality of Rocky Bottoms" index (CFR by its Spanish acronym) in subtidal 21 areas. For this purpose, the sensitivity and accuracy of both the indicators that compose the CFR 22 index (characteristic macroalgae coverage, fraction of opportunistics and characteristic 23 macroalgae richness) and the index itself were tested against different sampling surfaces and 24 validated through direct applications of the CFR carried out *in situ* by scuba divers. The study 25 was carried out at three sites, located on the coast of Cantabria (N. Spain), covering a variety of 26 environmental conditions (depth ranges and anthropogenic pressures). Underwater video 27 transects of 5-20 m length were recorded by scuba divers and processed with specialized 28 software to build continuous image mosaics of the assessment sites. Each mosaic was inserted 29 into a Geographical Information System where all distinguishable macroalgae species were 30 identified and their coverages were estimated. Replicated subsamples of different areas (0.25 31  $m^2$ , 0.5  $m^2$ , 1  $m^2$  and 2.5  $m^2$ ) were tested from each mosaic for the estimation of both the single 32 indicators and the CFR index itself. Main results showed that larger subsample areas produced 33 higher and more accurate CFR values, mainly related to higher richness values and to smaller 34 variability within the replicates. Accordingly, the minimum sample size required to carry out 35 this type of studies was estimated to be of 2.5  $m^2$ , showing no significant differences with the 36 total mosaics. At this spatial scale, the assessments of the CFR index using mosaics showed a 37 significant correlation and an excellent agreement with the results obtained *in situ*. In summary, 38 underwater video mosaicing techniques proved to be a useful tool for the application of the CFR 39 index and could also be of great interest for the study of subtidal environments by allowing 40 visualization of extensive seafloor areas.

41

42 Keywords: Landscape mosaics, CFR index, subtidal macroalgae, sample size, Water
43 Framework Directive.

44

45 \*Corresponding author. Tel.: + 942 20 16 16 (Ext. 1118); fax: +34 942 26 63 61.

46 *E-mail address*: guindax@unican.es (Xabier Guinda).

#### 48 **1. Introduction**

49

50 During the last decade, the requirements established by the European Water Framework 51 Directive (WFD 2000/60/EEC) have motivated the development of several biotic 52 indices for the assessment of different biological quality elements (phytoplankton, 53 macroalgae, angiosperms, benthic invertebrates and fishes). Regarding macroalgae 54 communities, most European countries have limited their assessments to the intertidal 55 fringe due to costs and difficulties associated with working in subtidal areas. In this 56 sense, most of the developed indices have been focused on their application to intertidal 57 areas (e.g., Ballesteros et al. 2007; Bermejo et al., 2012; Díez et al., 2012a; Neto et al., 58 2012; Orfanidis et al. 2003; Pinedo et al. 2007; Wells et al., 2007) but only a few of them are appropriate for subtidal areas (Derrien and Legal, 2010; Carpentier et al., 59 60 2011) or for both intertidal and subtidal areas (Juanes et al., 2008). Most of these 61 indices require precise species identifications, which makes their application in subtidal 62 areas difficult. However, the "Quality of Rocky Bottoms" index (CFR by its Spanish 63 acronym) (Juanes et al., 2008; Guinda et al., in preparation) uses an easy to apply 64 methodology that does not require very precise taxonomical identifications because it is 65 based in the assessment of general coverages of large characteristic macroalgae and 66 opportunistic species. This simplification of the assessment procedure makes fast application of the index possible, which is very practical for extensive monitoring works 67 68 or for its application to subtidal areas.

69

70 Most of the studies carried out at subtidal rocky bottoms require *in situ* sampling works 71 that are usually performed by scuba divers. These studies are very time-consuming 72 because they require visual assessments at various sampling units or quantitative sample 73 collection works. In the case of visual assessments, divers must be skilled in taxonomic 74 identification and assessment procedures and quantitative sample collections are 75 extremely time-consuming and limited to small sampling areas. These inconveniences 76 reduce the number of sampling units and the total areas that can be covered at each dive. 77 To facilitate these surveys, other sampling techniques, such as underwater photography 78 and video, have been used as an alternative. Photo-transects techniques have been 79 successfully used for the study of several aspects regarding benthic communities, such 80 as their structure and dynamics (Garrabou et al., 2002), long-term temporal changes 81 (Kollmann and Stachowitsch, 2001), continuous changes along depth gradients (Smale, 82 2008), coral reefs recovery after hurricane impacts (Coles and Brown, 2007), algal beds ecological monitoring (Ducrotoy and Simpson, 2001) and general monitoring works 83 84 (Van Rein et al., 2011). Video techniques have been applied by Norris et al. (1997) for 85 the assessment of subtidal seagrasses or, combined with hydroacoustic techniques, for 86 the seafloor substrate classification (Rooper and Zimmermann, 2007). Combinations of 87 underwater imagery and hydroacoustic techniques, together with modelling and 88 automated classification techniques, have been useful for the development of predictive 89 habitat distribution maps (Ierodiaconou et al., 2011; Holmes et al., 2008), which are 90 very valuable for the extensive management of subtidal areas.

91

92 In addition to the reduced scientific expertise needed for divers and the reduced diving 93 times needed for video recordings or photographs, these techniques provide the added 94 benefit of permanent visual records, which can be later analyzed in the laboratory, 95 looking for additional information in the images. However, one of the main weaknesses 96 of the photography and video surveys is their low resolution; species must be identified 97 from a photograph or from individual video frames, which can be difficult in case of 98 small-sized organisms. This limitation is partially compensated by the possibility of 99 having information from large surveyed areas, which are especially attractive for 100 extensive assessments or monitoring studies. A step forward in this sense has been 101 achieved with the development of video and photo mosaicing techniques (e.g. Gracias 102 and Santos-Victor, 1998; Marks et al., 1995; Rzhanov et al., 2000; Rzhanov et al., 103 2007) that allow the creation of large images of the seafloor by mosaicing several 104 photographs or video frames, thus providing a wide vision of the structure and 105 composition of benthic assemblages in the surveyed area. In this aspect, Parravicini et 106 al. (2009) and Kaiser (2003) considered that sampling unit size, rather than sampling 107 method, is the crucial factor to take into account in sampling design. Consequently, it is 108 necessary to define, according to the pursued objectives, the minimum sampling area 109 required for each type of study. Most studies of subtidal environments use small sampling quadrats that generally range between 0.025 and 1 m<sup>2</sup> (e.g. Carpentier *et al.*, 110 111 2011; Alvaro et al., 2008; Garrabou et al., 2002; Parravicini et al., 2010). In contrast, 112 video mosaic analyses are based on records of wide areas that can range between 10 and 113 600 m<sup>2</sup> (e.g. Lirman et al., 2007; Lirman et al., 2010; Ludvigsen et al., 2007) and subsampling of different quadrats with areas between 0.25 and 1 m<sup>2</sup> (Lirman *et al.*. 114

115 2007; Lirman et al., 2010). Video-mosaicing techniques have been used in different 116 types of underwater studies, such as the assessment of coral reefs status (Lirman *et al.*, 117 2007), recovery of reefs after injuries suffered by vessel groundings (Lirman et al., 118 2010) and by hurricane impacts (Gleason et al., 2007). Besides biological applications, 119 these techniques have been also used in deep-sea archaeological surveys (Søreide and 120 Jasinski, 2005; Ludvigsen et al., 2007). Geographic Information Systems (GIS) provide 121 a very useful tool for these applications, as they allow carrying out spatial analyses (e.g. 122 estimation of coverage percentages of different biological species) over large geo-123 referenced videomosaics (Jerosch et al. 2006).

124

125 The use of Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs) and underwater towed cameras has 126 provided an additional tool to survey deep subtidal areas (e.g. Guinan et al., 2009; 127 Lorance et al., 2006; Norcross et al., 1999; Rzhanov et al., 2007). These systems reduce 128 the inherent limitations of scuba divers because they can reach deeper depths and 129 provide longer underwater time, thereby increasing the possibility of carrying out more 130 extensive surveys at greater depth ranges. In shallow areas, the use of ROVs can be also 131 very useful as they allow surveying a great number of sampling stations in the same 132 day, which is not possible by scuba diving, thus reducing the temporal variability and 133 costs of the surveys. This advantage can be even more interesting in highly 134 hydrodynamic coastal regions, such as the Cantabrian Sea (Castanedo et al., 2006; 135 Valencia et al., 2004), where the number of subtidal surveying available days can be 136 very limited.

137

138 Finally, the use of non-destructive sampling methods, included in the recommendations 139 of the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES, 2001), assumes less 140 environmental damage and absence of laboratory work, thereby simplifying data 141 processing and notably reducing the total monitoring costs (Ballesteros et al., 2007; 142 DEFRA, 2004; García-Castrillo et al., 2000). Non-destructive sampling methods in 143 underwater surveys require fast visual assessments that usually cannot allow for detailed 144 taxonomical identifications. In this sense, the level of taxonomic detail required in the 145 studies should be taken into account based on the pursued objectives. Since Ellis (1985) 146 introduced the concept of taxonomic sufficiency, many studies have demonstrated that, 147 in some cases, identification of organisms to higher taxonomic levels, such as family or

148 order, is sufficient to achieve the desired objectives (Díez *et al.*, 2010; Ferraro and Cole,

- 149 1990; Puente and Juanes, 2008; Somerfield and Clarke, 1995; Warwick, 1988a, 1988b).
- 150

151 The assessment of the CFR index is based in an ecological approach that does not 152 require a precise taxonomical identification of macroalgae species and which 153 application should be carried out over extensive survey areas. Hence, considering all the 154 above mentioned aspects, the use of underwater videomosaics as large subtidal 155 sampling units, combined with the use of GIS applications for the identification and 156 quantification of main macroalgae species, and the application of the CFR index (Juanes 157 et al., 2008), might be a low-cost and effective strategy for the rapid assessment of 158 subtidal macroalgae assemblages in order to carry out extensive management or 159 monitoring works. In this sense, one of the main aspects that should be solved is the 160 minimum sampling area required for accurate and reliable results.

161

According to these guidelines, the aim of this work is to assess the suitability of using seafloor video mosaics for the application of the CFR index in subtidal areas. For this purpose, two specific objectives are established: i) to analyze the sensitivity and accuracy of the estimation of both the indicators that compose the CFR index (characteristic macroalgae coverage, fraction of opportunistics and characteristic macroalgae richness) and the index itself using different sampling surfaces and ii) to validate the obtained results through direct applications of the CFR index in the field.

- 169
- 170 **2. Material and Methods**
- 171

173

174 The study was carried out in the summer of 2011 at three sites located on the coast of 175 Cantabria (N. Spain) (Figure 1). At the first site, Castro (CA), an urban effluent is 176 discharged through a submarine outfall. The second place, Ontón (ON), is located near 177 the industrial effluent of a fluoride factory that discharges directly to the intertidal zone. 178 The third site, Callejos de Bamboa (CB), is located between Castro and Ontón. Three 179 sampling stations were established in Castro and Ontón and two were established in 180 Callejos de Bamboa. The stations were classified according to three depth ranges; 181 shallow (S), between 10-15 m depth, was only represented by ON-1 station, medium

<sup>172 2.1.</sup> Study Area

(M), between 15-20 m, was represented by CA-1, CB-1 and ON-2, and deep (D),
between 20-25 m, was represented by CA-2, CA-3, CB-2 and ON-3.



185

184

Figure 1. Location of the sampling stations and the discharge points at each studied siteon the coast of Cantabria (N. Spain).

188

190

At each station, two to three transects of 5 to 20 m length and 1 m wide were video recorded by scuba divers over stable rocky substrates that were suitable for macroalgae colonization. At the beginning of each transect, a 50 x 50 cm square was set to provide a real scale of the final mosaics. In addition, the CFR index (Juanes *et al.*, 2008) was visually applied *in situ* by skilled divers over the whole area of each transect, according to the last version accepted in the European Intercalibration process for the Water Framework Directive implementation (Guinda *et al.*, unpublished; JRC, 2011).

- 198
- 199
- 200

<sup>189 2.2.</sup> Survey methodology

203 In order to create landscape video-mosaics from the recorded transects, the number of 204 frames of the underwater videos were reduced to get an overlap between consecutive 205 frames about 80% (1.5-3 frames per second approximately), thus reducing the time 206 required for the mosaic construction process. The mosaic construction programs used 207 were developed at the Center for Coastal and Ocean Mapping (CCOM) of the 208 University of New Hampshire (Rzhanov et al., 2000). The process comprises four major 209 stages that were run iteratively to get the most visually consistent results (Figure 2). The 210 first stage established common linking points between successive pairs of frames using 211 the Feature Co-Reg application. As a result, an output RRA file was generated with the 212 registration parameters. Sometimes, some failures in finding relative homography 213 occurred; in those cases, linking points were manually chosen between different pairs of 214 frames using the Feature application. The information about these corrected linking 215 points was saved in the original RRA file. Moreover, the tilt, pitch and roll of the 216 camera were compensated in the mosaic-building process by the Tilt Correct 217 application. The fourth stage created the video mosaic of the imaged area using the 218 Build Mosaic application. If the obtained mosaic was not satisfactory, then the process 219 was iteratively repeated until a satisfactory mosaic was obtained (Figure 3A).

220



Figure 2. Stages of the methodology followed for the application of the CFR index using video-mosaics. Dashed lines represent processes which are not mandatory for the process.

226

### 227 2.4. Data acquisition

228

229 Seafloor video-mosaic images were geo-referenced, incorporated into a Geographical 230 Information System (ArcGis v10.0) and adjusted to the real size, as it is shown in Figure 231 3A. At each mosaic, all distinguishable macroalgae species were identified and outlined, 232 which produced polygons whose areas were measured and registered (Figure 3B). This 233 information was extracted for the whole mosaics and for several subsamples of four different sizes (0.25 m<sup>2</sup>, 0.5 m<sup>2</sup>, 1 m<sup>2</sup> and 2.5 m<sup>2</sup>). Depending on the number of 234 235 transects per station (two for deeper ones and three for the shallower) and their lengths, 236 the number of subsamples per station ranged between six to nine replicates for the smaller sized subsamples (0.25-1 m<sup>2</sup>), and between five to six replicates for the 2.5 m<sup>2</sup> 237 238 subsamples. The subsamples were equidistantly set along each mosaic (Figure 3C).

239

The taxonomic identification was carried out to the lowest possible level. However, when macroalgae could not be identified to the species level, groups of species and mixed communities were also established. Thus, delicately branched red filamentous

243 species with a noticeable size, such as Heterosiphonia plumosa, Bornetia secundiflora 244 and some species of the genera Polysiphonia and Pteroshiphonia, were classified as "red filamentous algae", whereas mixed communities of small-sized algae were identified as 245 246 "low bearing algae". All of these species are equally considered in the CFR index; consequently, the missing taxonomical precision in this case does not affect the final 247 248 results. In the case of Ontón, a high abundance of an epiphytic red filamentous algae 249 mat was found covering other algae and most parts of the substrate; hence, it was 250 classified as "red opportunistic filamentous" (ROF) due to its high proliferation. A 251 small sample of the algae mat was taken to the laboratory and identified as a 252 combination of species dominated by Trailliella intricata and Falkenbergia rufolanosa.



Figure 3. A) Fragment (first third) of a surveyed transect with a reference square at the beginning of the mosaic. B) Taxonomical identification of different macroalgae species using GIS. C) Subsamples of different sizes performed at the beginning of the landscape

257 mosaic.

### 259 2.5. Data analysis

260

261 Using the coverage values of the identified macroalgae species, the individual indicators 262 that comprise the CFR index were calculated (characteristic macroalgae coverage (C), 263 fraction of opportunistic species (F) and characteristic macroalgae richness (R)) and the 264 CFR index was applied following the same methodological approach mentioned in the 265 section 2.2. (Juanes et al., 2008; Guinda et al., unpublished; JRC, 2011) (Figure 2). The 266 calculations were carried out for the different subsamples and for the total mosaics. 267 Shadows, fauna or substrates that are unsuitable for macroalgae colonization, such as 268 sediments or small stones, were not considered in the analyses; consequently, these 269 areas were disregarded in the analyses.

270

In order to analyze the existence of significant differences in the results obtained using
different subsample areas and the results obtained using the whole mosaics, MannWhitney U Tests were applied by pairs for each indicator and for the CFR index.

274

The results of the CFR index applied over the whole mosaics were finally compared with the results obtained *in situ* in order to validate the accuracy of the proposed methodology for the application of the CFR index. The comparison was carried out i) by the application of a linear regression between the CFR results obtained by the two procedures (visual and mosaics) and ii) by the application of a weighted kappa analysis (Monserud and Leemans, 1992) between the quality classifications obtained by the two procedures.

282

## 283 **3. Results**

284

Nineteen mosaics were constructed from the videos recorded at each of the three studied sites. Their total lengths ranged from 6.6 to 19 m (average of 13.7 m), which represent total surveyed areas between 4.9 and 21.1 m<sup>2</sup>.

288

In general terms, the shallower stations were characterized by the presence of species such as *Cystoseira baccata*, *Gelidium corneum* and *Peyssonnelia squamaria*, while the 291 deepest stations were characterized by the presence of Halopteris filicina. However, the 292 higher coverages in all cases corresponded to a combination of low bearing algae, red 293 filamentous algae and encrusting species. In the case of Ontón, high coverages of 294 Trailliella intricata and Falkenbergia rufolanosa (ROF) were also detected. According 295 to the information summarized in Table 1, Cystoseira baccata was the dominant 296 characteristic macroalgae in the medium-depth stations of Castro (CA-1) and Callejos 297 de Bamboa (CB-1), reaching an average coverage of 74.1% in Castro. The deepest 298 stations of Castro (CA-2, CA-3) and Callejos de Bamboa (CB-2) had Halopteris filicina 299 as the dominant characteristic macroalgae species, with coverages that reached 32.3% in 300 Castro. At the deepest station of Ontón (ON-3) only low coverages of H. filicina were 301 detected. Calliblepharis ciliata appeared only at mid depth in Ontón (ON-2), with a 302 coverage of 8%, and Codium tomentosum and Gelidium corneum were found only at 303 shallow and medium-depth stations with sparse coverage values (<5.6%). In addition, a 304 low coverage of Corallina sp. was identified in the shallowest station of Ontón (ON-1). 305 Peyssonnelia squamaria and Spatoglossum solieri were the most represented species, 306 appearing in five stations that correspond to the three sites; however, their coverages 307 were generally low, except in Callejos de Bamboa, where they reached maximum 308 coverages of 6% and 4.9%, respectively.

309

310 Apart from the characteristic species, other accompanying species were also identified. 311 In this case, encrusting macroalgae (Lithophyllum incrustans and/or Mesophyllum 312 lichenoides), red filamentous algae and low bearing algae were the most conspicuous 313 accompanying groups. Regarding opportunistic species, only Ontón showed important 314 coverages. The general structure of the macroalgae community in all the stations 315 surveyed in Ontón was composed mostly by low bearing algae and small patches of some characteristic species (e.g., C. ciliata, G. Corneum, H. filicina, P. squamaria), red 316 317 encrusters (specially M. lichenoides in ON-1) and red filamentous algae. All of the 318 above were mostly covered by a thin layer of *T. intricata* and *F. rufolanosa*.

Species/Station	Average Macroalgae Coverage per station (%)								
species/station	CA-1	CA-2	CA-3	<b>CB-1</b>	<b>CB-2</b>	ON-1	ON-2	ON-3	
Characteristic macroalgae:									
Calliblepharis ciliata (R)							8,02		
Codium tomentosum (G)	0,23			0,17		0,28			
Corallina sp. (R)						0,04			
<i>Cystoseira baccata</i> (B)	74,06	0,07		15,40					
Dyctiopteris membranacea (B)					0,16				
Dyctiota dichotoma (B)		7,41	3,05	7,95	12,93				
Gelidium corneum (R)	0,29			5,59		1,22			
Halopteris filicina (B)		24,49	32,33	0,31	9,88			1,90	
Halydris siliquosa (B)	10,73				0,92				
Laminaria ochroleuca (B)	0,02								
Peyssonnelia squamaria (R)			0,05	5,97	0,35	1,48	0,46		
Spatoglossum solieri (B)	1,55	0,04		2,34	4,90		0,02		
Other macroalgae:									
Red filamentous algae (R)	4,96	10,28	29,35	1,20	17,30		0,50	2,84	
Lithophyllum incrustans (R)	2,09	3,88	5,12	13,34	5,98		1,55	1,92	
Mesophyllum lichenoides (R)		1,05				26,15			
Encrusting Peyssonnelia (R)	0,28				0,77				
Low bearing algae (Mixed)	2,90	27,70	26,27	44,77	22,94	32,51	44,59	46,56	
Zanardinia sp. (B)				2,38	2,01	5,80			
Opportunistic species:									
Red Opportunistic Filamentous (R)						32,51	44,46	46,56	

**Table 1.** Average macroalgae coverage per station corresponding to total transect surfaces (R: Red, B: Brown, G: Green species).

The results of the indicators that comprise the CFR index and the final CFR values obtained at each station are represented by Box plots in the Figure 4. The results include the values that correspond to the whole mosaic areas and to the different subsample sizes considered.

326

327 Characteristic macroalgae coverage (Figure 4A). The largest coverages of 328 characteristic macroalgae, ranging from 85% to 95%, were observed at the medium 329 depth station of Castro (CA-1), whereas the lowest coverages, ranging from 1.5% to 330 2.1%, were observed at the deepest station of Ontón (ON-3). At Castro and Callejos de 331 Bamboa, the largest coverages were observed at medium depths (CA-1 and CB-1), with 332 values of 86.9% and 37.7%, respectively, taking into account the whole mosaics areas. 333 The deepest stations of Callejos de Bamboa and Castro (CB-2, CA-2 and CA-3) showed 334 similar results, ranging from 29% to 35.4%, respectively. In Ontón, characteristic 335 macroalgae coverage was highest (8.5%) at medium depth station (ON-2). The 336 variability among subsamples is higher for the smallest areas  $(0.25 \text{ m}^2)$  and it decreases 337 with increased sample size. Whereas the average coverage values are not very different 338 among different sample sizes, the results obtained among subsamples of the same mosaic vary enormously (e.g. between 10.6% and 100% for the 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> subsamples in 339 340 CB-2). At Ontón, where coverage values are very small, these differences are not so high, and at ON-3 the coverage values range between 0% and 9.72% among the 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> 341 342 subsamples. CA-1 constitutes a special case because the variability of the smallest subsamples is very small (90.7% to 100%), whereas the highest variability is found 343 344 among the  $1m^2$  subsamples (58.6% to 99.2%).

345

346 Fraction of Opportunistic species (Figure 4B). At Ontón, high coverages of 347 opportunistic species (30-50%) were found, which, considering the low characteristic 348 macroalgae coverage values obtained in these stations, corresponded to average fraction 349 of opportunistic values that range from 78.5% to 96.4%. The highest fractions of 350 opportunistics are observed in ON-3, associated with the smaller characteristic 351 macroalgae coverages. Just as with coverage values, the variability of the results is highest for the smaller sample areas  $(0.25m^2)$  and decrease with the increased sample 352 353 size.

355 Characteristic macroalgae richness (Figure 4C). Considering the total area of the 356 mosaics, the highest characteristic macroalgae richness values were found at CB-1, with 357 7 species, followed by CB-2 and CA-1, with 4 to 5 species. Deep stations from Castro 358 (CA-2 and CA-3) obtained 3-4 species, slightly better results than ON-1 and ON-2 (2-3 359 species). Deep station from Ontón (ON-3) obtained the worst results, with only one 360 species on average. In this case, the effect of sample size is very important, because 361 bigger sample sizes obtained markedly higher richness values at all the stations. The 362 variability among samples of the same size is not as high because the obtained richness 363 values are low in all cases and negligible when compared to the differences observed 364 among different sample sizes.

365

366 CFR index (Figure 4D). The results of the CFR index showed two clear groups of 367 stations; a first group composed of Castro and Callejos de Bamboa stations and a 368 second group that relates to Ontón stations. The first group, with average CFR values 369 for the total area of the mosaics that range from 0.66 to 0.95, corresponds to the stations 370 with the better qualities of macroalgae assemblages. According to the classification 371 system established for the application of the CFR index under the Water Framework 372 Directive (Juanes et al., 2008; Guinda et al., unpublished; JRC, 2011), these values 373 correspond to quality classes between "high" and "good". The stations of the second 374 group, with average CFR values below 0.15 in all cases, are classified as having a "bad" 375 quality. In the three sites, deeper stations obtained lower CFR values, mainly associated 376 to lower characteristic macroalgae coverages. Analyzing subsamples of different areas, 377 bigger samples produced higher CFR values, associated with higher richness values, 378 and more accurate results, because of smaller variability in the replicates. As a result, 379 deeper stations from Castro (CA-2) and Callejos de Bamboa (CB-2) produced different quality classifications that depended on the size of the samples. In this case, smaller 380 381 samples produced "moderate" qualities, whereas larger samples produced "good" 382 qualities.



383

Figure 4. Box plots of the results obtained for the different indicators of the CFR index,
with different subsample sizes. A) Characteristic macroalgae coverage, B) Fraction of
opportunistic species, C) richness of characteristic species, D) CFR index.

The results of the Mann-Whitney U Tests applied by pairs, between subsamples of 388 389 different sizes and the values of the total area of the mosaics, for each of the indicators 390 of the CFR index, are shown in Table 3. Five stations (CA-1, CA-2, CB-1, CB-2, ON-2) 391 show significant differences in richness values for one or more sample sizes with respect to the total areas. These differences are larger in the smallest sample sizes and 392 decrease as sample size increases. Thus, in the case of 1 m<sup>2</sup> sample sizes, only two 393 stations (CB-1 and ON-2) show significant differences with respect to the total area and, 394 395 in the case of 2.5  $m^2$  samples, no significant differences are observed for any station. CFR index results are significantly different only for CA-1. These differences were 396 detected even at 1  $m^2$  sample size, but they disappear at the 2.5  $m^2$  sample size. No 397 significant differences have been observed in the coverages of characteristic macroalgae 398 399 and in the fraction of opportunistics.

Station	Coverage of C.M.				Fraction of opportunistic species			
Station	$0,25 \text{ m}^2$	$0,5 \text{ m}^2$	$1 \text{ m}^2$	$2,5 \text{ m}^2$	$0,25 \text{ m}^2$	$0,5 \text{ m}^2$	$1 \text{ m}^2$	$2,5 \text{ m}^2$
CA-1	0,182	0,505	0,739	1,000				
<b>CA-2</b>	0,505	0,739	1,000	1,000				
<b>CA-3</b>	1,000	1,000	0,770	0,699				
<b>CB-1</b>	0,926	0,518	0,644	0,439				
<b>CB-2</b>	0,317	0,317	0,317	1,000				
ON-1	0,780	0,644	0,926	0,796	0,926	0,644	0,926	1,000
ON-2	0,926	0,926	0,644	0,796	0,926	0,926	0,644	0,796
ON-3	0,287	0,495	0,737	1,000	0,287	0,495	0,737	1,000
Station	<b>Richness of C.M</b>				CFR index			
				1		1	1	<b>a a</b> 2
Station	$0,25 \text{ m}^2$	$0,5 \text{ m}^2$	$1 \text{ m}^2$	2,5 m²	$0,25 \text{ m}^2$	0,5 m²	1 m <sup>2</sup>	2,5 m <sup>-</sup>
CA-1	0,25 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039*	<b>0,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,062	$\frac{1 \text{ m}^2}{0,080}$	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,232	0,25 m <sup>2</sup> 0,035*	0,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039*	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039*	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,094
CA-1 CA-2	0,25 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039* 0,049*	<b>0,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,062 <b>0,049</b> *	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,080 0,084	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,232 0,105	<b>0,25 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,035*</b> 0,182	<b>0,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,182	<b>1 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,317	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,094 0,699
CA-1 CA-2 CA-3	<b>0,25 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> <b>0,049*</b> 0,078	0,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,062 0,049* 0,078	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,080 0,084 0,102	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,232 0,105 0,676	<b>0,25 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,035*</b> 0,182 0,769	<b>0,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,182 0,769	<b>1 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,317 0,769	2,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,094 0,699 0,699
CA-1 CA-2 CA-3 CB-1	0,25 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039* 0,049* 0,078 0,010*	0,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,062 0,049* 0,078 0,018*	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,080 0,084 0,102 0,019*	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,232 0,105 0,676 0,248	<b>0,25 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,035*</b> 0,182 0,769 0,166	<b>0,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,182 0,769 0,116	<b>1 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,317 0,769 0,229	2,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,094 0,699 0,699 0,606
CA-1 CA-2 CA-3 CB-1 CB-2	0,25 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039* 0,049* 0,078 0,010* 0,032*	0,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,062 0,049* 0,078 0,018* 0,039*	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,080 0,084 0,102 0,019* 0,049	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,232 0,105 0,676 0,248 0,124	<b>0,25 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,035*</b> 0,182 0,769 0,166 0,096	<b>0,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,182 0,769 0,116 0,182	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039* 0,317 0,769 0,229 0,317	2,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,094 0,699 0,699 0,606 0,739
CA-1 CA-2 CA-3 CB-1 CB-2 ON-1	0,25 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039* 0,049* 0,078 0,010* 0,032* 0,086	0,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,062 0,049* 0,078 0,018* 0,039* 0,159	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,080 0,084 0,102 0,019* 0,049 0,232	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,232 0,105 0,676 0,248 0,124 0,581	<b>0,25 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,035*</b> 0,182 0,769 0,166 0,096 0,306	<b>0,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,182 0,769 0,116 0,182 0,644	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039* 0,317 0,769 0,229 0,317 0,518	2,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,094 0,699 0,699 0,606 0,739 0,796
CA-1 CA-2 CA-3 CB-1 CB-2 ON-1 ON-2	0,25 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039* 0,049* 0,078 0,010* 0,032* 0,086 0,013*	0,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,062 0,049* 0,078 0,018* 0,039* 0,159 0,009*	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,080 0,084 0,102 0,019* 0,049 0,232 0,031*	<b>2,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> 0,232 0,105 0,676 0,248 0,124 0,581 0,123	<b>0,25 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,035*</b> 0,182 0,769 0,166 0,096 0,306 0,781	<b>0,5 m<sup>2</sup></b> <b>0,039*</b> 0,182 0,769 0,116 0,182 0,644 0,518	1 m <sup>2</sup> 0,039* 0,317 0,769 0,229 0,317 0,518 0,518	2,5 m <sup>2</sup> 0,094 0,699 0,699 0,606 0,739 0,796 0,796

Table 3. Listing of the p values obtained in the Mann-Whitney U Tests by pairs, carried
out among the results obtained for the indicators of the CFR index at different
subsample sizes and the values corresponding to the total areas, for each station. \*
Significant differences (p<0.05).</li>

406

407 As observed in Figure 5, the results of the CFR index obtained by visual applications *in* 408 *situ* and the CFR results obtained over the mosaics were highly correlated ( $R^2=0.94$ ; 409 p<0.01). Regarding the assignation of quality classes, the percentage of agreement was 410 63.2% and the resulting weighted kappa value was 0.92, which corresponds to an 411 "excellent" prediction level according to Monserud and Leemans (1992).



Figure 5. Correlation between the results obtained for the CFR index by direct *in situ* applications (X axis) and by indirect applications using mosaics (Y axis). According to the last version of the CFR index accepted in the European Intercalibration process for the Water Framework Directive implementation, the boundaries among quality classes are the following: High-Good: 0.81; Good-Moderate: 0.6; Moderate-Poor: 0.4, Poor-Bad: 0.2) (Guinda *et al.*, unpublished; JRC, 2011).

420

#### 421 **4. Discussion**

422

The results obtained in this work demonstrate the suitability of the landscape videomosaicing techniques for the application of the CFR index in subtidal areas. This methodology allows obtaining accurate assessments of the composition of biological communities over extensive subtidal areas in a fast, easy and economical way, hence, it could be considered as an appropriate system for the monitoring and management of these environments.

429

As stated by Carpentier *et al.* (2011), a method for the assessment of subtidal macroalgae communities must be simple and rapid to perform. It must also allow divers, in some cases unskilled in algal taxonomy, to carry out reproducible and easy assessments and must synthesize the environmental data in order to advise managers on the decision-making process (Juanes *et al.*, 2008). The proposed non-destructive method can be a useful technique for the extensive assessment and monitoring of macroalgae communities and to improve existing knowledge about subtidal communities by

437 reducing to a great extent the costs associated to open-sea surveys. These reductions are 438 achieved by increasing the number of sampling sites and the extension of the surveyed 439 areas, while reducing the diving times and the number of sampling days. In addition, the 440 reduction of sampling days may reduce also the temporal variability during the study 441 period. These advantages can be very interesting in highly hydrodynamic coastal 442 regions, such as the Cantabrian Sea (Castanedo et al., 2006; Valencia et al., 2004) 443 where the number of days available to carry out subtidal surveys may be very limited. 444 Furthermore, mosaicing techniques can also be applied to videos recorded by Remotely 445 Operated Vehicles (ROVs), thus extending its capacities to deep-sea research studies 446 (Rzhanov et al., 2007) and reducing even more the number of sampling days required to 447 cover a higher number of sampling stations, which is not possible by scuba diving. 448 Other type of extensive studies that could be carried out with this technology might be 449 associated with the quantification of marine resources (e.g. Gelidium corneum), or with 450 the trend analyses of benthic communities, such as the Laminarians retreat in the 451 Cantabrian region (Fernández, 2011; Díez et al., 2012b).

452

453 If we analyze the precision and accuracy of the obtained results, clear differences are 454 found among the three indicators that compose the CFR index and, consequently, in the 455 index itself. In the case of characteristic macroalgae coverage and fraction of 456 opportunistics, the obtained results did not show significant differences for any of the 457 sampling-unit sizes used, what indicates a good accuracy of the method in these cases. 458 However, the results obtained with bigger sampling-unit sizes showed smaller 459 variability in the results, thus increasing the precision of the assessments. On the other 460 hand, richness and CFR index showed also a smaller variability when using bigger 461 sampling-unit sizes (more precision), but the accuracy of the smaller samples was 462 lower, what produced significant differences in some cases.

463

These effects can be explained by the heterogeneous "patchiness" of macroalgae aggregations, which requires large sampling areas or elevated number of samples to obtain a good representation of their real distribution. In this sense, the number of replicates used in this study for the estimation of characteristic macroalgae coverage and fraction of opportunistics was enough to obtain appropriate results with any of the tested sample surfaces. However, richness increases in direct relation to the variety of habitats sampled, therefore, it is obvious that higher richness values might be expected from

471 larger sampling areas (Walther et al., 1995; Condit et al., 1996; Gotelli and Colwell, 472 2001). Thus, richness is nearly always underestimated in inventories because of its 473 strong dependency on sampling effort (Melo et al., 2007). A long-standing question for 474 ecologists has been to estimate the minimum sampling area required to obtain accurate 475 richness values for a community (Evans et al., 1955; Keating et al., 1998; Melo et al., 476 2003). As it can be seen in the present study, the lower accuracy obtained with the 477 smaller samples was mainly due to the underestimation of the richness values and the 478 subsequent underestimation of the CFR index. In both cases, the accuracy and precision 479 increased with the increasing sample sizes. In this sense, the Mann-Whitney test results 480 (Table 3) indicated that the differences in richness values were not significant for any of the stations for sample areas larger than 2.5 m<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, this sample area (2.5 m<sup>2</sup>) 481 482 could be considered as the minimum sample size recommended for this kind of study. It 483 must be also considered that the resolution limitations of the camera can difficult the 484 identification of some species and could produce lower richness values because of 485 missing some characteristic species. Furthermore, there is a "canopy effect" where 486 frondose algae camouflage lower strata of bare rock, encrusting algae and turf forming 487 algae (Álvaro et al., 2008; Parravacini et al., 2009). These limitations affect the final 488 assessment of the CFR index, as lower richness values produce lower CFR values.

489

490 The same limitations can be applied to opportunistic species, because they are usually 491 low-bearing algae that might be very difficult to identify. The exception would be the 492 green opportunistic algae, such as *Ulva spp*, that can be easy to identify to genus level. 493 This would be enough for the application of the CFR index. However, the identification 494 of red or brown opportunistics, such as certain ceramiaceae or ectocarpaceae, can be 495 very difficult to determine from photographs, videos or mosaics. In the present study, 496 the identification of the red opportunistic filamentous T. intricata and F. rufolanosa was 497 carried out in the laboratory after a collection of a quantitative sample. The great 498 abundance of this species covering most of the seafloor motivated its sampling and 499 identification in the laboratory. However, small abundances of this kind of species 500 could have been disregarded in Castro and Callejos de Bamboa, where no opportunistic 501 species were identified.

502

503 Despite the inconveniences mentioned above, the results obtained by the application of 504 the CFR index using mosaics were highly correlated with the results obtained by the 505 direct applications in situ. In addition, the assignation of quality classes obtained an 506 "excellent" agreement level in the weighted kappa analysis, thus demonstrating the 507 suitability of the proposed methodology. It must be mentioned that the mosaicing 508 method has demonstrated the same capacity than the direct application in order to detect 509 anthropogenic disturbances, covering the whole range of quality categories from "high" 510 to "bad". The disagreements observed in the assignations of quality classes occurred in 511 7 out of 19 assessments (Figure 5), but only 2 of these misclassifications produced an 512 important error for management purposes (e.g. the application of the WFD), as their 513 quality assignments corresponded to a "moderate" category in the visual estimation and 514 to a "good" category in the case of the mosaic application, what constitutes a big 515 difference in terms of accordance with the WFD requirements accomplishment, 516 although the difference in terms of CFR values was small. The other 5 517 misclassifications corresponded to stations located in Ontón which were classified as "poor" by the visual assessment and as "bad" in the mosaic assessment, what has the 518 519 same meaning to the effects of the WFD, as none of them would achieve an acceptable 520 level.

521

#### 522 **5.** Conclusions

523

524 The construction of video mosaics constitute a useful tool for the study of subtidal 525 environments as they provide a wide vision of the seafloor, with reduced efforts and 526 costs for the surveys, specially if they are carried out with ROVs. However, any 527 seafloor video-mosaic application is limited in terms of image resolution and "canopy 528 effect", both of which complicates the identification of some species. The CFR index 529 has been successfully applied to video mosaics that were obtained at three subtidal 530 zones and the results demonstrate the suitability of this technique for the assessment of 531 subtidal communities in extensive monitoring studies. A minimum sampling area of 2.5  $m^2$  is suggested for the application of the CFR index in subtidal areas using the 532 533 proposed methodology.

534

- 535
- 536

537

### 539 Acknowledgements

540

This research was partially funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (VIGES2 project, CTM2008-04649) and by the University of Cantabria and the Education Department of the Government of Cantabria trough a postdoctoral research contract to Xabier Guinda. It was also possible thanks to a "José Castillejo" postdoctoral mobility fellowship provided to X. Guinda by the Spanish Ministry of Education. We wish to thank Gorka Bidegain and Beatriz Echavarri for specific support in the fieldwork.

- 548
- 549 **References**
- 550
- Álvaro, N.V., Wallenstein, F.M., Neto, A.I., Nogueira, E.M, Ferreira, J., Santos, C.I.,
  Amaral, A.F., 2008. The use of digital photography for the definition of coastal
  biotopes in Azores. Hydrobiologia, 596:143-152.
- Ballesteros, E., Torras, X., Pinedo, S., García M., Mangialajo, L., De Torres, M., 2007.
  A new methodology based on littoral community cartography for the
  implementation of the European Water Framework Directive. Marine Pollution
  Bulletin, 55: 172-180.
- Bermejo, R., Vergara, J. J., Hernández I., 2012. Application and reassessment of the
  reduced species list index for macroalgae to assess the ecological status under the
  Water Framework Directive in the Atlantic coast of Southern Spain. Ecological
  Indicators, 12: 46-57
- Bussotti, S., Terlizzi, A., Fraschetti, S., Belmonte, G., Boero, F., 2006. Spatial and
  temporal variability of sessile benthos in shallow Mediterranean marine caves.
  Marine Ecology Progress Series, 325: 109-119.
- 565 Carpentier, A., Artero, C., Ouisse, V., Perrin, B., Ysnel, F., 2011. Monitoring the
  566 subtidal macroalgae percentage cover in an assessment of the human impact on
  567 diving sites in Brittany (France): direct estimation versus photography. Cah. Biol.
  568 Mar, 52: 247-252.
- Castanedo, S., Medina, R., Losada, I.J., Vidal, C., Méndez, F.J., Osorio, A., Juanes,
  J.A., Puente, A., 2006. The Prestige oil spill in Cantabria (Bay of Biscay). Part I:
  Operational forecasting system for quick response, risk assessment and protection of
  natural resources. Journal of Coastal Research, 22: 1474-1489.
- 573 Coles, S.L., Brown, E.K., 2007. Twenty-five years of change in coral coverage on a
  574 hurricane impacted reef in Hawai'i: the importance of recruitment. Coral Reefs, 26:
  575 705–717.
- 576 Condit, R., Hubbell, S.P., Lafrankie, J.V., Sukumar, R., Manokaran, N., Foster, R.B.,
  577 Ashton, P.S., 1996. Species-area and species-individual relationships for tropical
  578 trees: a comparison of three 50-ha plots. Journal of Ecology, 84: 549–562.

- 579 DEFRA, 2004. Understanding of Undesirable Disturbance in the Context of
  580 Eutrophication, and Development of UK Assessment Methodology for Coastal and
  581 Marine Waters. Napier University for the Department for Environment, Food and
  582 Rural Affairs, Edinburgh
- 583 Derrien, S., Legal, A., 2010. Quality index of Coastal water subtidal macroalgae of
  584 French Channel and Atlantic coast QI SUB-MAC. Contract IFREMER-MNHN,
  585 2010, 37p.
- 586 Díez, I., Bustamante, M., Santolaria, A., Tajadura, J., Muguerza, N., Borja, A., Muxika,
  587 I., Saiz-Salinas, J. I., Gorostiaga, J. M., 2012a. Development of a tool for assessing
  588 the ecological quality status of intertidal coastal rocky assemblages, within Atlantic
  589 Iberian coasts. Ecological Indicators, 12: 58-71.
- Díez, I., Muguerza, N., Santolaria, A., Ganzedo, U., Gorostiaga, J.M., 2012b. Seaweed
   assemblage changes in the eastern Cantabrian Sea and their potential relationship to
   climate change. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 99: 108-120.
- 593 Díez, I., Santolaria, A., Gorostiaga, J.M., 2010. Different levels of macroalgal sampling
   594 resolution for pollution assessment. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 60: 1779–1789.
- Ducrotoy, J.P., Simpson, S.D., 2001. Developments in the application of photography to
   ecological monitoring, with reference to algal beds. Aquatic Conserv: Mar. Freshw.
   Ecosyst, 11: 123–135.
- 598 Ellis, D., 1985. Taxonomic sufficiency in pollution assessment. Marine Pollution
  599 Bulletin, 16 (12): 459.
- Evans, F.C., Clark, P.J., Brand, R.H., 1955. Estimation of the number of species present
  on a given area. Ecology, 36: 342–343.
- Fernández, C., 2011. The retreat of large brown seaweeds on the north coast of Spain:
  the case of *Saccorhiza polyschides*. European Journal of Phycology, 46 (4): 352360.
- Ferraro, S.P., Cole, F.A., 1990. Taxonomic level and sample size sufficient for
   assessing pollution impacts on the Southern California Bight macrobenthos. Marine
   Ecology Progress Series, 67: 251–262.
- 608 García-Castrillo, G., Rodríguez, J., Puente, A., Preciado, I., Juanes, J.A., 2000.
  609 Cartografiado bentónico sublitoral de la isla de Mouro (Cantabria). Ozeanografika,
  610 3: 69–83.
- 611 Garrabou, J., Ballesteros, E, Zabala, A., 2002. Structure and Dynamics of North612 western Mediterranean Rocky Benthic Communities along a Depth Gradient.
  613 *Estuarine*, Coastal and Shelf Science, 55: 493–508.
- Gleason, A., Lirman, D., Williams, D., Gracias, N., Gintert, B., Madjidi, H., Reid, R.,
  Boynton, G., Negahdaripour, S., Miller, M., Kramer, P., 2007. Documenting
  hurricane impacts on coral reefs using two-dimensional video-mosaic technology.
  Marine Ecology, 28: 254- 258.
- Gotelli, N. J., Colwell, R. K., 2001. Quantifying biodiversity: procedures and pitfalls in
  the measurement and comparison of species richness. Ecological Letters, 4: 379–
  391.

- Gracias, N. and Santos-Victor, J., 1998. Automatic mosaic creation of the ocean floor.
   OCEANS'98 Conference Proceedings, vol. 1, pp. 257-262.
- Guidetti, P., Bianchi, C.N., Chiantore, M., Schiaparelli, S., Morri, C., Cattaneo-Vietti,
  R., 2004. Living on the rocks: substrate mineralogy and the structure of subtidal
  rocky substrate communities in the Mediterranean Sea. Marine Ecology Progress
  Series, 274: 57–68.
- Guinan, J., Grehan, A.J., Dolan, M.F.J., Brown, C., 2009. Quantifying relationships
  between video observations of cold-water coral cover and seafloor features in
  Rockall Trough, west of Ireland. Marine Ecology Progress Series 375, 125–138.
- Guinda, X., Juanes, J.A., Puente, A., Echavarri-Erasun, B., 2012. Spatial distribution
  pattern analysis of subtidal macroalgae assemblages by a non-destructive rapid
  assessment method. Journal of Sea Research, 67: 34–43.
- Holmes, K.W., Van Niel, K.P., Radford, B., Kendrick, G.A., Grove, S.L., 2008.
  Modelling distribution of marine benthos from hydroacoustics and underwater
  video. Continental Shelf Research, 28: 1800-1810.
- ICES, 2001. Report of the Working Group on Marine Habitat Mapping. International
   Council for the Exploration of the Sea-Marine Habitat Committee, Galway, Ireland.
- 638 Ierodiaconou, D., Monk, J., Rattray, A., Laurenson, L., Versace, V.L., 2011.
  639 Comparison of automated classification techniques for predicting benthic biological
  640 communities using hydroacoustics and video observations. Continental Shelf
  641 Research, 31: S28-S38.
- Jerosch, K., M. Schluter and R. Pesch. 2006. Spatial analysis of marine categorical information using indicator kriging applied to georeferenced video mosaics of the deep-sea Hakon Mosby Mud Volcano. Ecological Informatics, 1(4): 391-406.
- JRC, 2011. WFD Intercalibration Phase 2: Milestone 6 report. Coastal Water/ NEA GIG/ Macroalgae and Angiosperms. Joint Research Centre, European Commission.
- Juanes, J.A., Guinda, X., Puente, A., Revilla, J.A., 2008. Macroalgae, a suitable
  indicator of the ecological status of coastal rocky communities in the NE Atlantic.
  Ecological Indicators, 8: 351–359.
- Kaiser, M.J., 2003. Detecting the effects of fishing on seabed community diversity:
   importance of scale and sample size. Conservation Biology, 17: 512–520.
- Keating, K.A., Quinn, J.F., Ivie, M.A., Ivie, L., 1998. Estimating the effectiveness of
   further sampling in species inventories. Ecological Applications, 8: 1239 1249.
- Kollmann, H., Stachowitsch, M., 2001. Long- Term Changes in the Benthos of the
  Northern Adriatic Sea: A Phototransect Approach. Marine Ecology, 22 (1-2): 135154.
- Lirman, D., Gracias, N., Gintert, B., Gleason, A.C.R., Deangelo, G., Dick, M.,
  Martinez, E., Reid, R.P., 2010. Damage and recovery assessment of vessel
  grounding injuries on coral reef habitats by use of georeferenced landscape video
  mosaics. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 8: 88–97.

- Lirman, D., Gracias, N., Gintert, B., Gleason, A., Reid, R., Negahdaripour, S., Kramer,
  P., 2007. Development and application of a video-mosaic survey technology to
  document the status of coral reef communities. Environmental Monitoring and
  Assessment, 125: 59-73.
- Lorance, P. and V.M. Trenkel. 2006. Variability in natural behaviour, and observed
  reactions to an ROV, by mid-slope fish species. Journal of Experimental Marine
  Biology and Ecology, 332(1): 106-119.
- Ludvigsen, M., Sortland B., Johnsen G., Hanumant, S., 2007. Applications of GeoReferenced Underwater Photo Mosaics in Marine Biology and Archaeology.
  Oceanography, 20 (4): 140-149.
- Marks, R.L., Rock, S.M., Lee, M.J., 1995. Real-time video mosaicing of the ocean
  floor. IEEE Journal of Ocean Engineering, 20 (3): 229-241.
- Melo, A.S., Bini, L.M., Thomaz, S.M., 2007. Assessment of methods to estimate
  aquatic macrophyte species richness in extrapolated sample sizes. Aquatic Botany,
  86: 377–384.
- Melo, A.S., Pereira, R.A.S., Santos, A.J., Shepherd, G.J., Machado, G., Medeiros, H.F.,
  Sawaya, R.J., 2003. Comparing species richness among assemblages using sample
  units: why not use extrapolation methods to standardize different sample sizes?
  Oikos, 101: 398–410.
- Monserud, R., Leemans, R., 1992. Comparing global vegetation maps with the Kappa statistic. Ecological Modelling, 62: 275–293.
- Neto, J.M., Gaspar R., Pereira, L., Marques J.C., 2012. Marine Macroalgae Assessment
  Tool (MarMAT) for intertidal rocky shores. Quality assessment under the scope of
  the European Water Framework Directive. Ecological Indicators, 19: 39-47.
- Norcross, B.L. and F.J. Mueter. 1999. The use of an ROV in the study of juvenile
  flatfish. Fisheries Research, 39(3): 241-251.
- Norris, J. G., Wyllie-Echeverria, S., Mumford, T., Bailey, A., Turner, T.,1997.
  Estimating basal area coverage of subtidal seagrass beds using underwater videography. Aquatic Botany, 58: 269-287.
- 690 Orfanidis, S., Panayotidis, P., Stamatis, N., 2003. An insight to the ecological
  691 evaluation index (EEI). Ecological Indicators, 3: 27-33.
- Parravicini, V., Micheli, F., Montefalcone, M, Villa, E., Morri, C, Bianchi, C.N., 2010.
  Rapid assessment of epibenthic communities: A comparison between two visual
  sampling techniques. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 395:
  21–29.
- Parravicini, V., Morri, C, Ciribilli, G., Montefalcone, M., Albertelli, G.,Bianchi, C.N.,
  2009. Size matters more than method: Visual quadrats vs photography in measuring
  human impact on Mediterranean rocky reef communities. Estuarine, Coastal and
  Shelf Science, 81: 359–367.
- Pinedo, S., García, M., Satta, M.P., de Torres, M., Ballesteros, E., 2007. Rocky-shore
  communities as indicators of water quality: A case study in the Northwestern
  Mediterranean. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 55: 126–135.

- Puente, A., Juanes, J.A., 2008. Testing taxonomic resolution, data transformation and
   selection of species for monitoring macroalgae communities. Estuarine, Coastal and
   Shelf Science, 78: 327–340.
- Rooper, C.N., Zimmermann, M., 2007. A bottom-up methodology for integrating
  underwater video and acoustic mapping for seafloor substrate classification.
  Continental Shelf Research, 27: 947–957.
- Rzhanov, Y., Linnett, L.M., Forbes, R., 2000. Underwater video mosaicing for seabed
   mapping. In Proceedings of ICIP 2000.
- Rzhanov, Y., Mayer, L., Beaulieu, S., Soule, S.A., Shank, T. 2007. The generation of
  georeferenced video mosaics in support of submersible and ROV operations.
  CenSeam Standardisation Working Group and Data Analysis Working Group
  meeting, Dartington Hall, Plymouth, UK, 2007.
- Smale, D.A., 2008.Continuous benthic community change along a depth gradient in
  Antarctic shallows: evidence of patchiness but not zonation. Polar Biology, 31: 189–
  198.
- Somerfield, P.J., Clarke, K.R., 1995. Taxonomic levels in marine community studies
   revisited. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 127: 113–119.
- Søreide, F., Jasinski, M.E., 2005. Ormen Lange: Investigation and excavation of a shipwreck in 170-m depth. Pp. 2,334–2,338 in *Proceedings of OCEANS 2005, Washington DC, September 18–23, 2006, Volume III.* Marine Technology Society and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
- Valencia, V., Borja, A., Franco, J., Fontán, A., 2004. Hydrography of the southeastern
  Bay of Biscay, in: Borja, A., Collins, M (Ed.), Oceanography and marine
  environment of the Basque Country. Elsevier, pp. 159-194.
- Van Rein, H., Schoeman, D.S., Brown, C.J., Quinn, R., Breen, J., 2011. Development of
  benthic monitoring methods using photoquadrats and scuba on heterogeneous hardsubstrata: a boulder-slope community case study. Aquatic Conserv: Mar. Freshw.
  Ecosyst, 21: 676–689
- Walther, B.A., Cotgreave, P., Price, R.D., Gregory, R.D., Clayton, D.H., 1995.
  Sampling effort and parasite species richness. Parasitology Today, 11: 306–310.
- Warwick, R.M., 1988a. Analysis of community attributes of the macrobenthos of
  Frierfjord/ Langesundfjord at taxonomic levels higher than species. Marine Ecology
  Progress Series, 46: 167-170.
- Warwick, R.M., 1988b. The level of taxonomic discrimination required to detect
  pollution effects on marine benthic community. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 19: 259268.
- Wells, E., Wilkinson, M., Wood, P., Scanlan, C., 2007. The use of macroalgal species
  richness and composition on intertidal rocky shores in the assessment of ecological
  quality under the European Water Framework Directive. Marine Pollution Bulletin,
  55: 151-161.